

## MAY 2025



# Prepared by the **ANSI Electric Vehicles Standards Panel (EVSP)**



©2025 American National Standards Institute (ANSI). All rights reserved. Published by ANSI. Printed in the United States of America.

Limited License: This material may be copied without permission from ANSI only for noncommercial and non-promotional purposes and if and to the extent that text is not altered or deleted in any fashion and the ANSI copyright is clearly noted as set forth immediately above. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, except as permitted by the Limited License or under Sections 107 or 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Material in this publication is for educational purposes. Neither the publisher nor the authors assume any liability for any errors or omissions or for how this publication or its contents are used or interpreted or for any consequences resulting directly or indirectly from the use of this publication. For legal or other advice, please consult your personal lawyer or the appropriate professional.

The views expressed by the individuals in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views shared by the companies they are employed by (or the companies mentioned in this publication). The employment status and affiliations of authors with the companies referenced are subject to change.

## **GAPS PROGRESS REPORTS VERSION HISTORY**

The Electric Vehicle Standards Panel (EVSP) published the <u>Roadmap of Standards and Codes for Electric Vehicles</u> <u>at Scale</u> (June 2023). The most current information reported on standards activities in the gaps progress reports is retained from version to version. The most current version is retained on the ANSI website at <u>www.ansi.org/evsp</u>. The roadmap and gaps progress reports can be downloaded for free. See the <u>report</u> <u>overview</u> for more information.

S.N.	Version	Publication Date	Version Note
1	May 2025	5 May 2025	1 <sup>st</sup> gaps progress report against 2023 Roadmap

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

G/	APS PROGRESS REPORT OVERVIEW
SL	MMARY TABLE OF GAPS AND RECOMMENDATIONS6
SE	CTION 2: VEHICLE SYSTEMS7
	Gap V1: Battery Safety7
	Gap V2: Delayed Battery Thermal Events8
	Gap V3: Safe Storage of Lithium-ion Batteries
	Gap V4: Packaging and Transport of Lithium-ion Batteries9
	Gap V5: Design for Battery Recyclability/Materials Reclamation
	Gap V6: Battery Secondary Uses11
	Section 2 Vehicle Systems Recommendations / Comments Since Publication of Roadmap12
SE	CTION 3: CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE13
	Gap C1: Megawatt Charging Systems (MCS)13
	Gap C2: Static Wireless Charging14
	Gap C3: Dynamic Wireless Charging Interoperability15
	Gap C4: EMC and EMF Measurements of Dynamic Wireless Power Transfer (WPT)16
	Gap C5: Communications in Support of Wireless Power Transfer
	Gap C6: Power Export17
	Gap C7: Cable management
	Gap C8: Fire protection in relation to EV parking/charging in/near older buildings19
	Section 3 Charging Infrastructure Recommendations / Comments Since Roadmap Publication20
SE	CTION 4: GRID INTEGRATION22
	Gap G1: Standardization of Error Codes and Reporting22
	Gap G2: Locating and Reserving a Public Charging Station, Obtaining Pricing and Availability Information 23
	Gap G3: Communication of standardized EV sub-metering data
	Gap G4: Metrological Traceability for Quantitative Measurement of DC Power Delivery24
	Gap G5: Standardization of EV sub-meters25
	Gap G6: Dynamic Capacity Management (DCM)
	Gap G7: Safety and Protection of DC architectures are not standardized27
	Gap G8: Fault Current Signatures for AC and DC Architectures under Islanding Conditions

	Gap G10: DC-as-a-Service (DCaaS)	30
	Gap G11: Structured Information and Energy Services Exchange with Utilities	30
	Gap G12: Assess UL 1741 V2G Integration Requirements	31
	Gap G13: Maintain alignment between UL 9741 and UL 1741	32
	Gap G14: Revise SAE J3072 to harmonize with UL 1741 SB	32
	Gap G15: Assess Interoperability Between Communication Protocols and Standards	33
	Section 4 Grid Integration Recommendations/Comments Since Publication of Roadmap	34
SE	CTION 5: CYBERSECURITY	37
	Gap S1: Comprehensive review of cybersecurity codes and standards for applicability to the ecosystem	e EV charging 37
	Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39	ging ecosystem
	Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39 Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy	ging ecosystem
	Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39 Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design"	ging ecosystem 40 41
	Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39 Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design" Gap S5: Digital Cybersecurity as Part of Interconnection Standards	ging ecosystem 40 41 42
	Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39 Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design" Gap S5: Digital Cybersecurity as Part of Interconnection Standards Gap S6: Cybersecurity of Power Management under DER Aggregation Scenarios	ging ecosystem 40 41 42 43
	<ul> <li>Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39</li> <li>Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy</li> <li>Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design"</li> <li>Gap S5: Digital Cybersecurity as Part of Interconnection Standards</li> <li>Gap S6: Cybersecurity of Power Management under DER Aggregation Scenarios</li></ul>	ging ecosystem 40 41 42 43 43
	<ul> <li>Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39</li> <li>Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy</li></ul>	ging ecosystem 40 41 42 43 43 43
	<ul> <li>Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV char 39</li> <li>Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy</li></ul>	ging ecosystem 40 41 42 43 43 43 43

## GAPS PROGRESS REPORT OVERVIEW

The Electric Vehicle Standards Panel (EVSP) is tracking progress by standards developing organizations (SDOs) and others to address the gaps identified in the *Roadmap of Standards and Codes for Electric Vehicles at Scale* (June 2023). The updates provided in this progress report were derived from various sources: direct inputs from SDO staff and subject matter experts (with attribution), SDO alert mechanisms, and independent research by ANSI staff based on publicly available SDO work programmes and other information. As such, this report should not be viewed as a consensus document and it does not necessarily reflect the views of the individuals or organizations named. It is intended to be a "living document" that will be maintained and periodically re-published as standards development work continues or until such time as the EVSP undertakes to develop a next version of its standardization roadmap. Comments and suggested edits to the gaps are to be addressed at a later date.

Click on any of the roadmap gap titles below for the most recent updates (highlighted and dated) since the deadline for input **(5/1/2025) on the May 2025 progress report which was published 5/5/2025**. You will see fields for updates since roadmap was published, new published standards, and new indevelopment standards. In some cases, staff has determined that a published standard or indevelopment standard may be responsive to an identified v3 gap(s) or topical area based on the standard's title/abstract. In other cases, staff was unable to make such a determination and, in such cases, the standard is listed at the end of a chapter.

Updates, corrections, and suggested edits should be sent to evsp@ansi.org.

## SUMMARY TABLE OF GAPS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Accompanying the roadmap is a <u>Summary Table of Gaps and Recommendations</u> identified in the roadmap. This spreadsheet allows users to sort and filter the 37 gaps based on the categories such as topic, R&D needs, recommendation, or organizations.

HIGH PRIORITY GAPS (14)
Gap V1: Battery Safety
Gap V2: Delayed Battery Thermal Events
Gap V3: Safe storage of damaged lithium-ion batteries
Gap V4: Packaging and transport of lithium-ion batteries as cargo on aircraft
Gap V5: Design for Battery Recyclability/Materials Reclamation
Gap C1: Megawatt Charging Systems (MCS)
Gap C2: Static Wireless Charging
Gap G1: Standardization of Error Codes and Reporting
Gap G3: Communication of standardized EV sub-metering data
Gap G5: Standardization of EV sub-meters
Gap S1: Comprehensive review of cybersecurity codes and standards for applicability to the EV charging ecosystem
Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV charging ecosystem
Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy
Gap S7: Cybersecure Firmware Updates
MEDIUM PRIORITY GAPS (20)
Gap V6: Battery secondary uses
Gap C4: EMC and EMF Measurements of Dynamic Wireless Power Transfer (WPT)
Gap C6: Power Export
Gap C7: Cable management
Gap C8: Fire protection in relation to EV parking/charging in/near older buildings
Gap G2: Locating and reserving a public charging station, Obtaining Pricing and Availability
Gap G4: Metrological Traceability for Quantitative Measurement of DC Power Delivery
Gap G6: Dynamic Capacity Management (DCM)
Gap G7: Safety and Protection of DC architectures are not standardized
Gap G8: Fault Current Signatures for AC and DC Architectures under Islanding Conditions
Gap G9: "Ride Through" Requirements for EVSE under Grid Service Conditions
Gap G10: DC-as-a-Service (DCaaS)
Gap G11: Structured information and energy services exchange with utilities
Gap G12: Assess UL 1741 V2G Integration Requirements
Gap G13: Maintain alignment between UL 9741 and UL 1741
Gap G14: Revise SAE J3072 to harmonize with UL 1741 SB
Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design" is needed for equipment and systems throughout the EV charging ecosystem
Gap S5: Digital Cybersecurity as Part of Interconnection Standards
Gap S6: Cybersecurity of Power Management under DER Aggregation Scenarios
Gap S8: EVSE Cyber-physical Vulnerabilities (medium-low)
LOW PRIORITY GAPS (3)
Gap C3: Dynamic Wireless Charging
Con CE: Communications in Communication (Miles Inc. Provent Transfer

Gap C5: Communications in Support of Wireless Power Transfer

## **SECTION 2: VEHICLE SYSTEMS**

The topical area of Vehicle Systems primarily relates to battery energy storage and related subsystems but may also include other energy storage systems, including fuel cells and mechanical energy storage. The most common types of batteries being developed for electric transportation are lithium-ion-based. Topics addressed in this section include: power rating methods; battery safety; battery testing – performance and durability; battery storage; battery packaging, transport and handling; battery recycling; battery secondary uses; and crash tests/safety.

SECTION	GAP #, TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
2.2	Gap V1: Battery Safety	Х		
2.2.2	Gap V2: Delayed Battery Thermal Events	Х		
2.4	Gap V3: Safe Storage of Lithium-ion Batteries (Last Updated	Х		
	<mark>3/19/2025)</mark>			
2.5	Gap V4: Packaging and Transport of Lithium-ion Batteries	Х		
2.6	Gap V5: Design for Battery Recyclability/Materials Reclamation	Х		
	(Last Updated 3/18/2025)			
2.7	Gap V6: Battery Secondary Uses (Last Updated 3/17/2025)		Х	
Vehicle Systems Recommendations / Comments since Roadmap Publication				

#### **Gap V1: Battery Safety**

There is an ongoing need to address safety issues related to battery thermal runaway, potential immersion scenarios, and vibration resistance.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes. Further investigation regarding safety issues (e.g., hazmat) for water and sand immersion should be conducted prior to addressing in standards.

**Recommendation**: Continue to advance battery safety through NHTSA's participation in the development of Phase 2 of Global Technical Regulation No. 20 for Electric Vehicle Safety and the SAE Battery Field Discharge Committee.

Priority: High

Organization(s): NHTSA, WP.29, SAE

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

#### **Gap V2: Delayed Battery Thermal Events**

The issue of delayed battery thermal events needs to be addressed.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation**: Address the issue of delayed battery thermal events in future rulemaking and/or revisions of SAE J2929 and J2990 and with the SAE Battery Field Discharge Committee.

Priority: High

Organization(s): NHTSA, SAE

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):
No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

#### • No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 2 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap V3: Safe Storage of Lithium-ion Batteries

No standards or guides have been identified that address the safe storage of damaged (i.e., unknown condition) lithium-ion batteries, whether at warehouses, repair garages, recovered vehicle storage lots, or auto salvage yards.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes. Assess various known failure modes and appropriate battery storage procedures. modes. Resulting consequences from failures should be determined and associated mitigating measures such as explosion control should be explored. Research should consider gas and fire detection and suppression technologies / systems.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: A standard or guide for the safe storage practices for EV batteries must be developed, addressing damaged batteries and the wide range of storage situations that may exist, including when the batteries have been separated from their host vehicle.

Priority: High

Organization(s): SAE, NFPA, ICC, IEC, UNECE, UL			
<ul> <li>Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):</li> <li>No updates as of publication of this report.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:</li> <li>No updates as of publication of this report.</li> </ul>			
Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:			
<ul> <li>No comments as of publication of this repo</li> </ul>	rt.		
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes		
3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL: <u>EN 14470-1:2023, (DIN)</u>			
Fire safety storage cabinets - Part 1: Safety			
<u>storage cabinets for flammable liquids</u> Applicable			
to cabinets intended for storage of ittnum-ion batteries. (Note: not new but wasn't referenced			
in roadmap)			
3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL: <u>EN 14470-2:2006, (DIN)</u>			
Fire safety storage cabinets - Part 2: Safety			
<u>cabinets for Pressurised Gas Cylinders</u> Applicable			
batteries. (Note: not new but wasn't referenced			
in roadmap)			
3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL: <u>ANSI/CAN/UL</u>			
5800:2020, Battery Fire Containment Products			
Applies to battery fire containment products,			
damaged batteries but can be expanded/adapted			
during revision cycles. (Note: not new but wasn't			
referenced in roadmap)			
3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL: <u>UL 9540A (Mar2025)</u>			
Test Method for Evaluating Thermal Runaway			
<u>Fire Propagation in Battery Energy Storage</u> Systems evaluates the fire characteristics of a			
battery energy storage system that undergoes			
thermal runaway.			

### Gap V4: Packaging and Transport of Lithium-ion Batteries

Standards are being developed on battery package testing and performance-based packaging for lithium batteries as cargo on aircraft.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Complete work on SAE standards in development on battery package testing and performance-based packaging for lithium batteries as cargo on aircraft.

Priority: High

Organization(s): SAE, DOT/FAA

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 2 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap V5: Design for Battery Recyclability/Materials Reclamation

Standards for battery construction design with the intention of recycling/materials reclamation are needed. The ability to disassemble batteries after use in order for parts and materials to be reclaimed or recycled to manufacture new batteries should be considered during the design phase.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes, there is a need for additional R&D on design for recyclability, as batteries are getting less conducive to recycling. Additional R&D is needed by the national labs on design for recyclability of EV (li-on) batteries. This could include addressing the calculation method toward recycling efficiency and recovery rates based on an agreed unit (possibly weight) and/or life-cycle assessment tools, including energy recovery. Recycling is important to reduce the amount of materials to be mined, because the processing of lithium ion produces toxic biproducts.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop standards for battery construction design with the intention of recycling/materials reclamation.

Priority: High

Organization(s): ANL, SAE, ISO, NEMA

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Comments	<b>Received</b> on	Gap for	Future	Consideration:
connents	neccented on	Gup IOI	. acare	constactation

<ul> <li>No comments as of publication of this report.</li> </ul>		
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes	
<b>3/17/2025, A.Krabbe, ULSE:</b> <u>UL 3601 Standard</u> <u>for Measuring and Reporting Circularity of Li-ion</u> <u>and Other Secondary Batteries</u> (Edition 1, Dec 2024), may support this gap.	<b>3/18/2025, D.Karner, EAI:</b> SAE <u>WIP J2997 Standards for</u> <u>Battery Secondary Use.</u> To develop standards for a testing and identity regimen to define batteries for variable safe reuse. The potential for the state of health standards to help maintain the batteries in their best reusable and compatible condition should provide for the best way to lower the overall lifetime cost of the batteries. Transportation standards will be necessary anyway to provide for multiple location resources to repackage and have storage logistics. Labelling will be necessary to authenticate the State of health and compatibility with traceability.	

#### Gap V6: Battery Secondary Uses

There is a need for standards addressing methods to capture and track battery health for second life applications for grid storage and other uses. The principal objective is to decide whether a battery should be reused, repurposed, or recycled.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes, to provide an indicator of residual value. The obstacles for generating a ledger or lifetime tracking register, in the cloud or on the device, that shows the history of the battery needs further study.

**Recommendation**: Explore the development of standards for battery secondary uses, addressing such issues as safety and performance testing for intended applications, grid connection/communication interfaces, identification of parts/components, and telematics (for cloud-based tracking) that can be removed from the pack without destroying or damaging it.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): NEMA, SAE, UL, NAATBATT

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:**

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:

 3/24/2025 R.Patel: Performance testing should include testing for the remaining life span and capacity of the battery in a standard way. This would help indicate what the battery could be repurposed for.

3/17/2025, A.Krabbe, ULSE: <u>UL 3601 Standard</u> for Measuring and Reporting Circularity of Li-ion and Other Secondary Batteries (Edition 1, Dec 2024) Section 19 Extension of Useful Life, may support this gap.

3/17/2025, A.Krabbe, ULSE: <u>UL 1974 Evaluation</u> for Repurposing or Remanufacturing Batteries (Nov 2023), provides requirements for safety and performance of repurposed battery packs, modules, and cells.

#### **New In-Development Standards & Codes**

**3/18/2025, D.Karner, EAI:** SAE <u>WIP J2997 Standards for</u> <u>Battery Secondary Use.</u> To develop standards for a testing and identity regimen to define batteries for variable safe reuse. The potential for the state of health standards to help maintain the batteries in their best reusable and compatible condition should provide for the best way to lower the overall lifetime cost of the batteries. Transportation standards will be necessary anyway to provide for multiple location resources to repackage and have storage logistics. Labelling will be necessary to authenticate the State of health and compatibility with traceability.

#### Back to Section 2 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Section 2 Vehicle Systems Recommendations / Comments Since Publication of Roadmap

Additional general recommendations for gaps in standards and codes related to the Section 2: Vehicle Systems.

• No additional recommendations or comments provided as of publication of this report.

#### **New Published Standards**

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

• No additional newly published standards or codes provided as of publication of this report.

#### **New In-Development Standards**

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

• No additional in-development standards or codes provided as of publication of this report.

Back to Section 2 / Back to Table of Contents

## **SECTION 3: CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE**

In order to promote the development, acceptance and deployment of EVs, and to discourage the imposition of market barriers, it is imperative that plugs, chargers and EVs be interoperable. EV owners must be able to easily recharge their vehicle at their home or office and when traveling long distances within their own state and across state lines. Harmonized standards that assure the interoperability of EVs with the charging infrastructure will do much to help grow the market for EVs, and thus will be in the best interest of EV and EVSE manufacturers, as well as EV users.

SECTION	GAP #, TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
3.1.2	Gap C1: Megawatt Charging Systems (MCS) (Last Updated	Х		
	<mark>3/24/2025)</mark>			
3.1.3.1	Gap C2: Static Wireless Charging (Last Updated 3/19/2025)	Х		
3.1.3.2	Gap C3: Dynamic Wireless Charging Interoperability			Х
3.1.3.2	Gap C4: EMC and EMF Measurements of Dynamic Wireless Power		Х	
	Transfer (WPT)			
3.1.3.3	Gap C5: Communications in Support of Wireless Power Transfer			Х
3.2.1.2	Gap C6: Power Export (Last Updated 5/1/2025)		Х	
3.2.1.6	Gap C7: Cable Management (Last Updated 3/24/2025)		Х	
3.2.2.1	Gap C8: Fire protection in relation to EV parking/charging in/near		Х	
	older buildings			
Section 3 Charging Infrastructure Recommendations / Comments Since Roadman Publication				
<u> </u>	(Last Updated 3/24/2025)			

#### Gap C1: Megawatt Charging Systems (MCS)

Standards are needed for MCS to support for heavy-duty EVs such as <u>box trucks, semi-trucks,</u> aircraft, <mark>trucks</mark> and buses.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes. Interoperability testing and data collection.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Complete work on SAE J3271. Complete update work on UL 2251 (couplers) and UL 2202 (chargers) to address MCS, specifically liquid cooled cables.

Priority: High

Organization(s): SAE, DOE, NEMA, UL

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• **3/20/2025, S.Park, ULSE**: UL 2202 added cable management in Certification Requirement Decision dated Jul 6, 2023.

Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

**Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:** 

• **3/24/2025, R.Patel**: Suggested revisions to gap description shown with track changes above.

•	
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
3/17/2025, A.Krabbe/J.Bablo, ULSE: <u>UL 2278</u> Megawatt Charging Configured Electric Vehicle <u>Couplers</u> was developed to address MCS and covers EV couplers of the megawatt configuration (Edition 1, Feb 2025)	<ul> <li>3/20/2025, S.Park, ULSE: <u>UL 2251 Plugs, Receptacles, and</u> <u>Couplers for Electric Vehicles</u> (Edition 4, Dec 2022) is currently undergoing an update to add liquid cooling.</li> <li>2/18/2025, J.Bablo, UL: Updates to <u>UL 2202 DC Charging</u> Equipment for Electric Vehicles (Edition 3, Dec 2022) are</li> </ul>
	currently in process that will increase the output parameters for tests up to 3000 A to align with megawatt charging.
	<b>2/18/2025, J.Bablo, UL:</b> IEC TC69 <u>PT61851-23-3 DC electric</u> <u>vehicle supply equipment for Megawatt charging systems</u> is currently developing requirements for megawatt chargers. The IEC document will be design based with only specific systems allowed as was the case with IEC 61851- 23.
	<b>2/18/2025, J.Bablo, UL:</b> IEC TC23/SC23H/ <u>PT63379 Vehicle</u> connector, vehicle inlet and cable assembly for Megawatt <u>DC charging</u> is currently developing requirements for megawatt couplers.

#### Back to Section 3 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap C2: Static Wireless Charging

Standards for heavy-duty/high power static wireless charging are still in development.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Complete work on SAE J2954/2 and other in-development standards to deal with heavyduty/high power static wireless charging.

Priority: High

Organization(s): SAE, UL, IEC/TC 69, ISO TC22/SC37

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:     No comments as of publication of this report.				
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes			
<b>3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL:</b> <u>ANSI/UL 2750 (Nov</u> <u>2023) Wireless Power Transfer Equipment for</u> <u>Electric Vehicles</u> covers wireless power transfer (WPT) equipment for transferring power to a stationary electric vehicle. Since output ratings are determined by the manufacturer, UL 2750 can be used for heavy duty applications. Noting that SAE J2954 covers light duty EV's.				
<b>3/17/2025, A.Krabbe, ULSE:</b> <u>UL 2750 Wireless</u> <u>Power Transfer Equipment for Electric Vehicles</u> (Edition 1, Nov 2023) covers WPT to stationary EVs				

Gap C3: Dynamic Wireless Charging Interoperability				
Standards for dynamic wireless charging interopera	bility are still needed. Both light-duty and heavy-duty EVs			
should be able to use the same ground-based trans	should be able to use the same ground-based transmitter system.			
R&D Needed: Yes. Testing, data collection.				
<b><u>Recommendation</u></b> : Develop standards to address dynamic wireless charging interoperability.				
<u>Priority</u> : Low				
Organization(s): SAE, IEC/TC 69, ISO TC22/SC37				
Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regula	tion/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):			
<ul> <li>No updates as of publication of this report.</li> </ul>				
Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant V	Work:			
<ul> <li>No updates as of publication of this report.</li> </ul>				
Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:				
No comments as of publication of this report.				
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes			

Back to Section 3 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap C4: EMC and EMF Measurements of Dynamic Wireless Power Transfer (WPT)

There are paths for obtaining the necessary EMC approvals before systems can be deployed for static WPT. Methods and procedures for conductive charging are needed for dynamic WPT. R&D investigations have to be undertaken to determine practical methods and procedures for determining reproduceable EMC and EMF measurements so that documents can be developed and accepted by the relevant regulatory agencies. This will have to be needed before development and demonstration installations of dynamic WPT will be allowed.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes. Additional data collection and experimentation of the methods will need to happen before a documented procedure will be generated and accepted by industry and regulatory agencies.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop standards to address dynamic wireless power transfer, similar to parts of ANSI C63.30 which includes this topic for static WPT.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): SAE, IEC/TC 69, ISO TC22/SC37

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (*Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.*):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes	

#### Back to Section 3 / Back to Table of Contents

**Gap C5: Communications in Support of Wireless Power Transfer** 

The following issues need to be addressed:

- ISO 15118-series resolution of conflicting requirements in <u>ISO 15118-2</u> and/or <u>ISO 15118-20</u> and publication in order to include static WPT
- SAE J2847/6 needs to be updated and harmonized with <u>ISO 15118-2</u> and/or <u>ISO 15118-20</u> so that there are uniform communication requirements for WPT

R&D Needed: TBD

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Complete work on communication standards in development for static and dynamic wireless charging.

Priority: Low

Organization(s): SAE, ISO, IEC

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (*Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.*):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 3 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap C6: Power Export

While permitting for EVSE installation is covered by codes, permitting for the actual delivery of power from the vehicle (i.e., power export) is not specified in codes. Conformance with stationary energy storage systems and V2G standards, such as NFPA 855, may be required. SAE J3072 specifies the need for a permit but does not describe how to comply. There are terms and conditions for interconnections related to power export. Addressing this gap requires coordination between utilities, authorities having jurisdiction (AHJs), and code organizations.

R&D Needed: Only vehicles meeting building code requirements for stationary storage should be able to provide V2G services. Appropriate identification and hand shaking with V2G capcble EVSE must be developed.<del>No</del>

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Address power export in relevant codes in cases where the NEC does not apply (e.g., interconnection agreements). Identify and facilitate integration of energy services to vehicle power export capabilities.

Coordinate NEFP 855 requirements with SAE standards for vehicle batteries that will be operated in V2G service. Educate building code inspectors on V2G service and code requirements.

#### Priority: Medium

Organization(s): NEMA, IEEE, Code organizations, utilities, AHJs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:
 5/1/2025, D.Karner, EAI: See track changes on R&D and the gap recommendation.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes	
3/13/2025 B.Doherty NEMA: <u>EVSE 40011-2024:</u>	3/13/2025 B.Doherty NEMA: NEMA is working on a	
EVSE Power Export Standard. This standard	technical NEMA Revision to <u>EVSE 40011-2024: EVSE Power</u>	
defines characteristics in key domains, electrical,	Export Standard, and then will be moving towards ANSI	
communications, and cybersecurity, for	Accreditation for the standard.	
permitting of power export between Electric		
Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) and an electric		
<mark>power system (EPS).</mark>		

#### Gap C7: Cable management

Functional management of EV cables in public parking spaces is not specifically addressed by codes or standards.

R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Guidelines or standards relating to EVSE cable management in public parking spaces and how it is documented should be developed.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): UL, NEMA, NFPA, NIST, DOJ

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

 3/20/2025, S.Park, ULSE: UL 2594 Certification Requirement Decision includes cable management systems and endurance test, dated Jun 22, 2023.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• **3/13/2025 B.Doherty NEMA:** NEMA formed a technical committee to develop a guideline.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

- **3/24/2025, R.Patel**: Cable reach should also be considered. Depending on the site and vehicle type, a different cable length or management system to charge the EV may be needed
- 2/18/2025, J.Bablo, UL: Both UL 2594, Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment, and UL 2202, DC charging Equipment, have been updated to include requirements around cable management. Overall, these standards cannot dictate design so as such they are addressing damage to the cable and means of providing cable management on a case by case basis dependent on actual design. Both standards are processing these changes through the SDO revision cycle.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes		
3/17/2025, A.Krabbe, ULSE: UL 2594 Electric	3/13/2025 B.Doherty NEMA: NEMA filed an ANSI PINS for		
Vehicle Supply Equipment (Edition 3, Dec 2022)	BSR/NEMA EVSE 40007-202X, Electric Vehicle Cable		
provides requirements for cable management	Management in Public Charging and Parking Spaces (new		
but does not contain extensive guidelines for	<u>standard) PINS: Mar 1, 2024</u> to develop a standard that		
implementation.	defines best practices for functional management of EV		

cables in public charging/parking spaces. Standard will align with existing UL safety standards.
<b>2/18/2025, J.Bablo, UL:</b> Updates to <u>UL 2202 DC Charging</u> <u>Equipment for Electric Vehicles</u> (Edition 3, Dec 2022) are underway.

#### Gap C8: Fire protection in relation to EV parking/charging in/near older buildings

Fire propagation of electric vehicles differs from conventional vehicles. There is potential for explosive gas build up which can result in an explosion. As mentioned above, there are many conversations around parking and charging EVs in or near older structures, such as multi-family residences with an indoor or underground parking lot. If a fire incident was to occur where many EVs are in the same parking area, issues arise such as whether the building can withstand the intensity of a lithium-ion battery fire and, what that means for any fire protection equipment that should be installed. Note: This is also a concern for parking/charging in or near older commercial buildings as well.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Potentially, yes. Research into building materials that can or cannot withstand a lithium-ion fire. Fire protection equipment that should be installed and other fire prevention means may also require research. Note: The 2023 edition of NFPA 88A requires the installation of automatic sprinkler systems in all parking structures in accordance with NFPA 13 and NFPA 13R as applicable. It also requires that automatic sprinkler systems be inspected, tested, and maintained in accordance with NFPA 25.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop standards or codes to address the issue of fire protection where EVs are parked/charging in/near older buildings.

#### Priority: Medium

**<u>Organization(s)</u>**: International Code Council (ICC), NECA, NEMA, NFPA, and the International Association of Electrical Inspectors (IAEI) to address code related issues

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

#### • No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

Section 3 Charging Infrastructure Recommendations / Comments Since Roadmap Publication

Additional general recommendations for gaps in standards and codes related to the **Section 3: Charging Infrastructure**.

- 3/14/2025, AAmin, CSA Group: CSA is currently working on EVEMS standard CSA C22.2 NO. 343. It is ANSI approved to use for product certification in USA and SCC approved for use in Canada.
  - EVEMS- a means used to control EVSE loads through the process of connecting, disconnecting, increasing, or reducing electric power to the loads and consisting of any of the following: a monitor(s), communications equipment, a controller(s), a timer(s), and other applicable device(s). additional recommendations or comments provided as of publication of this report.
  - 3/24/2025 BNordman, LBL: The US National Electrical Code also has a term for something like this (e.g., Power System Controller) that keeps loads below a panel or service connection limit. That is not EV-specific but can include EVSEs.

#### New Gap Suggestions

The following gap(s) was suggested during comments against the gaps progress report. This language did not go through EVSP working group or public review. It is for information only and has not been assigned an EVSP gap number but may be considered by the EV standardization community.

2/25/2025, F.Reyes, UL: New Gap Suggestion: Bidirectional – Personnel Protection Requirements while exporting to grid.

Application of ground fault and isolation monitoring requirements for the protection of personnel during bidirectional export to grid. Determine appropriate location of protection to provide an acceptable minimum level protection.

R&D Needed: Yes.

- 1- Gap analysis to determine the hazards during bidirectional export and minimum level of protection unique to this type of supply source.
- 2- If protection is determined to be required per item 1, where should the protection be located during export?
- 3- During a ground fault event at the EV cable, the fault may be sourced from either or both the utility grid and EV or separately derived source, requiring considerations to mitigate two interdependent current sources. Project scheme shall consider this potential occurrence.

Recommendation: Coordination of technical committees' members of SAE J3072, UL 9741, & UL 2251.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): SAE, NEMA, UL

#### New Published Standards

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

 3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL: Consider adding "Adapters" as a topic. <u>ANSI/CAN/UL 2252, Adapters for Use</u> with Electric Vehicle Couplers was published on 3/19/2025 and <u>SAE WIP J3400-1 Electric Vehicle</u> Charging Adapter Safety and OEM Qualified Device Designation is in development.

#### New In-Development Standards

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

 3/20/2025, S.Park, ULSE: Concerns over long-term reliability of EVSEs especially those installed outdoors have been expressed. <u>UL 2594 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment</u> (Edition 3, Dec 2022) references <u>UL 50E Enclosures for Electrical Equipment, Environmental Considerations</u> (pressure washer test) which had ballot & comments due 12/9/24.

Back to Section 3 / Back to Table of Contents

## **SECTION 4: GRID INTEGRATION**

The charging of EVs creates both risks and opportunities for service providers and consumers. At a minimum, consumers want access to a ubiquitous charging infrastructure that enables them to charge their EVs safely and quickly at the cheapest possible rate and to know what that rate will be, in advance. Energy Service Providers want to be able to incentivize charging to off-peak hours to improve grid efficiency and potentially to protect grid assets.

SECTION	GAP #, TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
4.1.1	Gap G1: Standardization of Error Codes and Reporting (Last Updated	Х		
	<mark>3/24/2025)</mark>			
4.1.2	Gap G2: Locating and Reserving a Public Charging Station, Obtaining		Х	
	Pricing and Availability Information (Last Updated 4/8/2025)			
4.1.3	Gap G3: Communication of standardized EV sub-metering data (Last	Х		
	Updated 4/8/2025)			
4.1.3	Gap G4: Metrological Traceability for Quantitative Measurement of		Х	
	DC Power Delivery			
4.1.3	Gap G5: Standardization of EV sub-meters (Last Updated 4/8/2025)	Х		
4.2.1	Gap G6: Dynamic Capacity Management (DCM) (Last Updated		Х	
	<mark>4/8/2025)</mark>			
4.2.1	Gap G7: Safety and Protection of DC architectures are not		Х	
	<u>standardized</u>			
4.2.1	Gap G8: Fault Current Signatures for AC and DC Architectures under		Х	
	Islanding Conditions (Last Updated 3/17/2025)			
4.2.1	Gap G9: "Ride Through" Requirements for EVSE under Grid Service		Х	
	Conditions (Last Updated 3/17/2025)			
4.2.1	Gap G10: DC-as-a-Service (DCaaS) (Last Updated 4/8/2025)		Х	
4.2.2	Gap G11: Structured Information and Energy Services Exchange with		Х	
	Utilities (Last Updated 4/8/2025)			
4.3	Gap G12: Assess UL 1741 V2G Integration Requirements (Last		Х	
	Updated 3/17/2025)			
4.3	Gap G13: Maintain Alignment between UL 9741 and UL 1741 (Last		Х	
	updated 3/19/2025)			
4.3	Gap G14: Revise SAE J3072 to harmonize with UL 1741 SB (Last		Х	
	updated 2/25/2025)			
4.3	Gap G15: Assess Interoperability Between Communication Protocols			Х
	and Standards (Last Updated 4/8/2025)			
Section 4 Grid Integration Recommendations/Comments Since Publication of Roadman (Last Undated				
	·····			

Gap G1: Standardization of Error Codes and Reporting

To develop best practices and guidance for diagnostics including standardized error codes across the EV charging ecosystem, such as for no charge events. Considerations include what should be reported, specific

formats, associated language, and appearance (e.g., symbols, color) for display. Reporting may be done to various actors (i.e., person charging, building owner, <u>charge point operator</u>, grid, or a third-party) and privacy restrictions may apply.

#### R&D Needed: Yes.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Conduct a review of applicable standards (e.g., OCPP, IEC 15118, IEEE 1621) particularly error tables and gather inputs on common errors from relevant stakeholders. Determine which errors should be reported and develop language for displaying the error.

Priority: High

Organization(s): OCA, CharlN, SAE, manufacturers, operators

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:

• **3/24/2025, R.Patel**: Suggested revisions to gap description shown with track changes above.

New Published Standards & Codes New In-Development Standards & Codes	New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
--	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap G2: Locating and Reserving a Public Charging Station, Obtaining Pricing and Availability Information

There is a need for standard(s)/implementation guides to permit EV drivers to locate a public charging spot, reserve its use in advance, and obtain pricing information and near real-time availability.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop a standard(s) / implementation guides to permit EV drivers to universally locate and reserve a public charging spot, and to obtain pricing information and near real-time availability.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): SAE, OCA, EVRoaming Foundation, eMI<sup>3</sup>, ETSI ITS

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: Comment on gap description: It is unclear if this is M2M communication, how the information is conveyed to humans, or both. Also need to consider both ordinary commercial chargers, and individual chargers (usually residences) that make theirs available to others. Comment on gap recommendation: Need to support dynamic pricing, and forecasts of prices in addition to guaranteed prices.

New Published Standards & Codes New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap G3: Communication of standardized EV sub-metering data

Standards are needed for communication of EV sub-metering data between third parties and service providers.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop a standard to communicate EV sub-metering data between a third party and a billing agent (e.g., utility).

Priority: High

<u>Organization(s)</u>: OpenADEOpenADR, /NAESB, IEEE, MESA, SunSpec Alliance, OpenFMB, NIST USNWG EVF&S

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (*Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.*):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: Comment on gap description: How about between a customer device and a service provider, e.g., for a tariff for charging separate from the primary customer tariff?

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap G4: Metrological Traceability for Quantitative Measurement of DC Power Delivery

At present, the U.S. does not maintain System Internationale (SI) traceability for DC measurement in ensuring metrological soundness of DC EVSEs. Further, there is no current specification for transfer standards or processes for establishing traceability of EVSE measurement of DC power by testing authorities.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes. Develop specifications for transfer standards and processes to ensure metrological traceability and accuracy for DC power measurement for DC EVSEs. (This work is in process.)

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop standard to address the transfer and traceability of meters for the testing of DC EVSE.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): NIST

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap G5: Standardization of EV sub-meters

Standards for EV sub-meters, including embedded sub-meters, are needed to address performance, security/privacy, access, and data aspects. Standardization of commercial transactions EV sub-meters is complete.

Utility tariffs involving sub-metering is a complicated process at present with much state-to-state and utility-toutility differences (what is allowed, how it is interpreted). Policy development is needed to assist utilities in applying EV charging tariffs to the facility, and not the customer charging their vehicle.

#### R&D Needed: No

**Recommendation**: Develop standards or guidelines related to the functionality and measurement characteristics of sub-meters for EVs, including embedded sub-meters in the EVSE or EV. Such standards should address different form factors, capabilities, installation, and certification. Organizations developing standards, guidelines or use cases related to EV sub-metering should coordinate their activities in order to avoid duplication of effort, assure alignment, and maximize efficiency.

Priority: High

Organization(s): NEMA, USNWG EVF&S, SEPA, EPRI

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):
No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:

 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: Comment on gap description: Is this backwards? Is it to charge it to the customer and not to the facility? Isn't this between the facility and the vehicle owner – why is the grid involved?

New Published Standards & Codes New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G6: Dynamic Capacity Management (DCM)

DCM relates to managing local distribution capacity constraints and balancing supply and demand on the grid with the requirements of the EV charging station and other loads on the grid. Open Automated Demand Response (OpenADR 2.0) is one way of managing capacity for DCM focusing on energy and demand response, as well as pricing communications. Newer iterations of OpenADR are expected to improve grid coordination. Presently, program/user guides on OpenADR and IEEE 2030.5 exist. There have been a large number of pilots carried out to address this topic. Questions remain though as to clarification of further grid coordination mechanisms to be supported, as well as consumer information to enhance understanding of these standards.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes, to determine ways to do DCM

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Continue to pursue various ways to do DCM (e.g., within the context of OpenADR) to identify and incorporate advanced grid coordination mechanisms. Determine if existing program/user guides on OpenADR and IEEE 2030.5 are sufficient or if additional consumer information is needed.

#### Priority: MediumHigh

Organization(s): IEEE, NEMA, OpenADR Alliance, and others as appropriate

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:**

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

- 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: The OpenADR 3 defines two ways to manage capacity (one limit-based (DOE) and a second one that is limit-based (and more appropriate for EV charging). Many utilities are testing flexible load connections for EV charging stations, though generally much less dynamic than is supported by OpenADR 3.
- **4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL:** IEEE 2030.5 supports Dynamic Operating Envelopes (DOE).

New Published Standards & Codes New	v In-Development Standards & Codes
-------------------------------------	------------------------------------

#### Gap G7: Safety and Protection of DC architectures are not standardized

Technology is not well established nor is it currently known how to do a thorough DC protection system design (especially with regard to islanding). Short circuit protection for complex energy sources (e.g., multiple energy sources and bidirectional power flow) is the primary gap. IEEE P2030.12 is a draft guide for microgrid protection systems. The National Electrical Code<sup>®</sup> (NEC<sup>®</sup>) does address DC microgrids, principally driven by photovoltaics and energy storage. There is considerable cross-over with the solar industry within SunSpec and for microgrids within the Emerge Alliance. In Europe, the Open Society (OS) Foundation is working to develop guidelines and transfer them to the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) for formal standardization.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Continue to pursue standardization of safety and protection for DC architectures, especially within the IEEE P2030 suite of standardization activities.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): IEEE

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:**

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes New In-	-Development Standards & Codes

Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G8: Fault Current Signatures for AC and DC Architectures under Islanding Conditions

Identifiable fault currents can be an issue for AC and DC architectures. Specifically, the magnitude and signature of fault currents within AC and DC architectures can be too low to trip protection and provide safety. The issue of fault currents is largely covered in UL 1741 and UL 9741 for AC and DC systems. UL 1741 Supplement SC includes a safety overvoltage protection function in the event the EV exceeds 120 percent of nominal unit voltage. The V2G interconnection criteria will follow national grid interconnection standards. However, coordination in front of and behind the meter is needed when systems are islanding, especially within the context of hybrid (AC/DC intertwined) and DC architectures, and non-linear loads.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Explore fault currents under islanding conditions and, as appropriate, implement codes and standards development to address safety and grid interconnection performance aspects for EVSE.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): UL, FERC, NEMA, NERC

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:

#### • No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
	<b>3/17/2025 A.Krabbe, ULSE</b> : <u>UL 1741 Inverters, Converters,</u> <u>Controllers and Interconnection System Equipment for Use</u> <u>With Distributed Energy Resources</u> Supplement SC (Interconnection systems equipment "ISE") is currently
	under development.

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G9: "Ride Through" Requirements for EVSE under Grid Service Conditions

"Ride Through" requirements encompass how systems/devices will behave when conditions on either side of the point of interconnection (EV Station or grid) are not normal. There is a dichotomy: first, for the distribution network within the EV station itself especially under islanding (i.e., not connected to the grid) conditions, and, second, on the grid side specifically at the systems level with regard to voltage and frequency. When EVSE are supplying power to the grid, "Ride Through" requirements need to be defined under specific conditions. "Ride Through" is not applicable in this context for DC systems.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation:** Explore "Ride Through" requirements for EVSE under grid service conditions. "Ride Through" requirements are covered under IEEE 1547, with V2G specifically covered under IEEE 1547.1. UL 9741 covers AC coupled output and interconnection, with the latest version addressing vehicle-to-everything (V2X). UL 1741 Supplement SC will address situations where vehicles have onboard AC inverters. As appropriate, implement codes and standards development.

Priority: Medium

Navy Dudultahaad Cha

Organization(s): IEEE, UL

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

 2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: Suggested revisions to gap recommendation to "<u>Ensure</u>"Ride Through" requirements for EVSE under grid service conditions are captured correctly in UL 1741-SC and in the communication protocols used to the EVSE and between the EVSE and the EV."

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
<b>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus</b> : <i>IEC</i> 62460:2025, Temperature - Electromotive force (EMF) tables for pure-element thermocouple combinations	<b>3/17/2025 A.Krabbe, ULSE</b> : <u>UL 1741 Inverters, Converters,</u> <u>Controllers and Interconnection System Equipment for Use</u> <u>With Distributed Energy Resources</u> Supplement SC (Interconnection systems equipment "ISE") is currently under development.
	2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: OCPP 2.0 as revisions to IEC 63584:2024 Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP).
	2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>ISO 15118-</u> 20:2022/DAmd1, Road vehicles — Vehicle to grid communication interface, Part 20: 2nd generation network layer and application layer requirements Amendment 1: AC DER service, MCS service, and improved security concept.
	<b>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus</b> : IEEE 1815.2 (joint with MESA) will define the communication requirements for distributed energy resources (DER), with a special focus on utility-scale energy storage systems (ESS). <u>See more</u> .

Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G10: DC-as-a-Service (DCaaS)

A thorough review of standards is needed for applicability. This includes electrical power standards and any other standards for DC distribution, as well as for fast charging stations and DC microgrids. DCaaS is a business proposition and involves standards, codes, policy development, and coordination to ultimately be successful. Monetization of the business proposition requires an approved DC tariff which does not exist.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Pursue a comprehensive review of codes and standards for applicability to DCaaS. Determine which existing codes and standards apply in specific situations and identify any existing gaps. Work with public utility regulators to establish DC tariffs.

#### Priority: Medium

**<u>Organization(s)</u>**: Current/OS, IEEE, NFPA, SunSpec, Emerge Alliance, public utility regulators

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):
No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: This gap should cover both DC provided by the utility as well as that provided by the customer internal to the customer site.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G11: Structured Information and Energy Services Exchange with Utilities

There is a need for structured information and energy services exchange to enable utilities to balance utilityside availability of renewables with site requirements, including EVs, stationary storage, and/or any flexible load to provide grid services. This gap specifically encompasses the need for structured information exchange to enable balance and negotiation, not command and control. This includes how to measure, communicate, and confirm transfer of information. In short, it is an energy services exchange and value proposition gap and incorporates information transfer at the distribution level.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Yes, further development and demonstration

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Continue to pursue improved mechanisms for structured information and energy services exchange within the context of IEEE P2030.5 and IEEE P2030.13. Additionally, the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) is working on the transmission side, while the US DOE Grid Modernization Laboratory Consortium (GMLC) has looked at this extensively over the last five years.

#### Priority: Medium

Organization(s): IEEE, OpenADR, NAESB, and GMLC

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• **4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL:** *Comment on gap description:* This puts the utility as the balancer. The customer is much better suited to do this – in coordination with the utility. Also need to clarify which, or all of, the EV, EVSE, and other customer devices are involved.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G12: Assess UL 1741 V2G Integration Requirements

Assess and potentially revise UL 1741 and Supplements to ensure it properly accounts for V2G integration. Currently, most requirements cannot be used for automotive electronics and an automotive version would be needed (SAE J3072 was created for this purpose).

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Assess and revise, if necessary, UL 1741. The UL 1741 Supplement SC task group should continue to support SAE J3072 and J3068/2 for V2G-AC.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): UL, SAE, IEEE

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
	3/17/2025 A.Krabbe, ULSE: <u>UL 1741 Inverters, Converters,</u>
	Controllers and Interconnection System Equipment for Use
	With Distributed Energy Resources Supplement SC

(Interconnection systems equipment "ISE") is currently
under development.

#### Gap G13: Maintain alignment between UL 9741 and UL 1741

With regard to V2G, these two standards complement each other and it is important to maintain alignment as they are revised. For UL 9741 to apply to V2G-AC, the standard needs to align with UL 1741 Supplement SC which calls out conformance to SAE J3072.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation:** Continue to update UL 9741 to achieve alignment with evolving UL 1741

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): UL, SAE, IEEE

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

#### • No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
	<b>3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL:</b> <u>UL 1741 Inverters, Converters,</u> <u>Controllers and Interconnection System Equipment for Use</u> <u>With Distributed Energy Resources</u> Supplement SC (Interconnection systems equipment "ISE") is currently under development
	<b>3/17/2025 A.Krabbe, ULSE</b> : <u>UL 1741 Inverters, Converters,</u> <u>Controllers and Interconnection System Equipment for Use</u> <u>With Distributed Energy Resources</u> Supplement SC (Interconnection systems equipment "ISE") is currently under development.

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap G14: Revise SAE J3072 to harmonize with UL 1741 SB

SAE J3072 currently references IEEE 1547 (2018) and IEEE 1547.1 (2020); however, it does not currently reference UL 1741 Supplement SB. UL 1741 Supplement SB contains approximately 30 pages of additions and corrections that need to be used in conjunction with the IEEE 1547 standard suite. IEEE 1547 is the test

standard where UL 1741 is the certification standard. They need to be used together. There are plans to amend J3072 to reference UL 1741 Supplement SB due to this situation. Note: J3072 inverters are intended to be used in conjunction with UL 1741 Supplement SC bidirectional EVSE (BEVSE).

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation:** Amend J3072 to reference and align with UL 1741 Supplement SB.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): SAE, IEEE, UL

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: Revise gap description to "SAE J3072 currently references IEEE 1547 (2018) and IEEE 1547.1 (2020) and was updated to reflect issues as found during development of UL 1741 Supplement SC bidirectional EVSE (BEVSE). As that supplement becomes finalized, consistency with SAE 3072 needs to be ensured" with a recommendation to "continue work on UL 1741 SC and ensure consistency with SAE 3072"

New Published Standards & Codes New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

Gap G15: Assess Interoperability Between Communication Protocols and Standards

Interoperability incorporates seamless implementation of communication protocols and standards, including coordinated testing procedures to verify end to end performance for grid interaction and support. The ability to assess interoperability between the utility and the downstream DER via allowed protocols per IEEE 1547 (IEEE 2030.5, SunSpec Modbus, and DNP3) is required to ensure utility / DER compatibility. UL 1741 Supplement SB (through reference to IEEE 1547) requires testing with the implemented protocol(s).

#### R&D Needs: No

Recommendation: Assess interoperability between communication protocols and standards

Priority: Low

Organization(s): UL, IEEE, ISO, OpenADR, SunSpec, DNP3, OCPP

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• <b>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus</b> : Significant work has been undertaken to use the IEC 61850-7-420		
data object names across many of these pr	data object names across many of these protocols, including IEEE 1815.2 (DER Profile for DNP3), OCPP	
2.0.1, ISO 15118-20 amendment x, SunSpec	<mark>c Modbus for DER. That work is not yet complete but is</mark>	
<mark>close.</mark>		
Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant V	Work:	
• No updates as of publication of this report.		
Comments Received on Gap for Future Considerat	ion:	
<ul> <li>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: Work need</li> </ul>	eds to continue on communications interoperability as V2G	
becomes more defined, and as other techn	ologies and requirements increase their capabilities.	
<ul> <li>4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: Comment or</li> </ul>	n Gap Description: IEEE1547, This effectively only covers	
coordination with inverters, for inverter fur	nctions. It does not cover coordination for energy or	
capacity. Those are proposed as new gaps.	The content is quite distinct for each so three separate gaps	
are appropriate, not one unwieldly one.		
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes	
2/25/2025 E Cleveland, Xanthus: JEC 62584:2024	2/25/2025 E Cleveland Xanthus: OCPP 2.0 as revisions to	
Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP) (OCPP 2 0 1)	IEC 63584:2024 Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP)	
open enarge romerrotocor (oerry, (oerr 2.0.1)		
	<mark>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>ISO 15118-</u></mark>	
	<u> 20:2022/DAmd1, Road vehicles — Vehicle to grid</u>	
	communication interface, Part 20: 2nd generation network	
	layer and application layer requirements Amendment 1: AC	
	DER service, MCS service, and improved security concept.	
	2/25/2025 E.Cleveland, Xanthus: IEEE 1815.2 (joint with	
	MESA) will define the communication requirements for	
	distributed energy resources (DER), with a special focus on	
	utility-scale energy storage systems (ESS) See more	
	adding source chergy storage systems (199). See more.	

Section 4 Grid Integration Recommendations/Comments Since Publication of Roadmap

Additional general recommendations for gaps in standards and codes related to the Section 4: Grid Integration.

 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: Check all uses of the term "rate" and where what is really meant is "price" (as in this case), use "price" instead. Rate is inherently ambiguous – sometimes price, sometimes tariff, and sometimes bill.

#### New Gap Suggestions

The following gap(s) was suggested during comments against the gaps progress report. This language did not go through EVSP working group or public review. It is for information only and has not been assigned an EVSP gap number but may be considered by the EV standardization community.

4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: New Gap Suggestion: Communicating Electricity Price Information to EVSE and EV To minimize customer costs, time-varying electricity prices need to be communicated to the EVSE and/or EV, to cover the time period when charging may occur. This may be a retail price, or a "local price" that reflects the true value of electricity at the point inside the customer site where the electricity is delivered to the EVSE. This also applies to EV export.

#### R&D Needed: Yes.

**Recommendation**: Review the various pathways that retail electricity prices (import and export) can pass through from the Energy Service Provider to the EVSE and EV. Identify suitable and recommended communication protocols, describe how complete their content is, and if any updates are needed.

#### Priority: High

Organization(s): OpenADR, IEEE, OCA, Connectivity Standards Alliance (CSA), SAE

4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: New Gap Suggestion: Communicating Electricity Capacity Information to EVSE and EV

To minimize customer costs, electricity capacity information needs to be communicated to the EVSE and/or EV, to cover the time period when charging may occur. This will typically be the capacity (import and export) limits at the customer meter, along with estimates of the future consumption of the rest of the customer site. The EVSE or EV may also be able to negotiate changes to capacity limits with the operator of the local distribution grid. See also Gap G11.

#### R&D Needed: Yes.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Review existing mechanisms in standards for coordinating customer capacity needs and limits between the grid and the customer site. Consider if any additional mechanisms should be developed. Determine the communication needs for this data. Identify suitable and recommended communication protocols, describe how complete their content is, and if any updates are needed.

Priority: High

Organization(s): OpenADR, IEEE, OCA, Connectivity Standards Alliance (CSA), SAE

#### 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: New Gap Suggestion: User Interface Standards

SAE J2402\_201001 defines standard symbols and colors for use on vehicle dashboards, so that users of vehicles will reliably understand how to safely operate it. It covers both passenger vehicles as well as medium and heavy duty trucks. Use of this standard is widespread and very successful. Charging user interfaces lack such a standard. There are generic UI standards (mainly ISO and IEC) to build on, and that the SAE standard references, but new content is needed. The UI elements may appear on vehicle dashboards, at the cable connection point, on charger hardware, and on display screens in the vehicle, charger, phone apps, or elsewhere. See also Gap G1.

#### R&D Needed: Yes.

**Recommendation**: Review the various relevant existing standards. Survey UI elements used on existing products, to understand the information elements that need to be conveyed, and what is used on existing products. The survey should cover as many geographies as is feasible. Elements may include symbols, colors, physical mappings, audio, tactile/haptic, and dynamic operation. Evaluate potential bodies to host the standard (e.g. SAE, ISO, or IEC).

Priority: High

Organization(s): IEEE, SAE, ISO, IEC

#### **New Published Standards**

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

• No additional newly published standards or codes provided as of publication of this report.

#### **New In-Development Standards**

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

• No additional in-development standards or codes provided as of publication of this report.

Back to Section 4 / Back to Table of Contents

## **SECTION 5: CYBERSECURITY**

A lack of cybersecurity has the potential to be a major impediment to the large-scale adoption and integration of EVs with the grid. The vast cross-sectoral nature of the EV ecosystem, combined with the complexity of systems and technologies required to integrate EVs onto the grid, exposes a multitude of cybersecurity risks. Apart from AC Level 1 chargers, EVSE has evolved rapidly to be networked and maintain a wide variety of communication functions. As communication networks for EVs, EVSE, and external systems increase, the attack surface also increases, leaving the charging infrastructure and wider EV ecosystem more open to exploitation of cybersecurity vulnerabilities. Cybersecurity breaches can affect the ability of charging equipment to function, expose personally identifiable and financial information, and more ominously affect safe operations of the charging equipment and the vehicles themselves, both during the charging processes and vehicle utilization.

SECTION	GAP #, TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
5	Gap S1: Comprehensive review of cybersecurity codes and standards	Х		
	for applicability to the EV charging ecosystem (Last Updated			
	<mark>3/19/2025)</mark>			
5	Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption	Х		
	system for the EV charging ecosystem (Last updated 2/25/2025)			
5	Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy	Х		
5	Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design"		Х	
5	Gap S5: Digital Cybersecurity as Part of Interconnection Standards		Х	
	(Last updated 2/25/2025)			
5	Gap S6: Cybersecurity of Power Management under DER Aggregation		Х	
	<u>Scenarios</u>			
5	Gap S7: Cybersecure Firmware and Software Updates (Last Updated	Х		
	<mark>3/17/2025)</mark>			
5	Gap S8: EVSE Cyber-physical Vulnerabilities (Last updated 3/13/2025)		Х	
Section 5 Cybersecurity Recommendations / Comments Roadmap Publication (Last Updated 3/17/2025)				

Gap S1: Comprehensive review of cybersecurity codes and standards for applicability to the EV charging ecosystem

Gaps should be identified and prioritized.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Conduct a comprehensive inventory and review of standards with regard to cybersecurity applicability across the EV charging ecosystem. Ascertain potential gaps with regard to cybersecurity. In Winter 2023, Southern California Edison proceeded on a project for the California Energy Commission to explore

cybersecurity codes and standards gaps with stage 1 focusing on identifying gaps and stage 2 to initiate addressing them.

Priority: High

Organization(s): Industry, Government, SDOs

**Updates Since v3 was Published** (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:**

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
3/19/2025 D.Trayers, UL: <u>ANSI/UL 5500 (July</u> 2023) <u>Remote Software Updates</u> , is limited to software influencing safety of particular end products, which can include EVSE/EVCE.	
2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: Updates to <u>IEC</u> 62351:2025 SER, Power systems management and associated information exchange - Data and communications security - ALL PARTS and specifically <u>IEC 62351-9:2023 Cyber security key</u> management for power system equipment	
2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEC TS 62443-1-5:2023, Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 1-5: Scheme for IEC 62443 security profiles</u> 2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEC PAS 62443-2-2:2025, Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 1-5: Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 1-5: Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 1-5: Security for industrial systems - Part 1-5: Security - Part 1-5: Security for industrial systems - Part 1-5: Security for industrial systems - Part 1-5: Security - Part</u>	
<u>control systems – Part 2-2: IACS security</u> <u>protection scheme</u> 2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEC 62443-2-</u>	
4:2023, Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 2-4: Security program requirements for IACS service providers	
2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEEE 1547.3-</u> 2023, Guide for Cybersecurity of Distributed <u>Energy Resources Interconnected with Electric</u> <u>Power Systems</u> Guidelines for cybersecurity of distributed energy resources (DER)	

# Gap S2: The lack of an end-to-end secure trust chain and encryption system for the EV charging ecosystem

This results from the use of different protocols and data transfer mechanisms between EV charging related systems. An entity trust chain is needed across all elements of the EV charging ecosystem incorporating a comprehensive public key infrastructure (PKI).

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation**: Industry consensus and implementation is needed for a comprehensive end-to-end trust chain incorporating a PKI system for the EV charging ecosystem. Consideration could be given to the Cab Authority Browser (CAB) forum as a model to reach consensus. While it appears that in some cases EV-EVSE communications may be fully encrypted, it not clear that other communication channels within the EV ecosystem (e.g., from the charging stations to the EVSPs, and between CNOs) are fully secure. ISO 15118 provides guidance on secure communications, but gaps remain. IEEE P2030.5 indicates there must be end-toend security but does not provide the means to achieve this. Close coordination should be established with the SAE EV Collaborative Research Project (CRP) which has developed a PKI system and is now shifting to implementation. Standards that support a V2G communications interface include: IEC 62351 and IEC 62443 (both of which reference ISO 15118-2 and 15118-20) to ensure system security, including cybersecurity protection of digital keys. ISO 15118-2 and ISO 15118-20 work together to support the EV to grid interface. As appropriate, implement codes and standards development to reflect implementation of an industry agreed upon PKI.

#### Priority: High

<u>Organization(s)</u>: Industry including equipment and system manufacturers, CNOs, aggregators, PKI infrastructure developers, Government, Associations, and SDOs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

<ul> <li>No comments as of publication of this report.</li> </ul>	
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEC 63584:2024</u>	2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: OCPP 2.0 as revisions to
Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP). (OCPP 2.0.1)	IEC 63584:2024 Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP).

2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: Updates to <u>IEC</u> 62351:2025 SER, Power systems management and associated information exchange - Data and communications security - ALL PARTS and specifically <u>IEC 62351-9:2023 Cyber security key</u> management for power system equipment 2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEC TS 62443-1-</u> 5:2023, Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 1-5: Scheme for IEC 62443 security profiles	<ul> <li>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>ISO 15118</u>- 20:2022/DAmd1, Road vehicles — Vehicle to grid communication interface, Part 20: 2nd generation network layer and application layer requirements Amendment 1: AC DER service, MCS service, and improved security concept.</li> <li>2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: IEEE 1815.2 (joint with MESA) will define the communication requirements for distributed energy resources (DER), with a special focus on utility-scale energy storage systems (ESS). <u>See more</u>.</li> </ul>
2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEC PAS 62443-</u> 2-2:2025, Security for industrial automation and control systems – Part 2-2: IACS security protection scheme 2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: IEC 62443-2-	
4:2023, Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 2-4: Security program requirements for IACS service providers 2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEEE 1547.3-</u>	
2023, Guide for Cybersecurity of Distributed Energy Resources Interconnected with Electric Power Systems Guidelines for cybersecurity of distributed energy resources (DER) interconnection with electric power systems (EPS) are provided in this guide.	

#### Gap S3: Cybersecurity and Data Privacy

Due to the nature of cybersecurity, the interactions of systems, and the emerging threats environment, there is an ongoing need for guidelines and standards to address cybersecurity and data privacy concerns specific to EVs and smart grid communications. Architectures should be designed with cybersecurity in mind.

#### R&D Needed: No

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Develop guidelines and standards to address cybersecurity and data privacy concerns specific to EVs and smart grid communications.

Priority: High

Organization(s): IEC, IEEE, ISO, NIST, SAE, UL

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):
No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap S4: Robust "Security-by-Design"

Security-by-Design is needed for equipment and systems throughout the EV charging ecosystem.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation**: Assess cybersecurity requirements in the initial design phases of equipment and systems throughout the EV charging ecosystem. This should be a broad-based assessment examining cybersecurity risks across the EV ecosystem including such areas as mobile apps and platforms. Identify common methods including required and optional features and functions. Establish robust metrics identifying security-by-design; for example, passing vulnerabilities testing. Consider exploration of other industries with similar challenges. Identify gaps and provide recommendations to serve as a model and establish a framework for future codes and standards development. Implement codes and standards, as appropriate, to advance "Security-by-Design" practices.

Consideration could be given for a process similar to the "Threat and Risk Analysis" that is part of ISO 21434 and UNECE R155, and that can apply to EVSEs (and is already done on EVs today for models that are sold in the UNECE countries). Consideration should be given to existing "security by design" requirements already in place in the automotive industry.

Priority: Medium

Organization(s): Auto OEMs, EVSE manufacturers, CNOs, EVSPs, utilities, Government, and SDOs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes

#### Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap S5: Digital Cybersecurity as Part of Interconnection Standards

Cybersecurity threats exist at the power system point of interconnection. The digital interconnection could be compromised which may affect the electrical interconnection. Presently, there appears to be no standards requirements nor other guidance for utilities to address digital cybersecurity challenges.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation**: Assess the need and requirements for cybersecurity as part of power system interconnection standards. Determine cybersecurity challenges facing the digital interface (such as digital entry points) and the hosting capability of existing systems. As part of interconnection agreements, electricity providers should query downstream entities on factors potentially affecting digital cybersecurity such as the number of inverters envisioned to be operating. As appropriate, undertake cybersecurity codes and standards development for power system interconnection.

Priority: Medium

**Organization(s)**: Electric utility industry, Government, Aggregators, and SDOs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: IEEE 1547.3 and IEC 62443 series are being used by utilities, but with
no overall set of requirements. DOE/NARUC has published a high-level set of DER cybersecurity
recommendations, but with few details specific to EVs. The California Public Utilities Commission
(CPUC)'s High DER OIR has published a Cybersecurity Working Group report on cybersecurity
requirements for DER, but that effort is waiting for further clarifications on CPUC actions.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: <u>IEEE 1547.3-</u>	2/25/2025 F.Cleveland, Xanthus: Revision of IEEE 1815-
2023, Guide for Cybersecurity of Distributed	2012 Standard for Electric Power Systems
Energy Resources Interconnected with Electric	Communications-Distributed Network Protocol (DNP3) to
Power Systems Guidelines for cybersecurity of	include cybersecurity provisions Secure Authentication
distributed energy resources (DER)	(SAv6) to use Advanced Malware Protection (AMP)
interconnection with electric power systems	
(EPS) are provided in this guide.	

Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap S6: Cybersecurity of Power Management under DER Aggregation Scenarios

Cybersecurity gaps exist with regard to aggregation of DERs for Grid Services and subsequent power management.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation:** Assess cybersecurity threats resulting from the aggregation of DERs and subsequent power management within the context of grid services. Identify requirements under multiple use case scenarios, considering broad elements such as the use of telemetry and ability of aggregators to ensure security. Consider IEEE P2030.5 and FERC 2222 as a starting place for guidance. As appropriate, implement codes and standards development to mitigate risks.

#### Priority: Medium

**<u>Organization(s)</u>**: Industry, Government, equipment and system developers, and SDOs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):
No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### **Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:**

•	No comments as of	publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

#### Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap S7: Cybersecure Firmware and Software Updates

Cybersecurity posture, unlike safety, diminishes over time as the threat landscape evolves and new vulnerabilities are uncovered. Therefore, updating/patching of software is absolutely paramount to maintain good cybersecurity for the life time of vehicles.

There is a need for secure firmware and software updates for equipment and systems within the EV charging ecosystem. Signed, authenticated updates are required from trusted sources. Although some OEMs also have developed their own algorithms to protect firmware/software updates, open-sourced the algorithms, and shown they are compatible with the majority of automotive processors on the market today, the approaches are fragmented and may need standardization.

**<u>R&D Needed</u>**: Maybe. It would be helpful to obtain data which would provide OEMs a mechanism to ensure trust in and provide authorization, certification, and dissemination.

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: Determine needs and requirements, and as appropriate, implement codes and standards development. Explore industry best practices.

Priority: High

Organization(s): OEMs, EVSE manufacturers, EVSPs, and SDOs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• No updates as of publication of this report.

#### Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:

• No comments as of publication of this report.

New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes
<b>3/17/2025 A.Krabbe, ULSE</b> : <u>UL 5500 Remote</u> <u>Software Updates</u> (Edition 1, July 2023) support	
uns gap.	

#### Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

#### Gap S8: EVSE Cyber-physical Vulnerabilities

EVSE have physical vulnerabilities that can serve as threat vectors and cascade to cybersecurity high consequence events.

#### R&D Needed: Yes

**Recommendation:** Compile a thorough assessment of EVSE physical vulnerabilities and ascertain the principal threat vectors within the overarching physical design. Examples may include such items as debug ports (JTAGs), lockable cabinets, and physical issues of the cable such as broken wire and the potential to wrap and extract information. Prepare recommendations for mitigation. Conduct standards development culminating in a recommended practice addressing EVSE physical vulnerabilities.

Priority: Medium - Low

Organization(s): EVSE manufacturers, national laboratories, and SDOs

Updates Since v3 was Published (Research, Regulation/Policy, Conformity Assessment, etc.):

• No updates as of publication of this report.

Other Committees / Organizations with Relevant Work:

• **3/13/2025 B.Doherty NEMA:** NEMA formed a technical committee to develop a guideline.

**Comments Received on Gap for Future Consideration:** 

No comments as of publication of this report.		
New Published Standards & Codes	New In-Development Standards & Codes	
	<b>3/13/2025 B.Doherty NEMA:</b> NEMA filed an <u>ANSI PINS for</u> <u>BSR/NEMA EVSE 40006-202X, Cyber and Physical Security</u> <u>of Electrical Vehicle Supply Equipment (new standard)</u> <u>PINS: Mar 1, 2024</u> to develop a standard to define best practices that mitigate cyber/physical vulnerabilities of Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment.	

#### Section 5 Cybersecurity Recommendations/Comments Roadmap Publication

Additional general recommendations for gaps in standards and codes related to the Section 5: Cybersecurity.

- 3/17/2025 A.Krabbe, ULSE: UL 2900 Series are General Cybersecurity Standards, including
  - <u>UL 2900-1 Software Cybersecurity for Network-Connectable Products, Part 1: General</u> <u>Requirements</u> (Edition 2, April 2023) This standard applies to network-connectable products that shall be evaluated and tested for vulnerabilities, software weaknesses and malware.
     <u>UL 2900-2-2 Outline of Investigation for Software Cybersecurity for Network-Connectable</u> Products, Part 2-2: Particular Requirements for Industrial Control Systems (Edition 1, 2016)

#### **New Published Standards**

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

• No additional newly published standards or codes provided as of publication of this report.

#### **New In-Development Standards**

Additional newly published standards or codes related to vehicle safety that are not already included in the chapter above.

• No additional in-development standards or codes provided as of publication of this report.

Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS & UPDATES RELATED TO THE EVSP ROADMAP**

Additional general recommendations for gaps in standards and codes related to Electric Vehicles.

#### 4/8/2025, B.Nordman, LBL: Comments on the June 2023 original Roadmap

- The concept of V2G should be disaggregated into inverter functionality, discharge to the customer site that doesn't result in customer export to the grid, and discharge that does result in export. Vehicles are NOT connected to the grid. They are connected (by the EVSE) to the customer site.
- The discussion treats the customer as a mostly passive actor. The customer should be understood to be an active agent in their own affairs, with their preferences acted on by devices they own. Coordination with the grid is essential, but more on a peer basis than a central control paradigm.
- Charging in multi-family residential sites should be added as an additional application context.
- Curbside charging needs more attention, both chargers operated publicly, and those operated by adjacent property owners.
- It is unclear why microgrids are referenced. Microgrids only come into play when the grid is down, in which case no coordination with the grid is needed. Any customer might have sophisticated functionality internally, but not have microgrid capability.
- It would be good to more clearly differentiate the three domains of grid coordination: inverter management, energy management, and capacity management.
- Residential applications are described as different from others. We should seek to have customer type have as little to do with the technology as possible. Charging stations are special – no other customer type is.
- Some of the discussion about coordination for EVs is really coordination with customers in general and should not be seen as, or implemented as, being EV-specific.
- The Matter protocol is now very relevant and should be incorporated.

Back to Section 5 / Back to Table of Contents

# www.ansi.org/evsp

#### **EVSP Overview**

Formally launched in March 2011, the ANSI Electric Vehicles Standards Panel (EVSP) is a cross-sector coordinating body whose objective is to foster coordination and collaboration on standardization matters among public- and private-sector stakeholders to enable the safe, mass deployment of electric vehicles (EVs) and associated infrastructure in the United States with international coordination, adaptability, and engagement. The ANSI EVSP does not develop standards; rather, it serves as a forum for facilitating coordination among standards developing organizations (SDOs) and others.

#### **EVSP Standardization Roadmap**

On June 15, 2023, ANSI announced the publication of the *Roadmap of Standards and Codes for Electric Vehicles at Scale* developed by the EVSP. The roadmap's primary focus is on light-duty, on-road plug-in EVs that are recharged via a connection to the electrical grid, as well as the supporting charging infrastructure needed to power them. Medium and heavy-duty EVs are also covered, as is wireless charging. A total of 37 standardization gaps are identified with corresponding recommendations across the topical areas of vehicle systems, charging infrastructure, grid integration, and cybersecurity. Of the 37 gaps, 14 gaps/recommendations are identified as high priority, 20 as medium priority, and 3 as low priority. In 23 cases, additional pre-standardization research and development (R&D) is needed.

Some 80 individuals from 130 public- and private-sector organizations supported the roadmap's development, including U.S. federal government agencies and national laboratories, standards developing organizations (SDOs), industry, academia, and others. The document represents the culmination of the EVSP's work to identify key safety, performance, and interoperability issues for EVs and charging infrastructure, relevant published standards and standards in development, and to assess gaps.

1180 Avenue of the Americas, 10th Floor New York, NY 10036

T: 212-642-4900

www.ansi.org

