December 2022 Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps

The ANSI Unmanned Aircraft Systems Standardization Collaborative (UASSC) is tracking progress by standards developing organizations (SDOs) and others to address the gaps identified in the UASSC's *Standardization Roadmap for Unmanned Aircraft Systems* (Version 2.0, June 2020). The updates provided in this progress report were derived from various sources: direct inputs from SDO staff and subject matter experts (with attribution), SDO alert mechanisms, and independent research by ANSI staff based on publicly available SDO work programmes and other information. As such, this report should not be viewed as a consensus document and it does not necessarily reflect the views of the individuals or organizations named. It is intended to be a "living document" that will be maintained and periodically re-published as standards development work continues or until such time as the UASSC undertakes to develop a next version of its standardization roadmap. Margin comments and suggested edits to the gaps are left in intentionally to be addressed at a later date.

Click on any of the roadmap gap titles below for the most recent updates (highlighted and dated) since the deadline for input (6/1/2022) on the June 2022 progress report which was published 6/14/2022. You will see fields for updates since roadmap version 2 was published, new published standards, and new in-development standards. In some cases, staff has determined that a published standard or in-development standard may be responsive to an identified V2 gap(s) or topical area based on the standard's title/abstract. In other cases, staff was unable to make such a determination and, in such cases, the standard is listed at the end of a chapter.

Updates, corrections, and suggested edits should be sent to uassc@ansi.org.

Navigational links:

- Control + click in table of contents takes you to the chapter list of gaps
- · Control + click in the chapter list of gaps (organized by high, medium, low priority) takes you to the gap
- Control + click on a gap takes you to the chapter list of gaps
- · Control + click on "back to top" takes you to the top of the document

Table of Contents	
Chapter 6. Airworthiness Standards – W G1	2
Chapter 7. Flight Operations Standards: General Concerns - WG2	27
Chapter 8. Flight Operations Standards: Infrastructure Inspections, Environmental Applications, Commercial Services, Workplace Safety – WG3	36
Chapter 9. Flight Operations Standards: Public Safety – W G4	
Chapter 10. Personnel Training, Qualifications, and Certification Standards: General – WG2	

©2022 American National Standards Institute (ANSI). All rights reserved. Published by ANSI. Printed in the United States of America.

Limited License: This material may be copied without permission from ANSI only for non-commercial and nonpromotional purposes and if and to the extent that text is not altered or deleted in any fashion and the ANSI copyright is clearly noted as set forth immediately above. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, except as permitted by the Limited License or under Sections 107 or 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Material in this publication is for educational purposes. Neither the publisher nor the authors assume any liability for any errors or omissions or for how this publication or its contents are used or interpreted or for any consequences resulting directly or indirectly from the use of this publication. For legal or other advice, please consult your personal lawyer or the appropriate professional.

The views expressed by the individuals in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views shared by the companies they are employed by (or the companies mentioned in this publication). The employment status and affiliations of authors with the companies referenced are subject to change.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 1 of 60

Chapter 6. Airworthiness Standards - WG1

High Priority (Tier 1) (Most Critical)

- Gap A1: UAS Design and Construction (D&C) Standards (12/05/2022)
- Gap A2: UAS System Safety (12/05/2022)
- Gap A6: Alignment in Standards Between Aviation and Cellular Communities (12/05/2022)
- Gap A7: UAS Navigational Systems (12/05/2022)
- Gap A8: Protection from Global Navigation Satellite Signals (GNSS) Interference Including Spoofing
- and Jamming (12/05/2022)
- Gap A9: Detect and Avoid (DAA) Capabilities (12/05/2022)
- Gap A10: Software Considerations and Approval (12/05/2022)
- Gap A12: UAS Cybersecurity (12/05/2022)
- New Gap A20: Unlicensed Spectrum Interference Predictability (5/23/2022)

High Priority (Tier 2) (Critical)

- Gap A4: Avionics and Subsystems (12/05/2022)
- Gap A16: Mitigation Systems for Various Hazards to UAS (12/05/2022)
- Gap A18: Maintenance and Inspection (M&I) of UAS (6/10/2021)
- Gap A19: Enterprise Operations: Levels of Automation/ Autonomy and Artificial Intelligence (AI) (12/05/2022)

High Priority (Tier 3) (Least Critical)

- Gap A13: Electrical Systems (12/05/2022)
- Gap A14: Power Sources and Propulsion Systems (12/05/2022)
- Gap A15: Noise, Emissions, and Fuel Venting (12/05/2022)
- Gap A17: Parachute or Drag Chute as a Hazard Mitigation System in UAS Operations over People (OOP) (12/05/2022)

Medium Priority

- Cap A11: Flight Data and Voice Recorders for UAS (5/17/2022) New Cap A21: Blockchain for UAS (5/17/2022)

Back to Top

Gap A1: UAS Design and Construction (D&C) Standards. There are numerous standards applicable to the D&C of manned aircraft which are scalable in application to UASCS. However, these standards fail to address the critical and novel aspects essential to the safety of unmanned operations (i.e., DAA, software, BVLOS, C2 link, CS, Highly Integrated System, etc.). Lacking any regulatory certifications/publications/guidance (type certificate (TC)/ supplemental type certificate (STC)/Technical Standard Order (TSO)/AC), manufacturers and/or operators require applicable industry standards capable of establishing an acceptable baseline of D&C for these safety-critical fight operation elements such as CS to support current regulatory flight operations and those authorized by waiver and or grants of exemption. Since the CS is one of the most critical parts and functions of the UAS needed to command and control UA remotely, the standards applicable to traditional manned aviation's airborne electronics (software, hardware, integration) spectrum, etc.) may need to be considered for the UAS as well either in the same manner and level or higher than that of the manned aviation aircraft to provide the acceptable level of safety. Some industry standards such as RTCA DO-278 may be applicable to the software aspects of the CS. However, there are currently no known industry standards that support the D&C of UAS CS, other than ASTM F3002-14a for sUAS under Part 107 and SAE AS6512, which addresses all unmanned systems whose means of conveyance includes air, water, and ground. The AS6512 UxS Control Segment Architecture is concerned with control station software but not the control station software external environment, which including information access, communications, and human-computer interfaces. ASTM F3563-22, Specification for Design and Construction of Large Fixed Wing Unmanned Aircraft Systems, addresses requirements for Control Station (CS) of varying size, complexities and functions.

R&D Needed: No

- Recommendation:
- 1) Complete work on in-development standards.
- 2) Develop D&C standards for UA and CS, and consider operations beyond the scope of (egular) Part 107
- operations such as flight altitudes over 400 feet AGL, and any future technological needs.
- 3) Develop D&C standards for UA weighing more than 19,000 pounds and develop standards for accompanying CS.

Priority: High (Tier 1)

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 2 of 60

Commented [GU1]: 6/1/2022 Brandon Suarez

Suggest that ANSI add a new GAP:

V2X Surveillance and Communication

DO-304A articulates a technical gap opened by the FAA Remote ID rulemaking that amended 91,215/225 to not allow UAS to equip with Transponder or ADS-B.

GAMA White Paper from 2021 provides some basis

RTCA SC-228 has formed an Ad Hoc WG to develop White Paper in collaboration with SC-186 and SC-147.

Commented [CB2R1]: Will be addressed during a future iteration of the roadmap.

Commented [rh3]: Is the intent of integration to include systems as far as referencing SW and HW? SYS is usually considered a different scope level (i.e, DO-178, DO-254, DO-297, etc) and included.

Commented [CB4]: Lissa Bern, Collins, 5/31/22

Has "regular" been defined or should it be removed? Should a specific CONOPS be used for definition or example explained for regular part 107?

Commented [rh5]: Use of "regular" when referencing Part 107 suggests other Part 107 operations are exempt. Recommend definition of regular and its intent to operations

Organization: ASTM, SAE, ISO, EUROCAE v2 Status of Progress: Green v2 Update: SAE S-18A Autonomy WG/EUROCAE WG-63 SG-1 AIR7121 SAE S-18/EUROCAE WG-63: AIR7209, ARP4754B, ARP4761A SAE A-6A3: ARP94910A ASTM F38: F3563-22, WK72958, WK72960 Numerous standards have been published and are in-development that address the entire spectrum of UAS and its operations. Updates Since v2was Published: 5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM F3563-22, Specification for Design and Construction of Large Fixed Wing Unmanned Aircraft Systems 11/29/2021, Judith Ritchie, SAE: New SAE G-35 Modeling, Simulation & Training for Emerging Aviation Technologies and Concepts Committee will develop industry consensus standards that define the requirements for Modeling and Simulation (M&S) for aircraft, their technologies and concepts in support of certification regulations New Published Standards New In-Development Standards 12/5/2022. RFM: RTCA DO-380. RTCA no longer plans 12/05/2022, JR: SAE AIR7209 Development Assurance Principles for Aerospace Vehicles and Systems The to have a revision of DO-380 as the FAA has declined to use the document and no stakeholder has asked for the purpose of this SAE Aerospace Information Report (AIR) is to provide a high-level set of principles to update. support aerospace projects required to use a formal development assurance process, such as ARP4754/ED-12/04/2022, Dave Franks: SAE E-40 AIR7128 -79 (at latest revision), to show regulatory compliance. Integration and Certification Considerations for Examples of projects where a formal development Electrified Propulsion Aircraft: This document provides a assurance process is needed are those that have comprehensive compilation of currently available significant functional interactions or whose products practices, standards, regulations and guidance material cannot be fully analyzed or tested. Development that have been considered relevant for developing an assurance techniques reduce the likelihood of electrified propulsion system (independently or as part undetected errors that could have safety impacts in the of an aircraft) and that may also help the applicants in operation of the product. Design and analysis the process of building their own certification approach techniques traditionally applied to deterministic risks or with their Authority. It also covers unique considerations to conventional, non-complex systems may not provide for electrified propulsion development and aircraft adequate safety coverage for more complex systems integration. It focuses on the particularities introduced by the new technology. This document is not intended to 12/04/2022, Dave Franks: <u>SAE AS6512B Unmanned</u> represent a proposed Means of Compliance with any Systems (UxS) Control Seament (UCS) Architecture particular certification regulation. This document is the Architecture Description (AD) for the SAE Unmanned Systems (UxS) Control Segment 11/14/2022, PK: ASTM WK82742 Standard Practice to (UCS) Architecture Library Revision B or, simply, the support UAS manufacturers in obtaining Production UCS Architecture. The architecture is expressed by a Approval in concert with Type Certification for UAS library of publications as referenced herein. The other SAE publications in the UCS Architecture Library 05/20/2022, AF: EUROCAE as launched two new Revision B are AS6513B and AS6518B. The library also standards: includes the government-owned Autonomous Ground Minimum Operational Performance Standard for Vehicle Reference Architecture (AGVRA) Data Model Command Unit Core Layer of UAS to be operated in Framework Version 3.1A. the EASA certified category of operations Guidance document to support the development of 12/04/2022 Dave Franks: SAE AS6849 - Performance Means of Compliance (MoC) for EASA Special Standards for Passenger and Crew Seats in Advanced Condition Light-UAS - Medium Risk Air Mobility (AAM) Aircraft - This SAE Aerospace Standard (AS) defines qualification requirements, and 6/10/2021, JM: In development in ISO/TC 20/SC16: minimum documentation requirements for forward and ISO/AWI 5309, Vibration test methods for lightweight aft facing seats in Advanced Air Mobility aircraft. The and small civil UAS ISO/AWI 5332, Test methods for goal is to achieve occupant protection under normal civil lightweight and small UAS under low pressure operational loads and to define test and evaluation conditions criteria to demonstrate occupant protection when the seat is subjected to statically applied ultimate loads and 5/24/2021, AS: RTCA expects to have a version A of to dynamic test conditions. DO-380 published in the 2025 timeframe. 5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK62670 now approved as F3563-22, Specification for Design and Construction

Commented [PK6]: ASTM has released standards (i.e., F2911-14E1, F2930-16E1, F2972-15, F3035-22, F3198-18, F2839-11, F3003-14, F3205-17) in support of manufacturing of light sport air craft and small UAS (sUAS). These standards include best practices for promoting production compliance, however recently emerging unique aspects of UAS type certification (e.g., Durability and Reliability means of compliance, Associated Elements, Certified Category) require UAS-specific production approval guidance to the UAS community. Part of this tast/activity will be to evaluate the other ASTM standards for relevance to production approval for UAS and leverage existing standards insofar as practicable.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 3 of 60

of Large Fixed Wing Unmanned Aircraft Systems developed by committee F38.01.

11/13/2020, JM: ASTM <u>F3478</u> - <u>Standard Practice for</u> Development of a <u>Durability and Reliability Flight</u> <u>Demonstration Program for Low-Risk Unmanned</u> <u>Aircraft Systems (UAS) under FAA Oversight</u> is a new standard, now available. F3478-20 developed by Committee F38.01.

6/11/2020, JM: RTCA <u>DO-380-Environmental</u> <u>Conditions and Test Procedures for Ground Equipment.</u> This document defines a series of minimum standard applicable test procedures for ground-based equipment. In this document ground-based equipment includes stationary ground, mobile/portable ground, or sea-based equipment. The purpose of these tests is to provide a laboratory means of determining the performance characteristics of ground-based equipment in environmental conditions representative of those which may be encountered in ground-based operation of the equipment.

Back to Top

Gap A2: UAS System Safety. Numerous UAS airworthine	ss standards, appropriate regulations, operational risk	
assessment (ORA) methodologies, and system safety processes already exist. Any gaps that exist in standards		
applicable to specific vehicle classes and weight are being addressed by SAE S-18A Autonomy WG / EUROCAE		
WG-63 SG-1 (in collaboration with EUROCAE WG-105).		
R&D Needed: Yes. Further examination is needed to dete	rmine if existing safety system processes are indeed	
adequate and if gaps are being addressed to the extent ne	eded. S-18A Autonomy WG is looking at this.	
Recommendation: Develop an aerospace information re	port or standard(s) in which the various existing	
airworthiness and safety analyses methods are mapped to	the sizes and types of UAS to which they are most	
relevant, and the UAS system safety and development as	surance are addressed.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: SAE, EUROCAE, RTCA, IEEE, ASTM, DC	D, NASA, SAE ITC ARINC IA	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update:		
 SAE S-18A Autonomy WG/EUROCAE WG-63 SG-1 A 	IR7121 (in collaboration with EUROCAE WG-105)	
 SAE S-18/EUROCAE WG-63 AS7209, ARP4754B, AF 	RP4761A	
SAE AS-4		
• SAE G-32 (with collaboration with EUROCAE WG-72)		
SAE G-34 / EUROCAE WG-114		
• Numerous standards have been published and are in-	development that address the entire spectrum of UAS and	
its coerations.		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
 Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) Annex H, UTM 		
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		
RTCA Internet Protocol Suite Special Committee and	AeroMACS	
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Internet Protocol Suite subcommitt		
 SAE ITC. ARINC IA Network Infrastructure and Security subcommittee 		
SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
12/05/2022 RFM: RTCA DO-346A Minimum Operational	5/24/2022 - RTCA DO-346A AeroMACS MOPS update	
Performance Standards (MOPS) for the Aeronautical	to address the required changes to ensure compatibility	
Mobile Airport Communication System (AeroMACS), the	with other communication systems and standards	
AeroMACS MOPS update, published in June 2022.	Expected Publication December 2022.	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 4 of 60

Commented [rh7]: Clarification on g ap – as a result of conservative reuse of manned standards updated for UAS applicability? Or as a result new functionality and technolog y use cases that are now introduced specific for UAS environments.?

6/17/2021, JM: DO-304A Guidance Material and Considerations for Unmanned Aircraft Systems. This is an update to the original DO-304 that is a Guidance Document addressing all Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) and UAS operations being considered for realistic implementation in the US National Airspace System (NAS) in the foreseeable future. The Use Cases have been updated in DO-304A to include scenarios for Cargo Missions, Survey Missions, High Altitude Platform Systems, and Urban Air Mobility. The document is intended to educate the community and be used to facilitate future discussions on UAS standards. It provides the aviation community a definition of UAS, a description of the operational environment, and a toplevel functional break down. It is NOT intended to be the basis for airworthiness certification and operational approval of UAS

5/24/2022 - RTCA MASPS for the Internet Protocol Suite for avionics certification. Expected Publication March 2023.

5/24/2022 - RTCA - Certification profiles for TCP / UDP / IP / DHCP / Routing / Mobility / Multilink protocols based on IETF RFCs Expected Publication March 2023.

05/20/2022, AF: EUROCAE W G-105 launched a revision of the published document ED-280. The deliverable, ED-280A, is titled: 'Guidelines for UAS Safety Analysis for the Specific Category (Low and Medium Levels of Robustness)'.

Back to Top

Gap A4: Avionics and Subsystems. Existing avionics standards are proven and suitable for UAS. However, they become unacceptable for the following scenarios: 1) As the size of UAS scales down, airborne equipment designed to existing avionics standards are too heavy, large, and/or power hungry. Therefore, new standards may be necessary to achieve an acceptable level of performance for smaller, lighter, more efficient, more economical systems. 2) As the quantity of UAS scales up based on the high demand of UAS operations into the NAS, the new standards are required to handle the traffic congestion. 3) Many UAS introduce new capabilities - new capabilities may not be mature (not statistically proven or widely used) and/or they may be proprietary, therefore industry standards do not exist yet. Avionics are becoming highly integrated with more automation compared to traditional avionics instruments and equipment that were found in manned aviation aircraft a few decades ago. UAS will decreasingly rely on human confirmations, human commands, human monitoring, human control settings, and human control inputs. A time is approaching when the UAS conveys the bare minimum information about its critical systems and mission to the human, that is, a message that conveys, "Everything is OK." Consideration of the interactions that may occur between avionics systems and higher-level mission and decisiondemarcation of responsibility between lower level guidance, navigation, and control (GNC) and the higher-level decision-making systems (which may include aspects of AI/ML). Standards to get there are different from those that created the cockpits in use today. Some of the major areas of concern include the reliability and cybersecurity of the command and control (C2) data link, use of DOD spectrum (and non-aviation) on civil aircraft operations, and enterprise architecture to enable UTM, swarm operations, autonomous flights, etc. Cybersecurity, in particular, shall be an important consideration in the development of avionics systems. Cybersecurity is further discussed in section 6.4.6. R&D Needed: Yes Recommendation: 1) One approach is to recommend that existing standards be revised to include provisions that address the points listed above. The UAS community should get involved on the committees that write the existing avionics standards. Collaboration around a common technological subject is more beneficial than segregating the workforce by manned vs. unmanned occupancy. The standards should address any differing (manned/unmanned) requirements that may occur. 2) Another approach is to recommend new standards that will enable entirely new capabilities. Complete work on the standards of ICAO, ASTM, SAE, and DOD listed above in the "In-Development 3) Standards" section. 4) Review existing and in-development avionics standards for UAS considerations. Create a framework for UAS avionics spanning both airborne and terrestrial based systems, Priority: High (Tier 2) Organization: For Avionics Issues: RTCA, EUROCAE, SAE, SAE ITC ARINC IA, IEEE, AIAA, ASTM, DOD, NASA ICAO. For Spectrum Issues: FCC, NTIA, International Telecommunication Union (ITU)

existing standards adequately address very highly integrated avionics, particularly with respect to mixed-criticality computing systems, fault management on same, or integration of different types of applications in the same platform (e.g. flight computers and communications hosted in the same hardware.)

Commented [rh8]: A further recommendation is to review if

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 5 of 60

v2 Status of Progress: Green

v2 Update: SAE AS-4JAUS published AS8024, JAUS Autonomous Capabilities Service Set in June 2019. A new standard in development in SAE G-34 is SAE AS6983, Process Standard for Qualification of Aeronautical Systems Implementing Al: Development Standard, ASTM F3298-19, Standard Specification for Design, Construction, and Verification of Lightweight Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), was also published. Updates Since v2 was Published:

Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) Annex H, UTM

Other Committees with Relevant Work:

- SAE ITC, ARINC IA Internet Protocol Suite subcommittee
- SAE ITC, ARINC IA Network Infrastructure and Security subcommittee
- SAE ITC, ARINC IA Fiber Optics subcommittee
- · SAE ITC, ARINC IA Data Link Systems subcommittee
- SAE ITC, ARINC IA Electronic Flight Bag subcommittee
- SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee

New Published Standards

05/20/2022, AF: EUROCAE W G-105 published ED-271: "Minimum Aviation System Performance Standard for Detect and Avoid (Traffic) in Class A-C airspaces" This document describes the Detect and Avoid function necessary to support the Remote pilot to operate the RPA in airspace A-C under IFR according to the OSED, ground based DAA not being covered. This standard specifies system characteristics, since it is composed of several individual components. It should be useful to designers, manufacturers, installers, service providers and users for systems. Compliance with this standard is recommended as one means of assuring that the system and each subsystem will perform its intended function(s) satisfactorily under all conditions normally encountered in routine aeronautical operations for the environments intended. One potential use of the MASPS is to support early system/application development and prototyping. Additionally, the MASPS may be implemented by one or more regulatory documents and/or advisory documents (e.g., certifications, authorizations, approvals, commissioning, advisory circulars, notices, etc.) and may be implemented in part or in total.

9/20/2021, JM:

RTCA DO-362 Errata 2 – Command and Control (C2) Data Link Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS), presented by SC-228, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System. This Errata restores a table inadvertently excluded from the original document.

RTCA DO-365B Errata – Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Detect and Avoid (DAA) Systems, presented by SC-228, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System This Errata corrects a publication error that inadvertently omitted a portion of Appendix H.

6/17/2021, AS: RTCA DO-387 Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance. This document contains Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance. The EO/IR sensor system is a surveillance source for

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

New In-Development Standards

11/18/2022, AF: EUROCAE W G-105 launched a revision of the published document ED-271. The deliverable, ED-271A, is titled: 'Minimum Aviation System Performance Specification for Detect & Avoid [Traffic] under IFR' and will cover all classes of airspaces (A-G).

4/22/2021, JM: According to the ISO/IEC JTC1 AG2 Technology Trend Report on Drone, there are four drone standards being developed at present by ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6, Telecommunications and information exchange between systems: 1) ISO/IEC AWI 4005-1: Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Low altitude drone area network (LADAN) - Part 1: Communication model and requirements 2) ISO/IEC AWI 4005-2: Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Low altitude drone area network (LADAN) - Part 2: Physical and data link protocols for shared communication 3) ISO/IEC AWI 4005-3: Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Low altitude drone area network (LADAN) - Part 3: Physical and data link protocols for control communication. 4) ISO/IEC AWI 4005-4: Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Low altitude drone area network (LADAN) - Part 4: Physical and data link protocols for video communication.

9/25/2020, MW: ASTM <u>WK74215</u> - <u>Standard</u> <u>Specification for Detect and Avoid System Performance</u> <u>Requirements</u> is a work item revision to existing standard F3442/F3442M-20 developed by Committee F38.01. Commented [rh9]: One aspect of the UAS standardization that appears to be "missing" pertains to pilot training requirements (for the initially manned versions and for remote "piloting" later). A big gap lies in accountability/liability. Pilot in Command means that the pilot has final authority and final responsibility for the operation and safety of the flight. This includes everything from the initial flight planning, to the preflight assuring airworthiness of the vehicle, to all aspects of the execution of the flight itself. In the UAS world, agap exists in determining who has responsibility for the pre-flight, assuring airworthiness, assessing the weather conditions, and calculating weight & balance, or liability in the event of a flight deviation or mishap? RTCA and the other standards organizations don't set responsibility/liability, but many of these concerns will need technical solutions that may need/require standards.

Page 6 of 60

non-cooperative intruders for a Detect and Avoid (DAA) system used in Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) transiting through Class B, C, D, E and G airspace and performing extended operations higher than 400 Above Ground Level (AGL) in Class D, E (up to FlightLevel 180 (FL180)), and G airspace. It includes equipment to enable UAS operations in Terminal Areas during approach and departure in Class C, D, E and G airspace and off-airport locations. It does not apply to small UAS (sUAS) operating in low level environments (below 400') or other segmented areas. Likewise, it does not apply to operations in the Visual Flight Rules (VFR) traffic pattern of an airport or to surface operations.

3/18/2021, JM: RTCA DO-365B Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Detect and Avoid (DAA) Systems, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System. The Detect and Avoid (DAA) system was specified to assist the remote pilot with operating an aircraft safely in the NAS. All aircraft flying in the NAS must comply with the operating rules of 14 CFR, specifically, §§ 91.3, 91.111, 91.113, 91.123 and 91.181(b), which address see and avoid, collision avoidance, right of way rules, and remaining well clear. The DAA equipment may also be used to comply with the duties in International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Annex 2 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, specifically Chapter 2, Paragraph 2.3.1. These operating regulations assumed that a pilot would be onboard the aircraft and would be able to fully comply with these rules. This document contains MOPS for DAA systems used in unmanned aircraft transiting and performing extended operations in Class D, E, and G airspace along with transiting Class B and C airspace. It includes equipment to enable UAS operations near Terminal Areas during approach and departure in Class C, D, E, and G airspace, and off airport locations, but not operating in the visual traffic pattern or on the surface. It does not apply to small UAS (under 55 pounds (Ibs)) operating in low level environments (below 400') or other segmented areas. This revision Added Class 3 - ACAS Xu, Non-cooperative DWC applicable to all classes, updated ATAR classes for different performance levels.

12/17/2020, JM: <u>RTCA DO-362A</u> Command and <u>Control (C2) Data Link Minimum Operational</u> <u>Performance Standard (Terrestrial)</u>. This document contains Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for the Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Command and Control (C2) Data Link (Control and Non-Payload Communication (CNPC) terrestrial Link System) used to support the Command and Control functions of a UAS. The CNPC includes the Link System supporting remote pilot-to/from-ATC voice communications, also referred to as ATC relay. Payload communications specifically include communications associated with the UA mission payloads, which do not contain safety-of-flight information.

12/17/2020, JM: RTCA DO-386 Vol I Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Airborne

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 7 of 60

Collision Avoidance System Xu (ACAS Xu) (Vol I), and	
DO-386 Vol II Minimum Operational Performance	
Standards for Airborne Collision Avoidance System Xu	
(ACAS Xu) (Vol II: Algorithm Design and Supplemental	
Material. This set of documents document defines the	
minimum operational performance standards (Vol I) and	
Algorithm Design Descriptions (Vol II) for the Airborne	
Collision Avoidance System Xu (ACAS Xu) equipment,	
designed for platforms with a wide range of surveillance	
technologies and performance characteristics such as	
Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS). Volume I contains	
system characteristics that should be of value to users,	
designers, manufacturers, and installers. These	
characteristics are intended to accommodate the	
requirements of various users. Vol II provides the	
Algorithm Design Description (ADD) for the Surveillance	
and Tracking Module (STM) and the Threat Resolution	
Module (TRM) of the next generation Airborne Collision	
Avoidance System (ACAS X). The algorithms are	
described at a sufficiently high level to allow for	
implementation in a variety of software languages and	
hardware platforms, thereby providing maximum	
freedom to manufacturers while ensuring the intended	
output from the system.	
9/10/2020, JM: RTCA <u>DO-366A-Minimum Operational</u>	
Performance Standards (MOPS) for Air-to-Air Radar for	
<u>Traffic Surveillance</u> . This document contains the first	
update to the Minimum Operational Performance	
Standards (MOPS) for the air-to-air radar for traffic surveillance. The intended application is supporting	
Detect and Avoid (DAA) operations including collision	
avoidance to detect intruders below 10,000' Mean Sea	
Level (MSL). These standards specify the radar system	
characteristics that should be useful for designers,	
manufacturers, installers and users of the equipment.	
The intended function of the radar is to detect and	
generate tracks for all airborne traffic within the radar	
detection volume. The onboard radar complements	
other airborne surveillance sensors by providing	
detection of non-cooperative traffic. The track should be	
established at sufficient range and with sufficient	
accuracy to enable the system to plan and execute a	
maneuver to keep the Unmanned Aircraft (UA) well	
clear of other traffic and avoid collisions. This document	
has the detailed performance and environmental	
requirements of the radar along with their verification	
methods. Verification includes bench tests, flight tests	
and environmental tests. Recommendations and flight	
tests for installed performance are also provided.	
9/10/2020, JM: RTCA <u>DO-382 Minimum Aviation</u>	
System Performance Standards CAS Interoperability.	
This document presents high level requirements (i.e.	
Minimum Aviation System Performance Standards	
(MASPS)) for the interoperability of airborne Collision	
Avoidance Systems (CAS). Its main objective is to	
ensure that new CAS do not degrade the operation of	
existing CAS. It specifies system characteristics that	
should be useful to designers, manufacturers, installers	
and users of the equipment. When some requirements	
cannot be fully defined, explanatory text is included to describe the basis on which requirements are to be	
developed. Compliance with these MASPS does not	
uova opeu. Compitance with these withors uses hol	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 8 of 60

ensure that the equipment will be approved for operation. These MASPS do not address the functionality or performance of CAS beyond the requirement of interoperability between CAS. Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) address safety and operational suitability performance criteria. Any MOPS that are developed for a future CAS should use these MASPS as guidance for its interoperability with existing CAS. Regulatory application of this document is the sole responsibility of the appropriate regulatory authority.	
7/21/2020, JM: ASTM <u>F3442/F3442M</u> - Standard Specification for Detect and Avoid System Performance <u>Requirements</u> is a new standard, now available	

Gap A6: Alignment in Standards Between Aviation and Cellular Communities. A gap exists in alignment between the aviation and cellular SDO communities, even when sufficient SDO efforts exist within each community. The telecommunications industry has already taken a number of steps to develop standards, particularly in 3GPP, to prepare networks for UAS applications. However, it is expected that fully addressing all KPIs of the C2 link and all the realistic use cases coming from the aviation industry will require further standardization activities. R&D Needed: Yes. The FAA also has worked with CTIA to develop testing principles for use of the commercial wireless networks to support UAS and is considering the outcome of those tests in conjunction with the IPPs and other testing. Recommendation: Collaboration between the UAS industry and communications industry is required to ensure feasibility of implementation. The aviation and cellular communities should coordinate more closely to achieve greater alignment in architecture and standards between the two communities. Specifically, advance existing work in 3GPP and ensure C2 link requirements are communicated to that group. In addition, architectures and standards could be developed for predicting or guaranteeing C2 link performance for a specific flight that is about to be undertaken.		
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: 3GPP, GSMA/GUTMA ACJA, ASRI, IEEE,	RTCA, EUROCAE, ATIS	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: Numerous standards are in development.		
Updates Since v2 was Published: • Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) Annex H, UTM Other Committees with Relevant W ork: GAMA Electric Propulsion and Innovation Committee: EPIC Concept Paper: Vehicle to Vehicle (V2V) Datalink Communications: Enabling Highly Automated Aircraft and High-Density Operations in the National Airspace (Version 1.0 December 2021)		
New Published Standards 12/5/2022, PM: ACJA Reference. Method: for assessing Cellular C2 Link Performance and RF Environment Characterization for UAS (published Oct. 2022). The a Reference Method includes: 1) the aerial and ground measurement of the c2 link performance between a particular drone type and its control station (CS), and 3) process and procedures for conducting flight measurement operations in a standardized fashion. 12/5/2022, PM: 3GPP TR 23.700-58 Study of Further Architecture Enhancement for UAV and UAM completed	New In-Development Standards 12/5/2022, PM: 3GPP TR 22.843 Study on Uncrewed Aerial Vehicle, R19 with resulting service requirements for UAS to be incorporated into 3GPP TS 22.125 (Uncrewed Aerial System support in 3GPP, R19). Work underway in 3GPP SA1 and anticipated to be completed in 1023. 12/5/2022, PM: ACJA Landscape White Paper on UAS Cellular Eccesystem (anticipated publication in 1023). This paper will describe an exhaustive set of entities involved in cellular communication of uncrewed aviation systems, their interrelationships among each other.	
Architecture Enhancement for UAV and UAW completed Dec. 2022. This work includes broadcast remote ID over cellular, and detect and avoid capability using PC5 direct cellular communications.	systems, their interrelationships among each other, related ACJA activities, and external standardization activities. 12/5/2022, PM: ACJA Network Data Service Definition V2.0 (note that version 1.0 was published under the	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 9 of 60

Commented [rh10]: There are some communication and networking topics that 3GPP and UAS standard body could collaborate tog ether. For example, they could address technical challenges presented by high altitude UAS interference. The study will characterize different technologies and propose a joint solution that optimizes network performance. In addition, UAS network architecture, including direct communications, network communications and the hybrid model, needs further studies for optimizing network utilization and guaranteeing UAV end-to-end performance. Other R&D topic may include the support of multiple cellular links for UAV reliability and robustness and the inter-network and intra-network handover management. 12/5/2022, PM: ATIS-I-0000092 (3GPP Release 17 -Building Blocks for UAV Applications). Published July 2022. This report describes how mobile networks supporting the Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) Release 17 specifications can enable uncrewed aerial vehicle (UAV) applications. It discusses how 3GPP's work fits with other specifications to address UAV needs and shows how the 3GPP system can be used to enhance the opportunities to safely use UAVs for commercial and leisure applications.

03/2022, PM: 3GPP TS 23.256, Support of Uncrewed Aerial Systems (UAS) connectivity, identification and tracking; Stage 2 (R17)

03/2022, PM: 3GPP TS 23.255, Application layer support for Uncrewed Aerial System (UAS); Functional architecture and information flows (R17)

12/2021, PM: 3GPP TR 33.854, Study on Security Aspects of UAS (R17)

9/20/2021, JM: RTCA DO-262F Errata – Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Avionics Supporting Next Generation Satellite Systems (NGSS), presented by SC-222, Aeronautical Mobile Satellite (Route) Services (AMS(R)S). This Errata added "or DFL" in sections E.2.2.1.1.4 and E.2.2.1.1.6 in DO-262F to correct inconsistencies between the valid equipment combinations listed in Table E-4 and the transceiver descriptions in the aforementioned sections.

9/16/2021. JM: RTCA DO-377A - Minimum Aviation System Performance Standards for C2 Link Systems Supporting Operations of Unmanned Aircraft Systems in U.S. Airspace, was issued 9/16/2021. This document contains the Minimum Aviation System Performance Standards (MASPS) for a C2 Link System connecting a Control Station (CS) and an Unmanned Aircraft (UA). This MASPS contains the standards which specify system characteristics, but it is design and frequency band independent. It is intended to be used by UAS operators, UAS Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM), C2 Link Service Providers, plus the FAA. Version A updates the original document to provide full analysis for additional use cases not provided in the initial release. This document now provides system performance requirements for Ku and Ka band SATCOM based C2 Link Systems. It contains new material on service level agreements as well as a methodology and an example for how to conduct a link budget analysis.

4/2021, PM: <u>3GPP_TR 23.755</u>, Study on application layer support for UAS (R17)

3/2021, PM: <u>3GPP TR 23.754</u>, Study on supporting UAS connectivity, ID, and tracking (R17)

2/4/2021, PM: <u>ACJA Network Coverage Service</u> <u>Definition V1.0</u>: This document describes Network Coverage Service, a general architecture comprising stakeholders, services, interfaces and data models for

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

name ACJA Network Coverage Service Definition). This document describes Network Data Service, a general architecture comprising stakeholders, services, interfaces and data models for the automated data exchange between MNOs and the UTM ecosystem. Publication expected 1Q23.

12/05/2022 RFM, RTCA SC-228: Joint development with EUROCAE WG-105 of a MOPS for Cellular C2 Link Publication is delayed. RTCA proposes a date of March, 2024.

6/2/2022, PM: 3GPP TR 23.700-58 (Ongoing work for R18) Study on Security Aspects of UAS. 3GPP (Post-R17) Study of Further Architecture Enhancement for UAV and UAM. This work will include broadcast remote ID over cellular, and detect and avoid capability using PC5 direct cellular communications.

6/2/2022, PM: 3GPP (Agreed work for R18 to commence 2H22) 5G New Radio (NR) Enhancements for UAS/UAV. This work will port LTE enhancements for UAS/UAV to 5G NR and will include support for PC5 direct cellular communications.

5/24/2022, RTCA SC-228: Joint development with EUROCAE WG-105 of a MOPS for Cellular C2 Link. Estimated publication date March, 2023

11/28/2021, JM: IEEE P1937.8, Standard for Functional and Interface Requirements for Urmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Cellular Communication Terminals. This standard specifies functional requirements and interface requirements for cellular communication terminals in Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. It provides specifications for hardware, signaling, data interfaces, environmental characteristics, performance, reliability, security, and configuration management.

Page 10 of 60

the automated data exchange between MNOs and the UTM ecosystem.	
11/3/2020, PM: <u>ACJA LTE Aerial Profile V1.00</u> . This document defines a profile for LTE Aerial Service by listing a number of LTE, Evolved Packet Core, and UE features that are considered essential to launch interoperable services. The defined profile is compliant with 3CPP specifications.	

 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Electronic Flight Bag subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Navigation Data Base subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Navigation Data Base subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Navigation Data Base subcommittee New Published Standards 12/5/2022, RFM RTCA DO-397. Guidence Material: Navigation Gass for Urmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS). was published in September 2022. This document is navigation systems and standards that if filled may better support UAS operations. While all possible future uAS operations is a very broad topic, to limit scope and provide near term IFRA and VFR-like planned path UAS operations for higher risk category fixed wing aircraft operating in and out of traditional airports. M/29/2022, OGC GeoPose 1.0 Data Exchange Draft Standard. 	Gap A7: UAS Navigation Systems. There is a lack of standards specifically for UAS navigation. There is a lack of navigation standards in novel environments where aircraft typically do not operate such as in "urban canyons." Challenging environments may invoke capabilities such as vision-based navigation. Otherwise, UAS could use existing ground infrastructure such as very high frequency (VHF) ormi-directional range (VOR), non-directional beacons (NDB), instrument landing systems (ILS), and satellite infrastructure (GPS), which has vast coverage, and make use of the new enhanced, long-range navigation (eLORAN) standards in development. UAS navigation can leverage many of the same standards used for manned aircraft, but at a smaller scale and lower altitudes. UAS stakeholders should evaluate their PNT performance requirements (precision, accuracy, timing, robustness, etc.) for their flight profiles. SAE6857 can be used as a point of reference. R&D Needed: Yes. A specific R&D effort geared towards applying tracking innovations in satellite navigation for UAS is needed. Additional R&D effort is needed to further mature, test, and validate vision-based navigation systems. Recommend ation: Depending on the operating environments, apply existing navigation standards for manned aviation to UAS navigation and/or develop UAS navigation standards for smaller scale operations and at lower altitudes. Refer to R&D needed. Furthermore, existing navigation practices used by connected/automated vehicle technology should be leverage to develop integrated feature-based/object-oriented navigation standards to orient the UAS platform in GNSS-deficient areas. Future standards work should be reviewed to allow for the installation of navigation systems on UAS limited by swap capabilities. Priority: High (Tier 1) Organizati		
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Navigation Data Base subcommittee New Published Standards 12/5/2022, RFM RTCA DO-397, Guidance Material, Navigation Gaps for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), was published in September 2022. This document is laying the initial groundwork to identify gaps in the navigation systems and standards that if filled may better support UAS operations. While all possible future UAS operations is a very broad topic, to limit scope and provide near term IFR and VFR-like planned path UAS operations for higher risk category fixed wing aircraft operating in and out of traditional airports. 11/29/2022, OGC GeoPose 1.0 Data Exchange Draft 	SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee		
 12/5/2022, RFM RTCA DO-397, Guidance Material Navigation Gaos for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), was published in September 2022. This document is laying the initial groundwork to identify gaps in the navigation systems and standards that if filled may better support UAS operations. While all possible future UAS operations is a very broad topic, to limit scope and provide near term ficus, this document intentionally is focused on identifying navigation gaps associated with near term IFR and VFR-like planned path UAS operations for higher risk category fixed wing aircraft operating in and out of traditional airports. 11/29/2022, OGC GeoPose 1.0 Data Exchange Draft 			
 12/5/2022, RFM RTCA DO-397, Guidance Material Navigation Gaos for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), was published in September 2022. This document is laying the initial groundwork to identify gaps in the navigation systems and standards that if filled may better support UAS operations. While all possible future UAS operations is a very broad topic, to limit scope and provide near term ficus, this document intentionally is focused on identifying navigation gaps associated with near term IFR and VFR-like planned path UAS operations for higher risk category fixed wing aircraft operating in and out of traditional airports. 11/29/2022, OGC GeoPose 1.0 Data Exchange Draft 	New Published Standards		
	12/5/2022, RFM RTCA <u>DO-337</u> , <u>Guidance Material</u> : <u>Naviation Gaps for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)</u> , was published in September 2022. This document is laying the initial groundwork to identify gaps in the navigation systems and standards that if filled may better support UAS operations. While all possible future UAS operations is a very broad topic, to limit scope and provide near term focus, this document intentionally is focused on identifying navigation gaps associated with near term IFR and VFR-like planned path UAS operations for higher risk category fixed wing aircraft operating in and out of traditional airports. 11/29/2022, OGC <u>GeoPose 1.0 Data Exchange Draft</u>	 11/18/2022, AF: EUROCAE WG-105 launched a revision of the published document ED-301. The deliverable, ED-301A, is titled: 'Guidelines for the use of multi-GNSS solutions for UAS: Medium Risk'. 6/1/2022, CDB: RTCA SC-228, WG4: Guidence on Navigation for UAS. This guidance document is currently in FRAC process at RTCA, will be published by RTCA as early as October 2022 05/20/2022, AF: EUROCAE W G-105 is finalizing ED-301: "Guidelines for the Use of Multi-GNSS Solutions for UAS Specific Category – Low Risk Operations SAIL I & 	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 11 of 60

Commented [CB12]: Alexandra Florin, Wing – November 10, 2021

R E SC-228 WG4 and ASTM WK75923

Regarding these two standards, I would like to suggest to explicitly state that there are no duplication of efforts between RTCA SC-228 and ASTM F38 committees.

In particular, the ASTM standard does not apply to operations that are under positive air traffic control (ATC). This, how ever, does not preclude the use of this standard for UAS-specific traffic management functions.

As well, SC-228 does not intend to develop UAS navigation MOPS standards within this WG,

instead SC-228 intends to:

a. Apply existing navigations standards to UAS b. Identify navigation gaps when applying existing navigation standards to UAS aircraft

c. Make recommendations to the RTCA PMC and/or other navigation standards committees to develop modified or new navigation equipment standards that appropriately address the identified navigation gaps

Commented [ss11]: OGc GeoPose Draft Standard now published at <u>https://docs.ogc.org/dis/21-056r10/21-056r10.html</u> GeoPose 1.0 is an OGC Implementation Standard for exchanging the location and orientation of real or virtual geometric objects ("Poses") within reference frames anchored to the earth's surface ("Geo") or within other astronomical coordinate systems.

11/18/2022, AF: EUROCAE WG-105 published ED-301: Guidelines for the Use of Multi-GNSS Solutions for UAS Specific Category – Low Risk Operations SAIL I & II in August, 2022	11/10/2021: EUROCAE WG-105 is developing Guidelines on the use of multi-GNSS for low-risk operations with the intent to provide guidance on how to determine navigation error when using multi-GNSS source
	6/2/2021, Stu Card: IEEE Project 802.15 Study Group 4ab: UWB Next Generation is pursuing amendment of 802.15.4z. Ultra Wide Band, which offers direct measurement of the range between communicating wireless network nodes, to support additional use cases, among which UAS precision landing, indoor "navigation", etc. are being considered.
	5/28/2021 , OGC GeoPose Standard: https://www.ogc.org/projects/groups/geoposeswg_6- degree of freedom pose of position and orientation in Earth coordinates; forecast publication in Q3 2021.
	2/18/2021, JM: (ASTM WK75923 - Specification for Positioning Assurance, Navigation, and Time Synchronization for Ummanned Aircraft Systems) developed by Committee F38.01

Gap A8: Protection from Global Navigation Satellite Signals (GNSS) Interference Including Spoofing and		
Jamming. There are standards in place for spoofing and jamming mitigation for manned aircraft. However, these		
standards are currently being updated to reflect increasing demands on GNSS systems, ongoing efforts to improve		
mitigation measures/operational needs, and heightened awareness of nefarious activities using spoofing and		
jamming technologies. Given the fact that manned aircraft standards are being updated/improved, there is a		
significant gap with how these standards may be applied to UAS platforms. See the command and control section		
for related discussion.		
R&D Needed: Yes. An evaluation of the specific characteristics of current aircraft navigation equipment is needed		
including technical, cost, size, availability, etc. Higher performance spoofing/jamming mitigations should be		
devel ope d.		
Recommendation: There are likely insignificant differences in navigation system protection measures between		
manned aircraft and UAS, but it is recommended that this be evaluated and documented. Based on this evaluation,		
standards and/or policy may be needed to enable UAS platforms to be equipped with appropriate anti-spoofing and		
anti-jamming technologies. Also, operational mitigations are recommended including updating pilot and traffic		
control training materials to address interference and spoofing.		
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: SAE, DOD, NASA, RTCA, EUROCAE, IEEE, SAE ITC ARINC IA		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: Existing manned aviation standards still apply to UAS. Standards are in development.		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
8/17/2020, JM: On 17 August 2020, the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA),		
the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued an		
advisory guidance document to help non-federal public and private entities better understand the federal laws and		
regulations that may apply to the use of capabilities to detect and mitigate threats posed by Unmanned Aircraft		
Systems (UAS) operations. See: https://www.fcc.gov/document/federal-agencies-release-advisory-drone-detection-		
mitigation-tech		
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee 		
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards		
12/5/2022, RFM, RTCA DO-397, Guidance Material: 12/5/2022 RFM, RTCA DO-292A is expected to be		
Navigation Gaps for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), published in March 2023.		
was published in September 2022. This document is		
laying the initial groundwork to identify gaps in the		
navigation systems and standards that if filled may		

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 12 of 60

Commented [CB13]: 5/24/2022 Phil Kenul, ASTM

The weight classification is not specified – scope below. The intent is for operations not under ATC but could be under UTM. Weight is generally arbitrary, and we are rather looking at risk. See link for Scope.

better support UAS operations. While all possible future UAS operations is a very broad topic, to limit scope and provide near term focus, this document intentionally is focused on identifying navigation gaps associated with near term IFR and VFR-like planned path UAS operations for higher risk category fixed wing aircraft operating in and out of traditional airports. 5/24/2022: RTCA SC-159 is producing DO292A Interference L5 Report estimated Publication September 2022. 5/25/2021, RTCA SC-228 WG4 is developing Guidance Material for UAS Navigation S/24/2022: RTCA SC-159 DO-235C Interference Report L1 Report published March 2022	Commented [fl14]: SC-228 does not intend to develop UAS navigation MOPS standards within this WG, instead SC-228 intends to: a. Apply existing navigations standards to UAS b. Identify navigation gaps when applying existing navigation standards to UAS aircraft
---	--

Back to Top		c. Make recommendations to the RTCA PMC and/or other navig ation standards committees to develop modified or new navig ation equipment standards that appropriately address the identified navig ation gaps
Gap A9: Detect and Avoid (DAA) Capabilities. Standard capability for UAS that do not have the size, weight, and p C211, TSO-C212 and TSO-C213). Work already has been text above and in the update statement below.	ower (SWAP) required by the current DAA TSOs (TSO-	
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation:		
 Complete the above listed in-development standards. 		
2) Encourage the development of standards to address a	nd accommodate systems to provide a DAA) capability for	Commented [rh15]: The scope of this activity should
	irements. This is a necessary first step toward approval	include both on-board DAA and ground-based DAA as
for smaller or limited performance systems for DAA and		architectural alternatives to address SWAP and cost
3) Recommendation that the standards bodies look into t		constraints.
and Filtering for low altitude operations below 1000 fee	t/400 feet.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: RTCA, EUROCAE SAE, SAE ITC ARINC I	A, AIAA, ASTM, DOD, NASA, 3GPP, IETF	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update:		
RTCA SC-228, WG-1 Phase 2.		
• RTCA SC-147/EUROCAE WG-75: They continue their	work with the addition of Airborne Collision Avoidance	
System (ACAS) Xa/Xo, ACAS Xu, and ACAS sXu. AC.	AS Xu will provide DAA minimum performance standards	
specifically designed for large UAS. ACAS sXu will pro	vide DAA minimum performance standards specifically	
designed for smaller UAS.		
 ASTM F38.01 ASTM <u>F3442/F3442M-20 Standard Spec</u> 		
Requirements for DAA performance requirements stan	dard for low and medium risk UAS operations.	
 ASTM F38.01 is developing <u>WK62669</u> on testing and v 	validating low SWAP systems.	
 IETF DRIP work on trust in Broadcast Remote ID Mess 	sag es.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Databases subcomposition 	ommittee	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
12/05/2022, PM: 3GPP TR 23.700-58 Study of Further	12/05/2022, PM: ASTM F38 UAS V2X Communications	
Architecture Enhancement for UAV and UAM completed	Security Stack project initiated in November 2022 with	
Dec. 2022. This work includes broadcast remote ID over	anticipated deliverable in 2023. This work will include	
cellular, and detect and avoid capability using PC5	development of 1) a Remote ID security/trust solution	
direct cellular communications.	and 2) a security framework guide for Aerial V2X (A2X) which includes the low SWAP DAA communications	
12/04/2022 BM: JETE DB/D Entity Ton (DET) for	applications to which gap A9 pertains.	
12/04/2022, RM: IETF DRIP Entity Tag (DET) for Unmanned Aircraft System Remote ID (UAS RID).	applications to which gap A9 pertains.	
Draft-ietf-drip-rid for trust in Broadcast Remote ID	12/5/2022 RFM, RTCA SC-147 will publish ACAS sXU	
Messages was approved. RFC# TBD.	in December 2022 at the PMC meeting on December	
Messages was approved. It of TED.	15, 2022. This document sets forth minimum operational	
11/30/2021, AS: RTCA DO-381- MOPS for Ground-	performance standards for the Airborne Collision	Commented [JM16]: 12/3/2021, JM: DO-381 is mentioned
based Surveillance System (GBSS) for Traffic	Avoidance System sXu (ACAS sXu) equipment,	in v2. It was issued $3/30/2020$. V2 mentions a DO-381A
Surveillance. This document contains MOPS for Ground	designed for platforms with a wide range of surveillance	revision is underway to include a class of reduced
Based Surveillance Systems (GBSS) used for air traffic	technologies and performance characteristics typical of	performance consistent with en route DWC requirements and
surveillance in support of DAA operations for unmanned	smaller Unmanned Aircraft Systems (sUAS).	that publication was anticipated for April 2021
aircraft. The primary applications will be used in	Incorporated within these standards are system	
terminal, transit, or extended operational areas in the	characteristics that should be of value to users,	
National Airspace System (NAS) as defined in RTCA	designers, manufacturers, and installers. These	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 13 of 60

Document 365A (DO 365A), Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Detect and Avoid Systems. These standards specify the GBSS characteristics that should be useful for designers, manufacturers, installers and users of the equipment. Note that in this context, surveillance "systems" includes one or more networked non-cooperative sensors (e.g., radar and lidar), Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR), etc.) needed to meet these MOPS. Also note that these MOPS do not address cooperative ground-based sensors (e.g., radar beacon, Mode Select (Mode S), Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast (ADS B), multilateration, etc.).

9/20/2021, JM: RTCA DO-362 Errata 2 – Command and Control (C2) Data Link Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS), presented by SC-228, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System. This Errata restores a table inadvertently excluded from the original document.

9/20/2021, JM: RTCA DO-365B Errata – Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Detect and Avoid (DAA) Systems, presented by SC-228, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System. This Errata corrects a publication error that inadvertently omitted a portion of Appendix H.

6/17/2021, JM: <u>D0-304A</u> Guidance Material and Considerations for Unmanned Aircraft Systems. This is an update to the original D0-304 that is a Guidance Document addressing all Ummanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) and UAS operations being considered for realistic implementation in the US National Airspace System (NAS) in the foreseeable future. The Use Cases have been updated in D0-304A to include scenarios for Cargo Missions, Survey Missions, High Altitude Platform Systems, and Urban Air Mobility. The document is intended to educate the community and be used to facilitate future discussions on UAS standards. It provides the aviation community a definition of UAS, a description of the operational environment, and a toplevel functional break down. It is NOT intended to be the basis for airworthiness certification and operational approval of UAS.

6/17/2021, AS: RTCA DO-387 Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance. This document contains Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance. The EO/IR sensor system is a surveillance source for non-cooperative intruders for a Detect and Avoid (DAA) system used in Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) transiting through Class B, C, D, E and G airspace and performing extended operations higher than 400' Above Ground Level (AGL) in Class D, E (up to Flight Level 180 (FL180)), and Gairspace. It includes equipment to enable UAS operations in Terminal Areas during approach and departure in Class C, D, E and G airspace and off-airport locations. It does not apply to small UAS (sUAS) operating in low level environments (below 400') or other segmented areas. Likewise.

characteristics accommodate the requirements of various users.

11/18/2022 AF: In 2023, EUROCAE WG-105 will develop a European industry position report on RTCA DO-396 ACAS sXu MOPS to analyze whether the RTCA SC-147 ACAS sXu solution would be implementable in certain airspace or taking into account certain constraints in Europe.

6/1/2022: RTCA SC-147 has kicked off development of ACAS Xr, which focuses on DAA for rotorcraft and eVTOLs, building on work in ACAS sXU.

05/2022, PM: 3GPP TR 23.700-58 (Ongoing work for R18) Study of Further Architecture Enhancement for UAV and UAM. This work will include broadcast remote ID over cellular, and detect and avoid capability using PC5 direct cellular communications.

5/17/2022, RM: IETF DRIP Entity Tag (DET) for Unmanned Aircraft System Remote ID (UAS RID). Draft-ietf-drip-rid for trust in Broadcast Remote ID Messages is in last call for comments.

5/17/2022, RM: IETF draft-ietf-drip-auth - DRIP Entity Tag Authentication Formats & Protocols for Broadcast Remote ID is in final RFC editor comments.

5/17/2022, RM: <u>RFC 9153</u> Drone Remote Identification <u>Protocol</u> (DRIP) Requirements and Terminology, informational but essential. Under review.

11/10/2021: In 2022, EUROCAE WG-105 will develop a European industry position report on RTCA SC-147 ACAS sXu to analyze whether the RTCA SC-147 ACAS sXu solution would be implementable in certain airspace or taking into account certain constraints in Europe.

As well EUROCAE WG-105 is currently developing Minimum Operational Performance Standard (MOPS) for DAA in Very Low-Level operations and taking into account U-Space services laid down by regulation (EU) 2021/664.

9/25/2020, MW: ASTM <u>WK74215 - Standard</u> Specification for Detect and Avoid System Performance <u>Requirements</u> is a work item revision to existing standard F3442/F3442M-20 developed by Committee F38.01.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 14 of 60

does not apply to operations in the Visual Flight Rules (VFR) traffic pattern of an airport or to surface operations.

3/18/2021, JM: RTCA: DO-365B Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Detect and Avoid (DAA) Systems, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System. The Detect and Avoid (DAA) system was specified to assist the remote pilot with operating an aircraft safely in the NAS. All aircraft flying in the NAS must comply with the operating rules of 14 CFR, specifically, §§ 91.3, 91.111, 91.113, 91.123 and 91.181(b), which address see and avoid, collision avoidance, right of way rules, and remaining well clear. The DAA equipment may also be used to comply with the duties in International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Annex 2 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, specifically Chapter 2, Paragraph 2.3.1. These operating regulations assumed that a pilot would be onboard the aircraft and would be able to fully comply with these rules. This document contains MOPS for DAA systems used in unmanned aircraft transiting and performing extended operations in Class D, E, and G airspace along with transiting Class B and C airspace. It includes equipment to enable UAS operations near Terminal Areas during approach and departure in Class C, D, E, and G airspace, and off airport locations, but not operating in the visual traffic pattern or on the surface. It does not apply to small UAS (under 55 pounds (Ibs)) operating in low level environments (below 400') or other segmented areas. This revision Added Class 3 - ACAS Xu, Non-cooperative DWC applicable to all classes, updated ATAR classes for different performance levels. 12/17/2020, JM: RTCA DO-386 Vol I Minimum

Operational Performance Standards for Airborne Collision Avoidance System Xu (ACAS Xu) (Vol I), and DO-386 Vol II Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Airborne Collision Avoidance System Xu (ACAS Xu) (Vol II: Algorithm Design and Supplemental Material. This set of documents document defines the minimum operational performance standards (Vol I) and Algorithm Design Descriptions (Vol II) for the Airborne Collision Avoidance System Xu (ACAS Xu) equipment, designed for platforms with a wide range of surveillance technologies and performance characteristics such as Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS). Volume I contains system characteristics that should be of value to users, designers, manufacturers, and installers. These characteristics are intended to accommodate the requirements of various users. Vol II provides the Algorithm Design Description (ADD) for the Surveillance and Tracking Module (STM) and the Threat Resolution Module (TRM) of the next generation Airborne Collision Avoidance System (ACAS X). The algorithms are described at a sufficiently high level to allow for implementation in a variety of software languages and hardware platforms, thereby providing maximum freedom to manufacturers while ensuring the intended output from the system.

12/17/2020, JM: RTCA <u>DO-362A</u> Command and Control (C2) Data Link Minimum Operational

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 15 of 60

Desfermences Otenderd (Termestrich) This descented	
Performance Standard (Terrestrial). This document	
contains Minimum Operational Performance Standards	
(MOPS) for the Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)	
Command and Control (C2) Data Link (Control and Non-	
Payload Communication (CNPC) terrestrial Link	
System) used to support the Command and Control	
functions of a UAS. The CNPC includes the Link System	
supporting remote pilot-to/from-ATC voice	
communications, also referred to as ATC relay. Payload	
communications specifically include communications	
associated with the UA mission payloads, which do not	
contain safety-of-flight information.	
9/10/2020, JM: RTCA DO-382 Minimum Aviation	
System Performance Standards CAS Interoperability.	
This document presents high level requirements (i.e.	
Minimum Aviation System Performance Standards	
(MASPS)) for the interoperability of airborne Collision	
Avoidance Systems (CAS). Its main objective is to	
ensure that new CAS do not degrade the operation of	
existing CAS. It specifies system characteristics that	
should be useful to designers, manufacturers, installers	
and users of the equipment. When some requirements	
cannot be fully defined, explanatory text is included to	
describe the basis on which requirements are to be	
developed. Compliance with these MASPS does not	
ensure that the equipment will be approved for	
operation. These MASPS do not address the	
functionality or performance of CAS beyond the	
requirement of interoperability between CAS. Minimum	
Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) address	
safety and operational suitability performance criteria.	
Any MOPS that are developed for a future CAS should	
use these MASPS as guidance for its interoperability	
with existing CAS. Regulatory application of this	
document is the sole responsibility of the appropriate	
regulatory authority.	
9/10/2020, JM: RTCA DO-366A-Minimum Operational	
Performance Standards (MOPS) for Air-to-Air Radar for	
Traffic Surveillance	
7/21/2020, JM: ASTM <u>F3442/F3442M - Standard</u>	
Specification for Detect and Avoid System Performance	
Requirements is a new standard, now available	

 Gap A10: Software Considerations and Approval. Standards are needed to address software considerations for UAS operations outside of Part 107, control stations, flight control, navigation elements, associated equipment, and support services in the cloud. The majority of the current resources from manned aviation (standards, regulations, ACs, orders, etc.) are targeted at traditional aircraft and do not address the system of systems engineering used in UAS operations comprising man, machine, the NAS, and integration. UAS standards related to software dependability must properly account for all the unknown risks and potential safety issues (e.g., DAA, cybersecurity) during the software design, development, and assurance processes.

 R&D Needed:
 Yes, on assurance methods

 Recommendation:
 1
 Complete in-development standards work of SAE.

 2)
 Develop standards to address software dependability for UAS operating outside of Part 107, control stations, flight control, navigation elements, associated equipment, and support services in the cloud.

 Priority.
 High (Tier 1)

 Organization:
 ASTM, EUROCAE, RTCA, SAE, SAE ITC ARINC IA

 v2 Status of Progress:
 Green

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 16 of 60

v2 Update: • RTCA DO-178, DO-278 RTCA SC-240/EUROCAE WG-117 for UAS and COTS . • SAE A-6A3 SAE G-32: JA6678, JA7496 SAE G-34: AS6983, AIR6987, AIR6988 SAE S-18A Autonomy WG/EUROCAE WG-63 SG-1 ASTM F3269-21 ASTM WK68098 Revision of F3201-16 Standard Practice for Ensuring Dependability of Software Used in • Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) NIST 800-160 Vol1 Rev1, System Security Engineering: Trustworthy Secure Systems NIST 800-160 Vol2 Rev1, Developing Cyber-Resilient Systems: SSE Approach Updates Since v2 was Published: Other Committees with Relevant Work: RTCA SC-240, Low Risk Software Considerations in Lower Risk Applications, Equipment Certifications and Approvals RTCA SC-240, Integration of COTS, Open Source and Service History into Software SAE ITC, ARINC IA Software Distribution and Loading subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Electronic Distribution of Software working group SAE ITC, ARINC IA Avionics Application/Executive Software subcommittee • SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee . New Published Standards New In-Development Standards 11/21/2022 AIA: AIA NAS9948 UAS Data Protection 12/5/2022, RFM: RTCA SC-240 will update the plan for and Privacy Standard Practice the Lower Risk Software Considerations document. The new plan will be updated at PMC on December 15, 5/23/2022 Phil Kenul: ASTM WK65056/F3269-17 is 2022 now F3269-21 Standard Practice for Methods to Safely Bound Behavior of Aircraft Systems Containing 11/21/2022, Philip Mattson: AIA NAS9948 Complex Functions Using Run-Time Assurance Appendices - Implementation verification procedures that support NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy standard practice. 5/24/2022, AS: RTCA DO-178C Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification and DO-278A Guidelines For Communication, Navigation, Surveillance, and Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) Systems Software Integrity Assurance which are being examined by RTCA SC-240

Back to Top

Gap A11: Flight Data and Voice Recorders for UAS. Standards are needed for crash protected voice and data
recorder systems for UAS.
R&D Needed: Yes. Research should be conducted to determine the proper:
1) Size requirements, based on the class of UAS, class of airspace, performance characteristics of the aircraft, and
other relevant factors.
2) Test procedures for crash survival based on the class of UAS and performance characteristics, including, but not
limited to: impact shock, shear and tensile force, penetration resistance, static crush, high temperature fire, low
temperature fire, deep sea pressure and water immersion, and fluid immersion.
3) Method(s) for recording data both on the aircraft and in the CS.
4) Minimum data that must be captured (dependent on UAS size and criticality of operation).
Recommendation: Revise an existing standard and/or draft a new standard, similar to ED-112A, for a voice and
data recorder systems for UAS.
Priority: Medium
Organization: SAE, RTCA, ASTM, IEEE, EUROCAE, SAE ITC ARINC IA, IETF DRIP WG
Organization. SAE, KTCA, ASTM, IEEE, EOKOCAE, SAE ITC ARING IA, IETP DRIP WO

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 17 of 60

and EUROCAE WG 117 for additional material to aid software developers, including UAS SW developers. Documents still in development - Publication Date TBD Commented [CB17]:5/31/2022, Phil Mattson per MITRE HSSEDI Reference NIST 800-160 Vol1 Rev1 "System Security Engineering: Trustworthy Secure Systems" & NIST 800-160 Vol2 Rev1 "Developing Cyber-Resilient Systems: SSE Approach" after "...during the software design, development, and assurance processes [NIST]."

Remove Part107, as DHS/DOD maystill operate under Part 107 and require cyber secure UAS sub-systems. Reference AIA NAS9948

In Report Body: Section 6.4.4 - Add line after Line22 " NIST: NIST 800-160 Vol1 Rev1, System Security Engineering: Trustworthy Secure Systems NIST 800-160 Vol2 Rev1, Developing Cyber-Resilient Systems: SSE Approach

v2 Status of Progress: Green
v2 Update: EUROCAE WG-118: ED-112B
Updates Since v2 was Published:

- Other Committees with Relevant Work: SAE ITC, ARINC IA Network Infrastructure and Security subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Digital Flight Data Recorder subcommittee
- ٠ SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee

New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
	5/17/2022, RM: IETF DRIP WG Secure UAS Network
	<u>RID and C2 Transport</u> Draft-moskowitz-secure-nrid-c2
	provides for open standards method of sending flight
	information (i.e. Remote ID messages) to a logging
	server (Net-RID Service Provider).
	6/10/2021, EUROCAE WG-118 is developing a new
	lightweight FDR standard that will include UAS

Gap A12: UAS Cybersecurity. Cybersecurity needs to be co	nsidered in all phases of UAS design, construction,	
operation, maintenance, training of personnel (pilots, crews, others), including cloud-based functions.		
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation: Since there exists such a wide spectrum in UAS designs, CONOPS, and operator capabilities,		
a risk-based process during which appropriate cybersecurity measures are identified is recommended. Explicitly		
address the need for & efforts directed at assessing/ensuring	trustworthiness, esp. of safety critical information &	
systems that move, store & process it. Explicitly address the r	need for crypto techniques supporting authenticity,	
integrity, confidentiality, privacy, etc. & efforts to apply them to	o UAS.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: RTCA, EUROCAE, SAE, ASTM, JARUS, AIA,	IETF, ICAO IATF, SAE ITC ARINC IA, 3GPP	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update:		
RTCA SC-216/EUROCAE WG-72 Aeronautical Systems 3	Security	
 SAE G-32 (with participation from WG-72, S-18/WG-63, S 	-18A Autonomy WG/EUROCAE WG-63 SG-1, and G-	
34): Cyber Physical Systems Security Committee: JA6678,	, JA7496, JA6801	
• ASTM F3532-22		
IETF DRIP workgroup		
AIA NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy Standard Practice working group		
NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF)		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Network Infrastructure and Security s 	ubcommittee	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
12/05/2022, JR: SAE JA7496 Cyber-Physical Systems	12/06/22 SC: IETF Drone Remote Identification	
Security Engineering Plan (CPSSEP). This SAE Standard	Protocol Requirements & Terminology "GEN-6	
establishes practices to:	Contact: DRIP must enable dynamically	
a. Manage risk and ensure security of a cyber-physical	establishing strongly mutually authenticated, end-	
system (CPS) throughout its life cycle by utilizing to end strongly encrypted communications with the		
systems engineering principles; UAS RID sender and entities looked up from the		
b. Assess the impact of cyber-physical systems security UAS ID" to support V2X communications for DAA		
(CPSS) objectives and requirements;	and other applications. The DRIP working group	
c. Assess the security risks to CPS technical	expects to address this requirement after the	
effectiveness and functions, and address weaknesses	current set of basic DRIP drafts are published as	
and vulner abilities; RFCs.		
d. Address various domains of consideration (see 3.1)		
that take into account operating conditions of the	1/4/2023 DVD: ASTM WK84631 Guide for Device	
system, command and control, configuration	to Device Certificate-based Communications	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 18 of 60

Commented [CB18]: 5/31/2022, Phil Mattson per MITRE HSSEDI

Section 6.4.6 Cybersecurity - Update Lines 21-26 to following recommended text:

"The Aerospace Industries Association (AIA) National Aerospace Standards has published NA S9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy. The standard practice focuses on data communications protections and privacy for "high" category users such as the federal government. A IA set up a working group within its Emerging Technology Committee which is made up of A IA members, subject matter experts and federal government partners. The standard provided a set of tailored controls ensuring that sensitive location, video and other forms of data are both protected and secure. The standard also provided a set of cyber attack use cases for manufacturers to consider when designing UAS."

management (refer to SAE EIA649), etc., that could negatively impact CPSs or CPS-designed purpose; Perform design validation and verification to assess security and risk of the CPS.

12/04/2022, RM: IETF DRIP Entity Tag (DET) for Unmanned Aircraft System Remote ID (UAS RID). Draft-ietf-drip-rid for trust in Broadcast Remote ID Messages was approved. RFC# TBD.

6/3/2022, CDB: ASTM W K56374 was approved as <u>F352</u>-22 Standard Practice for Protection of Aircraft Systems from <u>Intentional Unauthorized Electronic Interactions</u> developed by committee <u>F44.50</u>.

6/1/2022, A.Blasgen: CTA published CTA-2088.1, Baseline Cybersecurity for Small Urmanned Aerial Systems. See https://shop.cta.tech/collections/standards/products/baselinecybersecurity/for-small-unmanned aerial-systems-cta-2088. 1. This standard builds upon the baseline cybersecurity requirements in CTA-2088 to address the cybersecurity requirements and recommendations relevant to the unique capabilities, uses, and applications of small Unmanned Aerial Systems.

12/2021, PM: 3GPP TR 33.854, Study on Security Aspects of UAS (R17)

12/16/2021, CC: NAS9948, UAS Data Protection and Privacy. Scope: The scope of this standard is the protection of the Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) data with respect to data security and privacy throughout the lifecycle of the UAS. This standard is focused on the data security and privacy of operators and operator data. This includes how the data is used, recorded, and protected from origin to destruction internal to the platform and external to the platform (i.e. the cloud). Protections are provided for use by UAS developers, users, and third-party applications. This standard is not intended to replace any other cybersecurity guidance but to augment protections for UAS. This standard also does not cover safety of UAS flight. The standard is intended for manufacturers to inform users on how their data is disseminated. Users of UAS can make informed decisions on how to manage their data. Appendix A describes eight use cases that were identified to describe potential UAS cyber attacks.

9/20/2021, JM:

RTCA DO-362 Errata 2 – Command and Control (C2) Data Link Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS), presented by SC-228, Minimum Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft System. This Errata restores a table inadvertently excluded from the original document. Security Framework for UAS/UAM was launch as a new task group on "A2X" communications. The main activities for the group are: 1) define attributes needed in a communications security framework that can secure a range of non-networked, ad hoc, wireless aerial V2X (A2X) communications applications, 2) select a framework, 3) develop user/standardization guide on how to use framework, and 4) apply framework in development of a Broadcast Remote ID security standard. The intent is that the framework is vetted and that standards organizations will be well-versed in using it to develop other A2X application standards that need to be secured (e.g., DAA, collision avoidance, electronic conspicuity in general).

12/5/2022, RFM: RTCA expects the publication of DO-362B to be delayed until December 2024. A TOR update will be considered at the PMC on December 15, 2022.

12/05/2022, JR: SAE A6801 Cyber Physical Systems Security Hardware Assurance. This Joint SAE Aerospace and Automotive Standard provides guidance and standard izes practices to: 1. identify and analyze risks associated with

- hardware components of concern 2. guide the evaluation (including cost and
- effectiveness) and recommendation of potential countermeasures

12/05/2022, JR: SAE JA6678 Cyber Physical Systems Security Software Assurance. This SAE Standard standardizes practices to:

- a. assess and address vulnerabilities of software for a cyber physical system utilizing systems engineering principles to ensure security and resilience throughout the lifecycle of the system.
- conduct software assurance and analysis, considering impact on the product's software, hardware, and firmware,
- c. address different areas of concern that includes consideration of the interfaces and network of the system and command and control that could be manipulated through a physical process and/or physical input of the data flow and computation,
- perform design validation and verification to assess security and resiliency of software impacting the cyber physical system safety, security and integrity across the complete lifecycle.

11/21/2022, Philip Mattson: AIA NAS9948 Appendices – Implementation verification procedures that support NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy standard practice.

5/24/2022, AS - RTCA developing DO-362B Incorporate changes required to harmonize SATCOM compatibility with EUROCAE Standard

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 19 of 60

Updates required as a result on initial implementation of A revision. Expected publication December 2023
05/17/2022 RGM: IETF <u>DRIP Entity Tag</u> <u>Registration & Lockup</u> draft-ietf-drip-registries - DRIP Registries secure registration for UAS and Operators by the DRIP workgroup. Formerly draft- wiethuechter-drip-registries.
5/17/2022, RGM: IETF DRIP WG- <u>Secure UAS</u> <u>Network RID and C2 Transport</u> Draft-moskowitz- secure-nrid-c2 provides for secured transmission of Network Remote ID and for Command and Control (C2) messag es.
5/17/2022, RGM: IETF <u>DRIP Entity Tag (DET) for</u> <u>Unmanned Aircraft System Renote ID (UAS RID)</u> draft-ietf-drip-rid - is a work item to provide trustworthy Remote ID by the DRIP workgroup. In last call for comments.
11/10/2021: EUROCAE WG 72 is currently updating ED-201 "Aeronautical information system security framework guidance."
5/31/2021, RGM: IETF draft-ietf-drip-auth – <u>DRIP</u> <u>Entity Tag Authentication Formats and Protocols for</u> <u>Broadcast Remote ID</u> is a work item to provide authentication for all Remote ID broadcast messages by the DRIP workgroup

messag es t	by the DRIP workgroup
ack to Top	
Gap A13: Electrical Systems. The existing standards from manned aviation	n need to be scalable to address the
entire spectrum of UAS. Unique aspects of UAS electrical systems include:	
aircraft lighting, etc. These areas (electrical systems, wiring, EWIS, etc.) are	also not covered for control stations
CSs), auxiliary systems, etc.	
	ad atomicanda. Kan binda adtance avatance
JAS such as optionally piloted aircraft carrying cargo and/or passengers ne R&D Needed: Yes	ed standards for high voltage systems.
Recommendation:	
L) Complete work on in-development standards.	
 Encourage the development of standards that are scalable to UAS to ad 	dress electrical systems, wiring, EWIS,
electrical load analysis, aircraft lighting, etc., for UA, CS, and auxiliary sy	
3) Establish maximum voltage limits for propulsion power transmission cab	les based on UA power needs and
maximum operating altitudes.	
Priority: High (Tier 3)	
Organization: ASTM, SAE, RTCA, AIAA, NASA, UL, IEC, IEEE, ISO, SAE	ITC ARINC IA
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: Numerous standards have been published and are in-developm	ent that address the entire spectrum of
UAS and its operations. Updates Since v2 was Published:	
opuales onice vz was i ublished.	
Other Committees with Relevant Work:	
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Fiber Optics subcommittee 	
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Cabin Systems subcommittee 	
SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommit	tee
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Network Infrastructure and Security subcommit 	ttee
SAE AE-10 High Voltage	
 SAE AE-11 Aging Models for Electrical Insulation in High-Energy S 	Systems
ogress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022	Page 20 of 60
-O December 2022	

New Published Standards 4/30/2021, MD: SAE <u>AIR6540B Fundamentals in Wire</u> Selection and Sizing for Aerospace Applications	New In-Development Standards 12/5/2022, RFM: RTCA No longer plans an update to DO-380.
1/27/2021, MD: SAE <u>AIR7502</u> , <u>Aircraft Electrical</u> <u>Voltage Level Definitions</u>	6/22/2021, MPD: SAE: <u>ARP8689 Endurance tests for</u> <u>Aircraft Electric Engine</u>
6/11/2020, JM: RTCA <u>DO-380-Environmental</u> <u>Conditions and Test Procedures for Ground Equipment.</u> This document defines a series of minimum standard environmental test conditions (categories) and	5/24/2021, AS: RTCA expects to have a version A of DO-380 published in the 2025 timeframe. 11/20/2020, MD: SAE <u>AIR7357, Megawatt and Extreme</u>
applicable test procedures for ground-based equipment. In this document ground-based equipment includes stationary ground, mobile/portable ground, or sea-based equipment. The purpose of these tests is to provide a laboratory means of determining the performance	Fast Charging for Aircraft
characteristics of ground-based equipment in environmental conditions representative of those which may be encountered in ground-based operation of the equipment.	
Back to Top	

Г

Gap A14: Power Sources and Propulsion Systems. Sta	andards are needed for UAS power sources and
propulsion systems.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation:	
1) Complete work on in-development standards.	
2) Encourage the development of standards to address L	JAS power sources and propulsion systems.
Priority: High (Tier 3)	
Organization: ICAO, RTCA, SAE, AIAA, ASTM, DOD, NA	ASA, UL, IEC, IEEE, ISO
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: Numerous standards have been published an	d are in-development that address the entire spectrum of
UAS and its operations.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
Other Committees with Relevant Work:	
 SAE AE-7F Hydrogen and Fuel Cells 	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
12/04/2022, DF: SAE E-40 AIR8678 - Architecture	12/04/2022, DF: SAE E-40 AIR7128 - Integration and
Examples for Electrified Propulsion Aircraft. This	Certification Considerations for Electrified Propulsion
document will describe potential electrified propulsion	Aircraft. This document provides a comprehensive
architectures and provide examples. While providing	compilation of currently available practices, standards,
these example architectures, this document will develop	regulations and guidance material that have been
common definitions for the elements of the architectures	considered relevant for developing an electrified
by defining:	propulsion system (independently or as part of an
1. The elements of electrified propulsion	aircraft) and that may also help the applicants in the
architectures, including any dedicated power	process of building their own certification approach with
generation and distribution systems as well as	their Authority. It also covers unique considerations for
energy storage elements.	electrified propulsion development and aircraft
2. The interfaces to/from the electrified propulsion	integration. It focuses on the particularities introduced by
system.	the new technology. This document is not intended to
3. The interfaces within the electrified propulsion	represent a proposed Means of Compliance with any
system.	particular certification regulation.
4. Electrical energy management and storage	
architecture of an electrified propulsion system.	11/28/2021, JM: IEEE P1937.9, Requirements for
	External Power and Power Management Interfaces for
12/04/2022, DF: SAE E-40 ARP8676 - Nomenclature &	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle. This standard specifies the
Definitions for Electrified Propulsion Aircraft. This	requirements for external power interfaces of Unmanned

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 21 of 60

Aerial Vehicles (UAV). It defines wireline and wireless
Power Management Interfaces for charging and in-flight
operations.
6/22/2021, MPD: SAE
<u>ARP8689 Endurance tests for Aircraft Electric Engine</u>
AS6679 Liquid Hydrogen Storage for Aviation
<u>AIR6387 Aircraft Electrical Power Systems. Modeling</u>
and Simulation. Validation and Verification Methods.
Noted in roadmap v2
SAE AS6968 Connection Set of Conductive Charging
<u>for Light Electric Aircraft</u> . Noted in roadmap v2
3/8/2021, MPD: SAE E-40 Electrified Propulsion
Committee launched ARP8689 Endurance tests for
Aircraft Electric Engine
Andat Electric Engine
11/20/2020, MD: SAE AIR7357, Megawatt and Extreme
Fast Charging for Aircraft

	Fast Charging for Aircraft
ack to Top	
	blished standards have been identified that address UAS-
specific noise, emissions, and fuel venting standards an	d requirements.
R&D Needed: Yes. Data would be helpful.	
Recommendation:	
1) Complete in-development standards.	
	noise, emissions, and fuel venting issues for UAS. This is a
necessary first step toward UAS rulemaking relating	to these topics.
Priority: High (Tier 3)	
Organization: ICAO, EPA, RTCA, SAE, AIAA, ASTM, I v2 Status of Progress: Not Started	JOD, NASA, ISO
v2 Update:	
 SAE A-21 Project Working Team for UAM Noise 	
	in-development that address the entire spectrum of UAS and
its operations.	in development india address the child spectrum of onto and
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
	11/30/2022 Jose Alonso, Collins: Joint ISO/TC 20/SC
	16 - ISO/TC 43/SC 1 "Noise Measurement for UAS"
11/29/2021: JR: SAE	
	 <u>ARP4721/1A Monitoring Aircraft Noise and Operations:</u>
	System Description, Acquisition, and Operation
	<u>ARP4721/2A Monitoring Aircraft Noise and Operations:</u>
	System Validation

Back to Top

Commented [AJSC19]: Though no final standards or regulations have been published, this subject is being actively supported by ICA O CAEP WG1 (Task N.06), FAA, Volpe Center and NASA. FAA has recently issued NPRM for Noise Certification Standards: Matternet Model M2 Aircraft. (Docket 2021-0710 Notice 21-01)

Gap A16: Mitigation Systems for Various Hazards to U	AS. There are no UAS-specific standards in the areas of	
hazard mitigation systems for bird strikes on UAS, engine		
electrical wiring, support towers, etc.		
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation:		
 Complete in-development standards. 		
2) Create new standards to include hazard mitigation syst	ems for bird strikes on UAS, engine ingestion, icing, and	
lightning.		
Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: Various SAE Committees, SAE ITC ARING	C IA	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: SAE has a number of standards in developme	nt as noted in the text.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:		Commented [rh20]: A16: Recommendation from a
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		colleague: UAV engines and structures will be certified with
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Inter- 		respect to different hazards, A starting point may be "EASA - European Aviation Safety Agency Certification Specifications
 SAE E-41 Engine Corrosion – Runway Deicing P 		for Engines - CS-E for examples regarding CS-790 Ingestion
 SAE G-28 Simulants for Impact and Ingestion Te 	sting	of Rain and Hail and CS-E-800 Bird Strike and Ing estion.
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
	12/04/2022, DF: SAE G-28 AS6999 Standard Test	
	Method for Measuring Impact Forces and Pressures of a Soft Projectile on an Inclined Rigid Flat Surface. This	
	<u>Sort Projectile on an inclined Rigid Flat Surface</u> . This document describes a method for measuring forces,	
	pressures, and fragment distribution patterns during an	
	impact between a soft or frangible projectile and a	
	relatively rigidflat inclined surface. The document	
	describes the hardware, setup, and instrumentation	
	required. In this test method a soft body projectile	
	impacts a rigid plate inclined at a specified angle to the	
	direction of flight.	
	Carocatori or ingra.	
	12/04/2022, DF: SAE G-28 AS7371 Standard Test	
	Method for Normal Impact of a Soft Projectile on a	
	Hemispherical Leading Edge. This document describes	
	a method for measuring deformations, and fragment	
	distribution patterns during an impact between a soft or	
	frangible projectile and a regular helispherical leading	
	edge. The document describes the hardware, setup,	
	and instrumentation required.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	12/04/2022, DF: SAE G-28 AS7372 Standard Test	
	Method for Normal Impact of a Soft Projectile on a	
	Clamped Plate. This document describes a method for	
	measuring deformations from a normal impact between	
	<mark>a soft or frangible projectile and clamped plate. The</mark>	
	document describes the hardware, setup, and	
	instrumentation required. In this test method a soft body	
	projectile impacts a square ductile plate clamped on all	
	four sides	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Gap A17: Parachute or Drag Chute as a Hazard Mitigation System in UAS Operations over People (OOP).		
Standards are needed to address parachutes or drag chutes as a hazard mitigation system in UAS operations, particularly OOP, from the perspectives of FAA Type Certification (TC), Production Certificates (PC) and		
	incation (TC), Production Certificates (PC) and	
Airworthiness Certificates (AC).		
R&D Needed: No	New Oraclification for Oceantical Orac Develo	
Recommendation: Complete work on ASTM WK65042,	New Specification for Operation Over People.	
Priority: High (Tier 3)		
Organization: ASTM, AIAA, SAE, PIA, DOD, NASA		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: ASTM F38: F3322		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
EASA NPA 2022-06 "Introduction of a regulatory framewor	<mark>k for the operation of drones"</mark>	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
11/14/2022, PK: ASTM F3322-22 Standard	3/23/2021, JM: ASTM WK76302 - Standard Test	
Specification for Small Unmanned Aircraft System	Method for Assessing the Safety of Small Unmanned	
(sUAS) Parachutes	Aircraft Impacts is a work item revision to existing	
	standard F3389/F3389M-20 developed by Committee	
7/15/2020, JM: ASTM F3389/F3389M - Standard Test	<u>F38.01</u>	
Method for Assessing the Safety of Small Unmanned		
<u>Aircraft Impacts</u> is a new standard, now available.	7/17/2020, JM: ASTM WK73601 - Standard	
	Specification for Small Unmanned Aircraft System	
	(sUAS) Parachutes is a work item revision to existing	
	standard F3322-18 developed by Committee F38.01	
Back to Top		

Gap A18: Maintenance and Inspection (M&I) of UAS. M&I standards for UAS are needed.		
R&D Needed: No		
Recommendation: Complete work on standards in development to address M&I for all UAS.		
Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: ASTM, ISO, SAE, SAE ITC ARINC IA		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: Numerous standards have been published and	are in-development that address the entire spectrum of	
UAS and its operations.		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee 		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	

Back to Top

Gap A19: Enterprise Operations: Level of Automation/Autonomy and Artificial Intelligence (AI). Neither the	
current regulatory framework nor existing standards support fully autonom ous flights at this time.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommend ation:	
1) Develop standards and guidelines for the safety, performance, and interoperability of fully autonomous flights,	
taking into account all relevant factors needed to support the seamless integration of UAS into the NAS. These	
include: type of aircraft/UA, operators/pilots/crew, air traffic controllers, airspace service suppliers/providers, los	st
link procedures, human factors/human-machine interactions as well as levels of human intervention, etc.	
2) Encourage the development of standards to address fully autonomous flights, per the FAA Reauthorization Act	
of 2018 and the needs of the UAS industry and end users.	
3) Encourage the development of consistent, uniform, harmonized, standardized, and aviation field-acceptable	
definitions of terms like autonomy, automation, autonomous, Al, machine learning, deep learning, etc. This will	
lay a foundation for identification of correct and incorrect definitions/ terminologies.	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Priority: High (Tier 2)		L
Organization: SAE, SAE ITC ARINC IA, RTCA, AIAA, AS Institute (AVSI), UL, ISO/IEC JTC1/SC42	STM, DOD, NASA, FCC, Aerospace Vehicle Systems	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
 SAE S-18A Autonomy WG/EUROCAE WG-63 SG-1: SAE G-34/EUROCAE WG-114: AS6983, AIR6987, AI 		
 SAE G-04/E0100CAE WG-114. A00000, All0007, All SAE AS-4JAUS: AS8024 	10300	
SAE S-18/EUROCAE WG-63: various standards		
Underwriters Laboratories: UL 4600		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
 EASA NPA 2022-06 "Introduction of a regulatory Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned S Distribution of the second Encounter Intervention (NET) 		
Al Risk Management Framework NIST Al Risk Management Framework: Second Draft	- August 18. 2022 (rist.gov)	
<u>AI RMF Playbook (nist.gov)</u>		
Other Committees with Relevant Work: SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Int		
committee (AC) or "ARPAC AC"	otely Piloted Aviation Capabilities (ARPAC) advisory	Commented
New Published Standards 5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK65056 approved as	New In-Development Standards	Foundation,
F3269-21 Standard Practice for Methods to Safely	6/1/2022, DK, ARPAC AC: Upcoming products of the	The Autonomo (ARPAC) advi
Bound Behavior of Aircraft Systems Containing Complex Functions Using Run-Time Assurance. This	ARPAC AC, targeted for CY22 are:	Safety Founda
includes revisions from the F3269-17 version.	 A Toolkit supporting humanitarian and other BVLOS operations in low resource and remote locations, 	recommendation
	utilizing highly automated or autonomous UAS	systems (UAS operations. T
5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM W K63418 approved as	A gated process for evaluating highly automated	guidance on b
F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic	uncrewed systems, including a capability maturity	emerging oper
<u>Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS)</u> <u>Interoperability</u> developed by Committee F38.02. It was	model for assessing the readiness of aviation systems employing highly automated or autonomous	size. Member manufacturers
revised to include UAM Traffic management with work	components	organizations
being conducted by the UAM Task Group.	Inputs to FSF for submission to ICAO regarding	active working input on uniqu
	operator needs for working with regulators to get	uncrewed mis
4/30/2021, RG: SAE <u>AIR6988 / EUROCAE ER-022</u> , Artificial Intelligence in Aeronautical Systems: Statement	timely safety approvals for BVLOS Operations and on the need for broader inputs, incorporating human	Methodology key humanitari
of Concerns. This document reviews current aerospace	factors, in a gated evaluation framework for highly	Advanced Air
software, hardware, and system development standards	automated aviation systems.	safety guidand
used in the certification/approval process of safety-	CONTRACT MEDI CAE ALBOOKT Artificial Intelligence in	operations.
critical airborne and ground-based systems, and assesses whether these standards are compatible with	6/22/2021, MPD: SAE <u>AIR6987</u> , <u>Artificial Intelligence in</u> Aeronautical Systems: Taxonomy	
a typical Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning		
(ML) development approach. The document then	SAE AS6983, Process Standard for Development and	
outlines what is required to produce a standard that	<u>Certification/Approval of Aeronautical Safety-Related</u> Products Implementing AI	
provides the necessary accommodation to support integration of ML-enabled sub-systems into safety-	Products implementing At	
critical airborne and ground-based systems, and details	Both of the above are listed as in development in 6.11 in	
next steps in the production of such a standard.	v2.	
4/22/2021, JM: According to the ISO/IEC JTC1 AG2	02/01/2021, RG: SAE <u>AIR6994 / EUROCAE ER-xxx.</u>	
Technology Trend Report on Drone, a published standard is <u>ISO/IEC TR 29119-11:2020</u> , Software and	<u>Artificial Intelligence in Aeronautical Systems: Use</u> <u>Cases Considerations</u> . The purpose of this AIR/ER is to	
standard is <u>ISO/IEC_TR 29119-11:2020, Software and</u> systems engineering – Software testing – Part 11:	cases considerations. The purpose of this AIR/ER is to capture suggested use cases derived from the potential	
Guidelines on the testing of Al-based systems	incorporation of machine learning technologies in	
	certifiable/approved aeronautical systems in order to	
	illustrate the concerns outlined by AIR6988/ER-022	
	(Statement of Concerns). 6/1/2020, JM: <u>UL 4601, Evaluation of Autonomous</u>	
	<u>Unmanned Aerial Systems</u> . This Standard will build	
	upon ANSI/UL 4600 while addressing needs unique to	
	the unmanned aerial systems industry. This Standard	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 25 of 60

Commented [CB21]: Deborah Kirkman, Flight Safety Foundation, June 1, 2022

The Autonomous and Remotely Piloted Aviation Capabilities (ARPAC) advisory committee (AC) was chartered by the Flight Safety Foundation to develop safety-focused recommendations to venues addressing uncrewed aircraft systems (UAS) and BVLOS (non-recreational) operations. The ARPAC is also chartered to develop guidance on best practices and policies for safety for emerging operations and missions of varying size. Membership includes regulators, operators, manufacturers, service providers, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). The ARPAC AC currentlyhas three active working groups: the Humanitarian WG – providing input on unique needs and considerations for humanitarian uncrewed missions; the Airspace and Safety Risk Methodolog y WG, addressing safety methodologies to support key humanitarian ucommercial use cases; and the Advanced Air MobilityWG, which is focused on developing safety guidance related to hig hlyautomated and autonomous overations

will cover the safety principles and processes for
evaluation of autonomous unmanned aerial systems,
specifically their ability to perform their intended function
either without human intervention or via teleoperation.
The Standard also covers the reliability of hardware and
software necessary for machine learning, sensing of the
operating environment, and other safety aspects of
autonomy.

New Gap A20: Unlicensed Spectrum Interference Predictability. Performance in the unlicensed spectrum bands is inherently unpredictable to some extent. There are approaches to enhance modeling and prediction, but there has been little work towards doing so. Identification of Key Performance Indicators needs to be demonstrated/analyzed.

R&D Needed: Yes. ASTM's Remote ID workgroup is performing studies to determine likely performance under various RF conditions.)

Recommendation: Additional R&D could include statistical characterization of congestion in various environments (urban, rural, etc.), and study of interference caused by aerial radios.

Priority: High (Tier 1), especially in evaluating Remote ID broadcast range

Organization: See list of organizations listed in the text.

v2 Status of Progress: New v2 Update: None provided

Updates Since v2 was Published:

12/28/2020, JM: On December 28, 2020 the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announced final rules for unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) or drones that will require Remote Identification (Remote ID) of drones and allow operators of small drones to fly over people and at night under certain conditions. •

- Remote ID information •
- Remote ID rule (PDF)
- Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF)

New In-Development Standards

New Published Standards 5/23/2022 Phil Kenul: ASTM WK76077 now published as <u>F3411-22</u> Standard Specification for Remote ID and <u>Tracking</u> developed by Committee <u>F38.02</u>. This is an

updated version from the F3411-19 version.

Back to Top

New Gap A21: Blockchain for UAS. There are no published industry standards for blockchain in the aviation		
ecosystem (including but not limited to UAS).		
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation: Complete in-development standards	and write new standards to address blockchain for UAS.	
Priority: Medium		
Organization: SAE International, SAE-ITC, ISO, IEEE, IE	TF DRIP WG	
v2 Status of Progress: New		
v2 Update: None provided		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
6/2/2021, Stu Card: IETF DRIP WG members are investigating the use of blockchains, distributed ledger		
technologies and smart contracts to support registries (esp. but not exclusively for Remote ID) with desirable		
properties such as non-repudiation and tunable tradeoffs	between operator privacy and public transparency.	
Blockchain also has potential to supplement flight data recording (Gap A11, Stu Card comment).		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
11/22/2021, JR: SAE ARP6984 Determination of Cost	5/17/2022 RM: IETF DRIP WG: draft-ietf-drip-registries	
Benefits from Implementing a Blockchain Solution	proposes methodologies for blockchain ledgers for UAS	
published 8/19/2021	registration actions.	
3/1/2021, MPD: SAE ARP6823 Electronic Transactions	6/22/2021, MPD: SAE	
for Acrospage System: An Overview		

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 26 of 60

Commented [CB22]: Revisit this gaps description and intent with regards to unlicensed specturm with any future update to the roadmap

Commented [rh23]: The scope of this activity should include both on-board DAA and ground-based DAA as architectural alternatives to address SWAP and cost constraints.

9/18/2020, MPD: SAE AIR7501 Aircraft Asset Lifecycle and Digital Data Standards Overview Ocean All 4/21/2020, MPD: SAE AIR6904 Rational e, Considerations, and Framework for Data Interoperability All	R7123 eARC – Electronic Authorized Release ertificate R7356 Blockchain for Unmanned Aircraft Systems of Advanced Air Mobility R7367 Requirements, Specifications and ramework of a Digital Thread in Aircraft Life Cycle anagement
---	--

Other Chapter 6 Activity - Relevance to Gaps Not Yet Determined

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

6/10/2021, JM: In development in ISO/TC 20/SC16: ISO/WD TR 5337, Environmental engineering program guideline for UA

Back to Top

Chapter 7. Flight Operations Standards: General Concerns - WG2

High Priority (Tier 1) (Most Critical)

- Gap O2: Continued Operational Safety
- Gap O3: Beyond Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS) (12/05/2022)
- Gap O4: UAS Operations Over People (OOP) (3/23/2021) Gap O8: Remote ID: Direct Broadcast (12/05/2022) •
- Gap O9: Remote ID: Network Publishing (12/05/2022)

High Priority (Tier 2) (Critical)

- Gap 05: UAS Operations and Weather (11/8/2021) Gap 07: UTM Services Performance Standards (12/05/2022)
- Gap O10: Geo-fence Exchange (12/05/2022)
- New Gap O12: Design and Operation of Aerodrome Facilities for UAS (12/05/2022) New Gap O13: UAS Service Suppliers (USS) Process and Quality (12/05/2022)

Medium Priority

- Gap O1: Privacy (5/17/2022)
- Gap O6: UAS Data Handling and Processing (12/05/2022)
- Gap O11: Geo-fence Provisioning and Handling (12/05/2022)

Back to Top

Gap 01: Privacy. UAS-specific privacy regulations are needed as well as standards to enable the privacy		
framework. Privacy law and rulemaking related to UAS, including topics such as remote ID and tracking, are yet to		
be clearly defined.		
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation: Develop UAS-specific privacy standards as needed and appropriate in response to the evolving		
policy landscape. Monitor the ongoing policy discussion.		
Priority: Medium		
Organization: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC 27, ISO/TC 20/SC 16, APSAC, IACP, IETF		
v2 Status of Progress: Yellow		
v2 Update: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC 27, ISO/TC 20/SC 16, APSAC, IACP, IETF		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
12/28/2020, JM: On December 28, 2020 the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announced final rules for		
unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) or drones that will require Remote Identification (Remote ID) of drones and allow		
operators of small drones to fly over people and at night under certain conditions.		

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 27 of 60

Remote ID information	
Remote ID rule (PDF)	
Operations Over People and at Night Information	
Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF)	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
12/1/2021, Phil Mattson: The UAS Data Protection	5/17/2022 RM: IETF DRIP WG: draft-moskowitz-drip-
and Privacy Standard Practice, NAS9948, developed	secure-nrid-c2 provides for full encryption (CIA) for
through the Aerospace Industries Association (AIA) was	Command and Control (C2).
just approved for publication. Chris Carnahan can	
provide further details. This standard was developed	05/31/2021 RGM: IETF DRIP WG UAS Operator
based on concerns raised by the interagency Aviation	Privacy for Remote ID Messages (draft-moskowitz-drip-
Cyber Initiative Community of Interest, facilitated by the	operator-privacy) for Operator PII in Remote ID
DHS S&T Standards in collaboration with the MITRE	messag es.
Homeland Security Systems Engineering and Design	
Institute and the National Cybersecurity Center of	
Excellence.	

Gap 02: Continued Operational Safety (COS). The existing industry standards and regulatory framework related	
to COS from manned aviation still apply to UAS. However, there exist some gaps unique to UAS certification and its	
operations.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation: Complete in-development standards.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)	
Organization: SAE, EUROCAE, SAE-ITC, RTCA, JARUS, ASTM, IEEE	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: SAE S-18A Autonomy WG/EUROCAE WG-63 SG-1 (in collaboration with WG-105), SAE S-	
18/EUROCAE WG-63, SAE G-34/EUROCAE WG-114, SAE G-32, SAE AS-4, RTCA SC-240/EUROCAE WG-117,	
RTCA SC-228, etc. are addressing this standards gap.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	

Back to Top

 Gap
 O3:
 Beyond
 Visual
 Line of Sight (BVLOS).
 Although there is an existing
 BVLOS standard with supplemental revisions in the works and a best practices document, robust
 BVLOS operations will require a comprehensive
 DAA solution, Remote ID, and UTM infrastructure to be completely effective. Additional safety measures must be considered such as reduced limits on energy transfer; weight; speed; altitude; stand-off and redundant systems for power; collision avoidance; positioning; loss-of-control automatic soft landing; and methods for two-way communications between the competent operator and worker supervisor(s) or workers to ensure safety of BVLOS operations.

 These standards should be addressed in a collaborative fashion. In addition, pilot competency and training is especially critical for BVLOS operations. It is anticipated that appendices for BVLOS will be added to <u>ASTM F3266-18</u>. Standard Guide for Training Remote Pilots in Command of Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Endorsement

 R&D Needed:
 Yes

 Recommendation:
 Complete work on aforementioned BVLOS standards and related documents in development and address for future consideration

 and address for future consideration
 UAS including payloads larger than 55 pounds as defined in Part 107.

 Research is also required but more to the point connectivity is needed to ensure interoperability or compatibility between standards for BVLOS/DAA/Remote ID/UTM/C2.

 Priority:
 High (Tier 1)

 Organization:
 ASTM, IETF, SAE ITC ARINC IA, IETF DRIP WG, RTCA

 v2 Status of Progress:
 Green

v2 Update: Published and in-development standards are noted in the text.

Updates Since v2 was Published:

Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) Annex H, UTM

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 28 of 60

12/28/2020, JM: On December 28, 2020 the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announced final rules for unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) or drones that will require Remote Identification (Remote ID) of drones and allow operators of small drones to fly over people and at night under certain conditions. Remote ID information Remote ID rule (PDF) Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF) Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF) Other Committees with Relevant W ork: SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces Subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Straftic Subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Straftic IC USS) Intercoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
allow operators of small drones to fly over people and at night under certain conditions. Remote ID information Remote ID informati
Remote ID information Remote ID information Remote ID rule (PDF) Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF) Other Committees with Relevant W ork: SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Subset Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
Remote ID rule (PDF) Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF) Other Committees with Relevant W ork SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, SARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, Sandard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
Operations Over People and at Night Information Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF) Other Committees with Relevant W ork: SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, SARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, SARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Secure Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, Standard System Standards S'23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF) Other Committees with Relevant W ork: SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, Standard S S/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Maragement (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
Other Committees with Relevant Work: • SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee • SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee • SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee • SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee • SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee • SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee • New Published Standards 5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Intergoer ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Secret Control Subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Stervice Supplice (USS) Intercoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Ku/Ka Band Satellite subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Secret Control Subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Stervice Supplice (USS) Intercoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Air-Ground Communications System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee Sature and architecture and Interfaces subcommittee Sature and architecture and Interfaces subcommittee ID messages and C2
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Global Navigation Satellite System subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee Safety 2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published s 53548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Intercoper ability developed by Committee E38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
SAE ITC, ARINC IA System Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee New Published Standards S723/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Intercoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee New In-Development Standards S73/2021, RGM: IETF DRIP WG draft-moskowitz-drip- secure nucl-c2 - Secure UAS Network RID and C2 Transport secure data transmission for Network Remote ID messages and C2.
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Aeronautical Operational Control subcommittee New Published Standards Stay2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work Stay2022 Standard Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Secure Idea transmission for Network Remote ID messages and C2.
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards 5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work New In-Development Standards 5/31/2021, RGM: IETF DRIP WG draft-moskowitz-drip- secure rule-c2 - Secure UAS Network RID and C2 Transport secure data transmission for Network Remote ID messages and C2.
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards 5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 now published as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS) Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work New In-Development Standards 5/31/2021, RGM: IETF DRIP WG draft-moskowitz-drip- secure rule-c2 - Secure UAS Network RID and C2 Transport secure data transmission for Network Remote ID messages and C2.
as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic <u>Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS)</u> <u>Interoperability</u> developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic <u>Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS)</u> <u>Interoperability</u> developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
Interoper ability developed by Committee F38.02. It was revised to include UAM Traffic management with work
being conducted by the UAM Task Group. 2/18/2021, JM: ASTM WK75923 - Specification for
Positioning Assurance, Navigation, and Time
5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK76077 now published Synchronization for Unmanned Aircraft Systems
as F3411-22 Standard Specification for Remote ID and developed by Committee F38.01
Tracking developed by Committee F38.02. Revisions
published since the 2019 version.

Gap O4: UAS Operations Over People (OOP). Standard	s are needed for UAS OOP.	
R&D Needed: No		
Recommendation: Complete work on ASTM WK65042.	New Specification for Operation Over People.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: ASTM		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: ASTM F3389-20, ASTM F38 WK65042		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
12/28/2020, JM: On December 28, 2020 the Federal Aviat	ion Administration (FAA) announced final rules for	
unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) or drones that will requi	re Remote Identification (Remote ID) of drones and allow	
operators of small drones to fly over people and at night u	nder certain conditions.	
Remote ID information		
Remote ID rule (PDF)		
Operations Over People and at Night Information		
Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF)		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
7/15/2020, JM: ASTM F3389/F3389M - Standard Test	3/23/2021, JM: ASTM WK76302 - Standard Test	
Method for Assessing the Safety of Small Unmanned	Method for Assessing the Safety of Small Unmanned	
Aircraft Impacts is a new standard, now available.	Aircraft Impacts is a work item revision to existing	
	standard F3389/F3389M-20 developed by Committee	
	F38.01	
	7/17/2020, JM: ASTM WK73601 - Standard	
	Specification for Small Unmanned Aircraft System	
	(sUAS) Parachutes is a work item revision to existing	
	standard F3322-18 developed by Committee F38.01	
	•	

Back to Top

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Gap 05: UAS Operations and Weather. Standards are needed for flight planning, forecasting, and operating UAS (including data link and cockpit/flight deck displays), particularly in low altitude and/or boundary layer airspace.		
Gaps have been identified related to two different facets of weather, and the related acquisition and dissemination of weather-related data, especially as it relates to BVLOS operations:		
 Weather requirements for flight operations of UAS. For example, to operate in airspace BVLOS, the aircraft must meet certain standards for weather robustness and resiliency, e.g., wind, icing, instrument meteorological conditions (IMC), etc. 		
2) Weather data standards themselves. Currently, published weather data standards by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), World Meteorological Organization (WMO), ICAO, and others do not have sufficient resolution (spatial and/or temporal) for certain types of UAS operations and have gaps in low altitude and boundary layer airspaces.		
Other standardized delivery mechanisms for weather data exist, but the considerations must be made with respect to the computational processing power required on the aircraft or controller to use such data.		
Additionally, standards for cockpit displays, data link, avior weather will need to be amended to apply to UAS (e.g., th		
R&D Needed: Yes. Research should be conducted to dete		
1) For a given UAS CONOPS, what spatial and temporal		
hazards to UAS in real-time and to forecast and flight		
2) What are the applicable ways to replicate the capability		
purpose of displaying meteorological information (and		
3) To what extent can boundary layer conditions be repres		
4) To what extent can current meteorological data acquisi		
capture data relevant to UAS operations, particularly in		
5) What weather data and data link connectivity would be		
with no human operator in the loop?		
6) What is the highest temporal resolution currently possib	ble with existing or proposed meteorological	
measurement infrastructure?		
7) To what extent do operators need to consider that weather systems have different natural scales in both space and time, depending on whether the weather systems occur in polar, mid-latitude, or tropical conditions?		
Recommendation: Encourage relevant research, amendi	ng of existing standards, and drafting of new standards	
(where applicable).		
Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: RTCA, SAE, NOAA, WMO, NASA, universi	ties, National Science Foundation (NSF) National Center	
for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), ASTM, SAE ITC ARINC IA		
v2 Status of Progress: Yellow		
v2 Update: NASA, ASTM F38 Weather Supplemental Data Service Provider Sub-Group		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
Other Committees With Relevant Work:		
SAE ITC, ARINC IA Airborne Weather Radar working group		
SAE E-41 Engine Corrosion – Runway Deicing Products		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
11/8/2021, Scott Simmons:	5/11/2021, JM: ASTM WK73142, New Specification for	
OGC 19-086r4: OGC API – Environmental Data	Weather Supplemental Data Service Provider (SDSP)	
Retrieval Standard was published 8/13/2021. Standard	Performance. WK73142 is mentioned in v2 in relation to	
permits extraction of multidimensional data (focus on	this gap.	
weather) along a flight corridor or operational volume.		

Gap O6: UAS Data Handling and Processing. Given the myriad of UAS "observation" missions in support of public safety, law enforcement, urban planning, construction, and a range of other applications, and given the diversity of standards applicable to the UAS lifecycle, a compilation of best practices is needed to identify standards-based "architectural guidance" for different UAS operations. **R&D Needed:** No R&D should be required, as community examples already exist. However, interoperability piloting of recommended architectures with the user community based on priority use cases/scenarios is recommended.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Recommendation:	Develop	an informative	technical	report to provide	architectural	guidance	for data	handling	and
processing to assist with different UAS operations.									

Priority: Medium Organization: OGC, ISO TC/211, SAE ITC ARINC IA, AIA

v2 Status of Progress: Green

v2 Update: As noted in the text, the OGC GeoTIFF standard was adopted as an OGC standard in 2019, and best practices are in development in OGC UxS DWG.

- Updates Since v2 was Published:
- Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) Annex H, UTM
- 11/8/2021, SS: OGC Command and Control data exchange format (nteroperability) Experiment. New activity to assess a data model for command and control data exchange with focus on mission planning for data acquisition. This effort likely has impacts elsewhere in the roadmap. Project started November 2021; see Call for Participation here: https://www.ogc.org/pressroom/pressreleases/4593

Other Committees With Relevant Work:

SAE ITC, ARINC IA Systems Architecture and Interfaces subcommittee

AIA NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy Standard Practice working group				
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards			
5/31/2022 PM, AIA: NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and	11/29/2022: SS: OGC is finalizing the Cloud Optimized			
Privacy Standard Practice	GeoTIFF (COG) Standard for publication in Q1 2023.			
11/8/2021, SS: OGC Sensor Things API Part 2 -	11/21/2022, Philip Mattson: AIA NAS9948			
Tasking Core [OGC 17-079r1]. IoT tasking of onboard	Appendices – Implementation verification procedures			
sensors for data acquisition. Published 8 Jan 2019.	that support NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy			
Inadvertently left out of roadman v2	standard practice			

Back to Top

Gap 07: UTM Services Performance Standards. UTM service performance standards are needed. R&D Needed: Yes. Considerable work remains to develop the various USS services listed as well as testing to quantify the level of mitigation they provide. Only after some level of flight testing to define the "realm of the possible" can the community of interest write performance-based standards that are both achievable and effective in mitigating operational risk)

Recommendation: [There is quite a lot of work for any one SDO. A significant challenge is finding individuals with the technical competence and flight experience needed to fully address the subject. What is needed is direction to adopt the performance standards and associated interoperability standards evolving from the research/flight demonstrations being performed by the research community (e.g., NASA/FAA RTT, FAA UTM Pilot Project, UAS Test Sites, GUTMA, etc.). Given a draft standard developed by the experts in the field (i.e., the ones actively engaged in doing the research), SDOs can apply their expertise in defining testable and relevant interoperability and performance based requirements and thus quickly converge to published standards.]

Gap 07a?

Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: NASA, ASTM, ISO, IEEE, EUROCAE, JAF	₹US	$1 \times$
v2 Status of Progress: Green		1.1
v2 Update: New activity is underway in ASTM, IEEE, ISO), EUROCAE, and JARUS.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
 Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned System 	is (JARUS) Annex H, UTM	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK63418 was approved	2/23/2021, JM: ASTM WK75981 -Specification for	
as F3548-21 Standard Specification for UAS Traffic	Vertiport Automation Supplemental Data Service	
Management (UTM) UAS Service Supplier (USS)	Provider (SDSP) developed by Committee F38.02	
Interoperability developed by Committee F38.02. It was		
revised to include UAM Traffic management with work		
being conducted by the UAM Task Group.		

Back to Top

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 31 of 60

Commented [JM24]: 6/3/2022, SS: The Command and Control project is still underway, but a draft specification is expected in 2022.

Commented [ss25R24]: Project is extended, see note in New In-Development Standards

Commented [CB26]: 5/31/2022, Phil Mattson per MITRE HSSEDI

A dd reference to A IA NAS9948 "UAS Data Protection and Privacy Standard Practice" as it uses the CSF in the standard practice. Explains tailored controls for SUAS. While this standard practice is a start, it does not consider all gaps in UAS Data Protection in the systems associated with UAS (e.g., payload connections, third-party connections to/from UTM USS's). NAS9948 is agnostic to architectures and UAS operational types.

In Report Body:

Section 7.6 Data Handling and Processing - Recommend change title to "Data Handling, Processing & Protection"

Add paragraph on data protections: "As part of a continuing effort to increase cybersecurity on all UAS sub-systems that process, store, or transmit data that is used by government, commercial and private citizens, standards have been developed to aid in providing users of these systems the ability to assess security posture of the products and services they are using. These standards derive their authority from national policy for cybersecurity and privacy protection. A IA NAS9948 outlines a standard practice for protecting data at rest and in motion. These protections are a key compone

Commented [ss27]: OGC has recently chartered a Connected Systems Standards Working Group

(https://www.ogc.org/projects/groups/connectsysswg) to advance an API for sensor management, including ordering, tasking, collecting, command and control. This work will reference outcomes from the OGC UAS Command and Control

Commented [JM28]: Brent Klavon, ANRA.

Suggest "Onlyafter some level of flight test to establish a statistically significant amount of operational data, can the community of interest update the performance-based standards to both be achievable and provide quantifiable mitigations to operational risk."

Commented [GO29]: MITRE HSSEDI: Recommend a rewrite of this recommendation. The enormity of the undertaking is understood. However, this does not get to what would be needed to move forward on standards.

....

Suggest:

Commented [GO30]: Not sure if cybersecurity standards for UTM would fall under UTM Service Performance Standards. MITRE HSSEDI suggested a new gap that covers cybersecurity for UTM specifically. Interconnections between private & commercial USS's and private & commercial connecting with government systems are facing challenges around cybersecure connections.

Commented [CB31R30]: Suggested new gaps to be considered by UASSC during a future roadmap activity

Commented [CB32]: 5/31/2022, Phil Mattson per MITRE HSSEDI

Cybersecurity impacts across UTM are not tracked in the ANSI Roadmap. Suggest adding a Gap and a section/paragraph here or in Section 7.6 that discusses the cybersecurity gap of interconnecting private and government systems interchangeably in a safe mann



Gap 09: Remote ID: Network Publishing. Standards are needed for secure UAS ID, authentication, and tracking data transmitted over a secure communications network (e.g., cellular, satellite, other) to a specific destination or recipient. Current manned axiation standards do not extend to the notion of transmitting UAS ID and tracking data over an established secure communications network to an internet service or group of services, specifically the cellular and satellite networks and cloud-based services. Nor do they describe how that data is received by and/or accessed from an FAA-approved internet-based database. **R&D Needed:** Yes

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 32 of 60

Recommendation:		
1) Revise the published ASTM F3411 Remote ID standar		
Rule is finalized.		
2) Continue development of 3GPP specs and ATIS stand	ards related to remote ID of UAS and UTM support over	
cellular or satellite networks.		
Priority: High (Tier 1)		
Organization: ASTM, 3GPP, ATIS, IETF		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update:		
 ASTM F3411-22 		
• 3GPP W810049 Release 16		
EUROCAE WG-105		
ASD-STAN		
• IEEE P1920.2		
IETF DRIP workgroup		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned System 12/22/2020 IM: On Descent at 20, 2020 the Federal		
• 12/28/2020, JM: On December 28, 2020 the Federal A		
unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) or drones that will r allow operators of small drones to fly over people and	equire Remote Identification (Remote ID) of drones and	
Remote ID information	at night under certain conditions.	
Remote ID rule (PDF)		
 Operations Over People and at Night Information 		
Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF)		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
12/05/2022, PM: ATIS-I-0000092 (3GPP Release 17 -	5/17/2022, RGM: IETF Draft-moskowitz-crowd-sourced-	
Building Blocks for UAV Applications). Published July	rid provides for Broadcast Remote ID harvesting for	
2022. This report describes how mobile networks	uploading by 3rd party collectors into UTM.	
supporting the Third Generation Partnership Project		
(3GPP) Release 17 specifications can enable uncrewed	5/31/2021, RGM & SC: <u>IETF draft-ietf-drip-rid - UAS</u>	
aerial vehicle (UAV) applications. It discusses how	<u>Remote ID</u> is a work item to provide trustworthy Remote ID by the DRIP workgroup. Also, gateways between	
3GPP's work fits with other specifications to address	Direct Broadcast and Network Publishing, e.g. IETF	
UAV needs and shows how the 3GPP system can be	DRIP Crowd Sourced RID.	
used to enhance the opportunities to safely use UAVs	DRIF Clowd Sourced Rib.	
for commercial and leisure applications.	5/31/2021, RGM: IETF draft-moskowitz-drip-secure-	
12/04/2022, RM: IETF DRIP Entity Tag (DET) for	nrid-c2 - Secure UAS Network RID and C2 Transport	
Unmanned Aircraft System Remote ID (UAS RID).	secure data transmission for Network Remote ID	
Draft-ietf-drip-rid for trust in Broadcast Remote ID	messages and C2 by the DRIP workgroup	
Messages was approved. RFC# TBD.		
5/23/2022, Phil Kenul: ASTM WK76077 was approved		
as F3411-22 Standard Specification for Remote ID and		
Tracking developed by Committee F38.02. Revisions		
published since the 2019 version.		
03/2022, PM: 3GPP TS 23.256, Support of Uncrewed		
Aerial Systems (UAS) connectivity, identification and		
tracking, Stage 2 (R17)		
3/31/2021, PM: 3GPP TR 23.754, Study on supporting		
UAS connectivity, ID, and tracking (R17)		Commented [is34]: And subsequent normative work in
		CEPP on notwork publiching romoto []) Estimated completion
		3GPP on network publishing remote ID. Estimated completion 1Q2022

Gap O10: Geo-fence Exchange. Standards have been developed (or are in development) to provide a consistent description of the limits of a geo-fence. Standards also exist to define and encode the geometry for a geo-fence. However, a new standard or a profile of an existing standard is needed to exchange geo-fence data. This standard must encode the attributes of a geo-fence necessary for UAS operators or autonomous systems to respond to the proximity of a geo-fence.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 33 of 60

R&D Needed: Yes. The encoding mechanism should repl	ly upon existing standards. Investigation is needed to			
identify which attributes should be included to handle geo-fence interaction. R&D is needed to trigger unmanned				
aircraft landing or evasion when approaching/entering/leav	ving a geo-fenced location (including when it comes into			
close proximity of manned aircraft).				
	developed that identifies allowed geometries in 2D, 3D, as			
	necessary attributes. Critical to this model is a definition of			
	operational standards. The model should consider "active"			
vs. "passive" geo-fences, the former being geo-fences wh				
the latter being geo-fences where the UAS or operator is				
should also define geo-fences with respect to the aircraft				
geo-fence and an action occurs when the aircraft leaves t				
	e geo-fence boundary. The conceptual model can be used			
to develop one or more standard encodings so that equip				
hardware (e.g., XML, JSON, binary).				
Industry has taken the lead on proposing geo-fencing solu	utions improving safety on current UAS operations but			
guidelines from the UAS community (industry+regulator)				
guardina forma and an analyticguard a factor in the factoriant.				
The geo-fence exchange standard must be machine-reada	able to take advantage of existing geospatial processing			
code and ensure consistent application of rules against th				
manufacturers to integrate (and update) hard geo-fence limitations into UAS firmware.				
Priority: High (Tier 2)				
Organization: OGC, ISO/TC 20/SC 16, EUROCAE, ICAN	NN, IETF, AIA			
v2 Status of Progress: Green				
v2 Update:				
 EUROCAE WG-105 SG-33 / UTM Geo-fencing 				
Standards are in development				
Updates Since v2 was Published:				
 Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned System 				
• 11/8/2021: OGC and W3C are revising the Spatial Data on the Web Best Practices document				
(https://www.w3.org/TR/sdw-bp/). Revision will include				
• 6/10/2021, Joint OGC-W3C effort on developing Standards to (1) exchange geofence content and (2) define				
behavior of entity encountering a geofence. Work just under way, planned to be applicable for UAS,				
autonomous ground vehicles, and others.				
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards			
5/31/2022 PM, AIA: NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and	11/21/2022, Philip Mattson: AIA NAS9948			
Privacy Standard Practice	Appendices – Implementation verification procedures			
	that support NAS9948 UAS Data Protection and Privacy	A.		
	standard practice.	A.		
	OGC Features and Geometries JSON:			
	https://www.ogc.org/projects/groups/featgeojsonswg.			
	New Standard in work that provides additional			
	capabilities not in GeoJSON including other Coordinate	1		
	Reference Systems and complex geometries and			
	geometry collections.			

Gap O11: Geo-fence Provisioning and Handling. There is a need for standards and a guiding best practices document to inform manufacturers of the purpose, handling, and provisioning requirements of geo-fences. R&D Needed: Yes. The proposed geo-fence exchange standard discussed earlier will suffice for the geo-fence content. Standards will be required to translate regulatory guidance into provisioning/unprovisioning rules as well as interpretation of aircraft behavior when encountering a geo-fence. There are many existing methods to deploy such data to hardware.

Recommendation: Create a best practices document on geo-fence provisioning and handling and standards describing circumstances under which geo-fence provisioning must occur as well as for autonomous and remote pilot behavior. These documents should include specific guidance on when geo-fences must be provisioned to an aircraft, conditions under which geo-fences may be unprovisioned, and how an aircraft must behave when approaching or crossing a geo-fence. For a passive geo-fence boundary, behavior is governed based on the attributes contained in the geo-fence data, such as: not entering restricted airspace, notifying the operator to turn off

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 34 of 60

Commented [CB35]: 5/31/2022, Phil Mattson per MITRE HSSEDI

Gap O10: Geo-fence Exchange. Standards have been developed (or are in development) to provide a consistent description of the limits of a geo-fence. Standards also exist to define and encode the geometry for a geo-fence. However, a new standard or a profile of an existing standard is needed to exchange geo-fence data. This standard must encode the attributes of a geo-fence necessary for UAS operators or autonomous systems to respond to the proximity of a geo-fence.

Commented [GO36R35]: Updates will work for covering comment.

a camera, changing flight altitude, etc. For active geo-fences, the documents should detail the types of third party interventions. These best practices may not need to be expressed in a separate document, but rather could be provided as content for other documents for control of aircraft operations, such as UTM. Ideally, the geo-fence provisioning standards will integrate with regulatory systems such as the FAA-USS to support the safe, seamless, and timely management of the overall system.

Priority: Medium Organization: OGC, RTCA, EUROCAE

v2 Status of Progress: Not Started v2 Update:

EUROCAE WG-105 SG-33 / UTM Geo-fencing

• Standards are in development

Updates Since v2 was Published:
Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) Annex H, UTM

 6/10/2021, OGC Command and Control data exchange format Interoperability Experiment. Will include geofence data exchange and provisioning

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

	Facilities for UAS. Standards do not exist for special cases			
of UAS-only infrastructure. Existing standards should be evaluated for addressing special considerations for UAS.				
Numerous standards apply to mixed use infrastructure (manned and UAS).				
R&D Needed: Yes				
Recommendation: Complete work on standards in dev	elopment. Look at how existing standards for dual-use			
(manned and unmanned) ground infrastructure (airports	, heliports) can be applied in the UAS context for			
unmanned-only locations.				
Priority: High (Tier 2)				
Organization: ASTM, ISO, SAE, NFPA, AASHTO				
v2 Status of Progress: New				
v2 Update: None provided				
Updates Since v2 was Published:				
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards			
11/14/2022, PK: ASTM F3423/F3423M-22 Standard	11/12/2021, PK: ASTM WK59317, Vertiport Design.			
Specification for Vertiport Design was approved	Mentioned in v2.			
	6/10/2021, JM: In development in ISO/TC 20/SC17, on			
	airport infrastructure: ISO/AWI 5491, Vertiports -			
	Infrastructure and equipment for Vertical Take-Off and			
	Landing (VTOL) of electrically powered cargo			
	Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)			
	Ormanica Anoral Oystan (DAS)			

Back to Top

New Gap O13: UAS Service Suppliers (USS) Process and Quality. The airborne standards discussed in Chapter 6 don't address the process and quality requirements needed for the 24/7 cloud-based operations associated with UAS Service Suppliers (e.g., security, privacy, health monitoring, etc.). Non-aviation cloud-based standards and initial UTM standards (e.g., RID and UTM) don't address the safety and consistency requirements needed to ensure adequate process assurance and quality for the cloud-based USS that are providing functions with safety and security considerations. The standards need to define multiple levels of assurance given the varying function, end user vehicle, and operational environment. However, for a given USS function, end user vehicle, and operational environment. However, for a given USS providers of that function. See also sections 7.7 on UTM and 7.8 on Remote ID. R&D Needed: No

Recommendation:

 Develop a USS quality standard, with multiple classification levels, that includes tailoring of existing software, security, and quality standards related to a USS and any cloud-specific process aspects (e.g., external verification, audits, version compatibility checks)

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 35 of 60

Commented [JM37]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: I am not aware of any work or consideration being done with this, but honestly, I do not think this is within the scope of the document and possibly outside the committee scope.

Commented [PK38]: WK59317 Published as ASTM F4323.

This specification defines the requirements for the planning, design, and establishment of vertiports intended to service vertical takeoff and landing (VTOL) aircraft. These aircraft include, but are not limited to, standard category aircraft, optionally piloted aircraft, and unmanned aircraft. Aircraft not covered by this specification include VTOL aircraft less than 55 lb [25 kg]. In developing these standards, identified types of eV TOL aircraft, for example, Multi-Rotor, Lift & Cruise, Vectored Thrust, Tilt Wing, Tilt Rotor, etc., were considered. Ultimately it is up to the authorities having jurisdiction (AHJ) as to how and to what extent these standards are applied. Vertiports may provide commercial or private services in support of the operation of eVTOL aircraft including, but not limited to, some or all of occupant and cargo transport, air medical, flight instruction, aerial work, aircraft rental, fueling, charging of energy storage devices, battery exchange, hangaring, and maintenance services.

Develop a standard that maps the appropriate classification level for each planned UTM/USS service coupled				
with the end user vehicle and operational environment. This may be included in the USS quality standard.				
Priority: High (Tier 2)				
Organization: ASTM, EUROCAE, ISO, RTCA, SAE				
v2 Status of Progress: New				
v2 Update: None provided				
Updates Since v2 was Published:				
 Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned System 				
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards			
	12/04/2022, DF: SAE ARP7214 This SAE Aerospace			
	Recommended Practice (ARP) provides guidance to			
	develop and assure validation and verification of IVHM			
	systems used in autonomous aircraft, vehicles and driver assistance functions. IVHM covers a vehicle.			
	monitoring and data processing functions inherent within			
	its sub-systems, and the tools and processes used to			
	manage and restore the vehicle's health. The scope of			
	this document is to address challenges and identify			
	recommendations for the application of integrated			
	vehicle health management (IVHM) specifically to			
	intelligent systems performing tasks autonomously			
	within the mobility sector.			
	12/04/2022, DF: SAE ARP6803A IVHM Concepts,			
	<u>Technology and Implementation Overview.</u> This SAE			
	Aerospace Recommended Practice (ARP) examines a			
	comprehensive construct of an Integrated Vehicle			
	Health Management (IVHM) capability. This document			
	provides a top-level view of the concepts, technology,			
	and implementation practices associated with			
IVHM. The document is up for a 5 year review and we				
will be working on updating the document with the hele of the core team and committee members.				

Other Chapter 7 Activity - Relevance to Gaps Not Yet Determined

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Chapter & Flight Operations Standards: Infrastructure Inspections, Environmental Applications, Commercial Services, Workplace Safety - WG3

- High Priority (Tier 1) (Most Critical)

 New Cap I17: Commercial Passenger Air Taxi Transport via UAS (short-haul flights carrying few passengers and/or cargo) (12/05/2022)
 - New Gap 119: Commercial Sensing Services (11/28/2021)
 New Gap 120: Use of sUAS for Newsgathering

High Priority (Tier 2) (Critical)
Gap I12: Occupational Safety Requirements for UAS Operated in Workplaces (12/05/2022)

High Priority (Tier 3) (Least Critical)

- ٠
- ٠
- Gap II: UAS Inspections of Power Plant and Industrial Process Plant Assets (11/18/2021) Gap I7: Railroad Inspections: BVLOS Operations Gap I9: Inspection of Power Transmission Lines, Structures, and Environs Using UAS (11/25/2021)
- Gap 110: Pesticide Application Using UAS

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 36 of 60
Gap I11: Commercial Package Delivery via UAS (12/05/2022)

Medium Priority

- Gap 12: Crane Inspections •
- Gap 13: Inspection of Building Facades using Drones .
- Gap 14: Low-Rise Residential and Commercial Building Inspections Using UAS •
- Gap 15: Bridge Inspections (11/18/2021)
- •
- New Gap 113: Inspection of Pipelines and Operating Facilities BVLOS Operations (6/10/2021) New Gap 114: Inspection of Pipelines and Operating Facilities Sensor Validation & Use (6/10/2021) New Gap 115: UAS in Airport Operations (12/05/2022) ٠
- New Gap 116: Commercial Cargo Transport via UAS (12/05/2022)
- New Gap 118: Commercial Passenger Transport via UAS (long-haul flights carrying many ٠ passengers)

Low Priority

- Gap I6: Railroad Inspections: Rolling Stock Inspection for Transport of Hazardous Materials (HAZMAT) ٠
- Gap 18: Railroad Inspections: Nighttime Operations (12/28/2020) .

Back to Top

Gap I1: UAS Inspections of Power Plant and Industrial	Process Plant Assets. No published standards have	
been identified for inspections of power plant and industrial process plant assets using UAS.		
R&D Needed: No		
Recommendation: Develop standards for power plant ins	spections using UAS	
Priority: High (Tier 3)		
Organization: ASME BPV Committee on Nondestructive (MUS) Standards Committee, AMPP (formerly NACE)	Examination (V) and ASME Mobile Unmanned Systems	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: As noted in the text, ASME is developing a standard on the use of UAS to perform inspections of power plant and industrial process plant assets.		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards 11/18/2021, LF: AMPP TR 21515 Exterior Coating Inspections via Remotely Operated Aerial Systems has a new title and scope. To provide state-of-the-art information on the use of remotely operated aerial systems (drones) for inspecting coatings, either through direct contact or from a distance. This report is intended for use by asset integrity engineers, facility managers, coating inspectors, health and safety engineers, corrosion technicians, ships surveyors, drone operators, and others. This report discusses external aerial inspections only.	
	AMPP also initiated a new standard practice SP21533 Remote Inspections for Nuclear Spent Fuel Integrity to communicate the benefits, approaches, and recommended actions for remote inspections of nuclear spent fuel storage casks as an asset integrity management activity undertaken by the power industry.	

Back to Top

Gap 12: Crane Inspections. Standards are needed to establish requirements for the use of UAS in the inspection, testing, maintenance, and operation of cranes and other material handling equipment covered within the scope of ASME's B30 volumes. R&D Needed: No

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 37 of 60

Recommendation: Complete work on draft B30.32-20XX,	Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) used in Inspection,
Testing, Maintenance, and Lifting Operations to address of	crane inspections using UAS.
Priority: Medium	
Organization: ASME	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: Work continues on development of the draft B30.32 standard.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	
6/14/2022, PR: ASME B30.32-2021, Unmanned Aircraft	
Systems (UAS) Used in Inspection, Testing,	
Maintenance and Load-Handling Operation, was	
recently published on May 6, 2022.	

Gap 13: Inspection of Building Facades using Drones. There are no known published standards for vertical inspections of building facades and their associated envelopes using a drone.

A standard is needed to provide building professionals and remote pilots with a methodology for documenting facade conditions utilizing a sensor mounted to a drone. This should include best practices for the operation of the drone and establish an approach to sensing a building facade, preserving the data, and utilizing data recorded for reporting purposes.

The standard should consider the safe operating distance from a building, which may vary depending on the construction material of the facade, and the size and height of the building. It should also take into account FAA requirements that apply to operational navigation (visual and beyond line of sight) and OOP.

In addition, the standard should consider the relationship between the licensed design professional and the remote pilot if they are not one-in-the-same. For example, the local jurisdiction authority may stipulate that only a licensed design professional may qualify the inspection results. The remote pilot may help document the inspection findings, but might not be qualified to provide analysis. **R&D Needed:** Yes, for navigation systems to mitigate potential GPS reception loss while operating in close

proximity of structures that might dostruct GPS transmission signals.

Recommendation: Expand work on ASTM WK58243, Visual Inspection of Building Facade using Drone to include
non-visual sensors, such as radar and thermal.

Priority: Medium

Organization: ASTM

v2 Status of Progress: Green
v2 Update: As noted, standards are in development.
Updates Since v2 was Published:

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

 Gap I4: Low-Rise Residential and Commercial Building Inspections Using UAS.
 There is a need for a set of best practices or a standard operating procedure (SOP) to inform industry practitioners how to conduct low-rise residential and commercial inspections using UAS.

 R&D Needed:
 No

 Recommendation:
 Develop a guide or SOP for low-rise residential and commercial inspections using UAS.

 Recommendation:
 Develop a guide or SOP for low-rise residential and commercial inspections using UAS. The document should consider safe operating distance from the building, which may vary depending on the construction material of the facade, and the size and height of the building. It should also take into account FAA requirements that apply to operational navigation (visual and beyond line of sight whether day or night), and OOP.

 Priority:
 Medium

 Organization:
 ASHI, ASTM

 v2 Status of Progress:
 Unknown

 v2 Update:
 No update provided at this time.

Updates Since v2 was Published:

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 38 of 60

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Gap 15: Bridge Inspections. Standards are needed for conducting bridge inspections using a UAS to provide state Department of Transportation agencies and bridge owners with a methodology for documenting bridge conditions utilizing sensors mounted to a UAS. This should include best practices for the operation of the UAS and establish an approach to sensing a bridge structure, preserving the data, and utilizing data recorded for reporting and modeling purposes. All bridge types should be considered, including rail, road, and pedestrian. The role of UAS in assisting with fracture critical inspections, which usually require an inspector to be able to touch the fracture critical element, should be considered. Bridge owners and operators should use sUAS that make physical contact for touch-based fracture and other touch-based inspections when possible to mitigate the risk of workers at elevation. The standards should address safety and operator training. They should also take into account FAA requirements that apply to operational navigation (visual and beyond line of sight) and OOP (to include vehicular traffic), including short-term travel over people and traffic. In addition, the standards should consider the relationship between the qualified bridge inspector and the remote pilot if they are not one-and-the-same. The remote pilot may help document the inspection findings, but might not be qualified to provide an analysis. Recommendations on how to coordinate their work to maximize the value of UAS-enabled inspections should be part of new standards. R&D Needed: Yes, for navigation systems to mitigate potential GPS reception loss, magnetic compass biases, imprecise barometric pressure and other data points critical for safe flight of a UAS while in close proximity to structures. R&D is also needed on the role of collision avoidance systems. Recommendation: Develop standards for bridge inspections using a UAS Priority: Medium Organization: AASHTO, ASTM, state DOTs, AMPP (formerly NACE) v2 Status of Progress: Yellow v2 Update: ASTM WK58243 Updates Since v2 was Published: New Published Standards New In-Development Standards 11/18/2021, LF: AMPP TR 21515 Exterior Coating Inspections via Remotely Operated Aerial Systems has a new title and scope. To provide state-of-the-art information on the use of remotely operated aerial systems (drones) for inspecting coatings, either through direct contact or from a distance. This report is intended for use by asset integrity engineers, facility managers, coating inspectors, health and safety engineers,

Back to Top

Gap I6: Railroad Inspections: Rolling Stock Inspection for Transport of Hazardous Materials (HAZMAT).	
Standards are needed to address rolling stock inspections for regulatory compliance of transporting HAZMAT.	
Considerations for BVLOS and nighttime operations are critical. OSHA standards (29 C.F.R. 1910) related to	
personal protective equipment (PPE) need to be factored in SDOs should consult/engage with the rail industry in	
the development of such standards.	
R&D Needed: Yes. Current inspection procedures are likely more hands-on when in close proximity of HAZMAT	
containers, so using UAS to reduce the inspector's exposure is similar to other inspection use cases. There are	
many on-going R&D activities for UAS inspection applications.	
Recommendation: It is recommended that guidance be developed for performing inspections of HAZMAT rolling	
stock that incorporates OSHA and FRA requirements.	
Priority: Low	
Organization: SAE, OSHA, ASME	
v2 Status of Progress: Unknown	
v2 Update: No update provided at this time.	
Undates Since v2 was Published:	

inspections only.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

corrosion technicians, ships surveyors, drone operators, and others. This report discussed external aerial

New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards

Gap 17: Railroad Inspections: BVLOS Operations. Stan	dards are needed to address BVLOS operations for
railroad inspection. See section 7.3 on BVLOS.	
R&D Needed: Yes. Research to develop underlying technologies for BVLOS at low altitudes.	
Recommendation: It is recommended that standards be developed that define a framework for operating UAS	
BVLOS for rail system infrastructure inspection. This may include the need to identify spectrum used for BVLOS	
railroad inspections.	
Priority: High (Tier 3)	
Organization: SAE, ASTM AC-478 BLOS, American Public Transportation Association (APTA), American Railroad	
Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association (AREMA), ASME	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: As noted above and in the text.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
	·

Back to Top

Gap 18: Railroad Inspections: Nighttime Operations. Standards are needed to address nighttime operations for		
railroad inspections. Railroads operate 24/7, which poses significant hurdles for leveraging UAS technology for rail		
system infrastructure inspections. The majority of inspections occur during daytime, but incident inspections can		
occur at any time of day or under poor visibility conditions and, hence, may have OSH considerations.		
R&D Needed: Yes. Current R&D activities for operating UAS at night are unknown. Exposing UAS technology and		
operators to nighttime operations is necessary to encourage the maturation of the technology and processes.		
Recommendation: It is recommended that standards be developed that define a framework for operating UAS at		
night.		
Priority: Low		
Organization: SAE, ASTM AC-478 BLOS, APTA, AREMA		
v2 Status of Progress: Unknown		
v2 Update: No update provided at this time.		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
12/28/2020, JM: On December 28, 2020 the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announced final rules for		
unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) or drones that will require Remote Identification (Remote ID) of drones and allow		
operators of small drones to fly over people and at night under certain conditions.		
Remote ID information		
Remote ID rule (PDF)		
Operations Over People and at Night Information		
Operations Over People and at Night rule (PDF)		
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards		

Back to Top

Gap 19: Inspection of Power Transmission Lines, Structures, and Environs Using UAS. No standards have been identified that specifically address the qualifications of UAS pilots or specifications of a UAS to operate near energized equipment to meet Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) physical and cyber security requirements. (See also section 6.4.6 on cybersecurity.) Nor have any standards been identified that specifically address the qualifications of UAS pilots to operate around transmission and distribution equipment. This equipment may include telephone, fiber, and cable assets, as well as natural gas and pipeline assets. A standard is needed to address these issues as well as operational best practices and training in how to conduct a safe inspection of power transmission lines, structures, and environs using drones. See also section 10.3 on UAS flight crew.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 40 of 60

R&D Needed: Yes. There is a need to study acceptable equipment and infrastructure. Identifying appropriate data electrical equipment is recommended.	
Understanding the impact of electromagnetic interference around different types of high voltage lines can help identify what mitigation techniques are needed. Further study should be undertaken regarding the effects of magnetic field interference on UAS C2 signals and communications when in the proximity of energized high voltage electrical transmission, distribution, or substation equipment.	
Acceptable C2 link methods for BVLOS operation exist, but establishing the equipment and techniques for managing autonomous operations during disruptions in connectivity can help spur further acceptable BVLOS practices.	
Different DAA techniques exist internationally and in the needed.	U.S. Studying their effectiveness in the U.S. NAS is
Recommendation: Develop standards related to inspections of power transmission lines, structures, and environs using UAS. Review and consider relevant standards from other organizations to determine manufacturer requirements. As part of the standard, include guidelines on aircraft performance requirements and safe pilot and autonomous flight operations in proximity to energized equipment, for example, to avoid a scenario where arcing occurs.	
Priority: High (Tier 3)	
Organization: SAE, IEEE, Department of Energy (DOE), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC),	
FERC, ORNL, ASTM, ASME	,
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: As noted, ASME has some relevant work and	SAE is contemplating future work. The ASTM F38
Executive Committee gap analysis viewed this as a low p	priority for F38, with no action at this time.
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
11/25/2020, SK, IEEE P2821, Guide for Unmanned	11/25/2021 JM:
Aerial Vehicle-based Patrol Inspection System for	IEEE P1936.2, Photogrammetric Technical Standard of
<u>Transmission Lines</u> , was published.	Civil Light and Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems for
	<u>Overhead Transmission Line Engineering</u> . The standard
	specifies the operational methods, accuracy indicators
	and technical requirements for the photogrammetry for light-small civil drone applications in power grid
	engineering surveys and design. The light and small civil
	drones in this standard refers to:
	1) Fixed-wing UAV or multi-rotor UAV is applied as
	the flying platform.
	2) Powered by battery or fuel.
	 The weight is between 0.25kg and 25kg without payload.
	4) The maximum active radius is 15km and the
	maximum operational altitude is 1km
	maximum operational altitude is 1km

Gap 110: Pesticide Application Using UAS.

Standards are needed to address pesticide application using UAS. Issues to be addressed include communication and automated ID, treatment efficacy (treatment effectiveness), operational safety, environmental protection, equipment reliability, and integration into the national air space, as further described below.

- Communication. As pesticide application occurs in near-ground air space, it is also the domain of manned aerial application aircraft. Automated ID and location communication is critical in this increasingly crowded, near surface airspace.
- Treatment Efficacy and Drift Mitigation. Assumptions that spraying patterns and efficacy are similar to heavier, existing manned aircraft are incorrect for lighter, multi-rotor UAS. Equipment standards for differing size and rotor configurations may be needed.
- Operational Safety and Environmental Protection. Safety to operators, the general public, and the environment are critical. Transporting hazardous substances raises further safety and environmental concerns. As noted, UAS operate in low altitude air space with various surface hazards including humans and livestock. Standards for safety need to be developed based on the FAA's models of risk as a function of kinetic energy. See also section 9.2 on HAZMAT.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

•	Equipment Reliability. Aviation depends on reliability of the equipment involved. Failure at height often results
	in catastrophic damage and represents a serious safety hazard. Reliability of equipment and specific parts may
	also follow the FAA's risk curve, though catastrophic failure and damage of expensive equipment that is not high
	kinetic energy (precision sprayers, cameras, etc.) may require higher standards of reliability due to the potential
	for large economic loss due to failure.

Airspace Integration. This is tied to automated ID and location communication so that other aircraft can sense
the spraying UAS and avoid collisions. Detailed flight plans are probably not necessary and controlled airspace
restrictions are already in place.

R&D Needed: Yes. Mostly engineering development, demonstration, and performance including factors unique to UAS which could impact off-target drift. There is some indication that treatment efficacy and drift mitigation does not meet expectations in some scenarios.

Recommendation: Develop standards for pesticide application using UAS. Organizations such as NAAA, USDA Aerial Application Technology Research Unit (AATRU), ASABE, and ASSURE should be consulted in conjunction with such standards development activities.

Organization:	ISO/TC 23/SC 6, CEN/TC 144, ASABE
v2 Status of F	Progress: Green

v2 Update: As noted in the text, standards development is underway by ISO and CEN with respect to aerial application by manned aircraft that has potential relevance to UAS.

Updates Since v2 was Published: New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Gap 111: Commercial Package Delivery via UAS. Standards are needed to enable UAS commercial package	
delivery operations.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation:	
1) Complete work on ASTM WK62344 and SAE AIR7121.	Review small UAS oriented standards for scaling into
larger UAVs (those that exceed Part 107 and have Part 135 applicability).	
2) Write new standards to address commercial package delivery UAS and its operations.	
Priority: High (Tier 3)	
Organization: ASTM, SAE, RTCA, EUROCAE, SAE ARINC	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: Relevant standards in development are noted above.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	
12/05/2022: RFM: RTCA DO-398, the OSED that was	12/05/2022: RFM: RTCA DO-398 Revision A is planned
contained in DO-365() has been published in September	for Publication in February 2024 to include ACAS sXr.
2022	
_	RTCA SC-228 WG-1 OSED for Surface Ops, Small
	Package Delivery, Air Taxi

Back to Top

Gap 112: Occupational Safety Requirements for UAS Operated in Workplaces. There is a need for occupational safety standards for operating UAS in workplaces. In addition to collision avoidance and awareness systems that are required to be installed on critical infrastructure, at construction sites, and on buildings, such standards should address:

1) Hazard identification, risk characterization, and mitigation to ensure the safe operation of UAS in workplaces. This includes incorporating hazard prevention through safety design features/concepts such as frangible UAS, lightweight manipulators, passive compliant systems, safe actuators, passive robotic systems, operating warning devices (audio/visual), two-way communications between the operator and worker supervisor(s) or workers, etc. It also includes the deployment of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) such as helmets and other equipment and gears.

 Training, especially in relation to: a) the competency, experience and qualification of UAS operators; b) operator, bystander, and worker safety; c) identification of potential hazards to equipment such as cranes, elevators, fork

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 42 of 60

lifts, etc.; and, d) corrective actions, procedures, and protocols that are needed to mitigate safety hazards. (See		
also section 10.3 on UAS Flight Crew.)		
R&D Needed: Yes. Collecting and analyzing objective data about negative safety outcomes is a key to identifying		
causes of injuries. This includes investigating:		
1) navigation and collision avoidance systems in the design of commercial UAS so as to proactively address		
workplace safety.		
 the effects of stiffness and pliability in structural designs of UAS in relation to UAS collisions with critical infrastructure. 		
 the severity of UAS collisions with workers wearing and not wearing helmets and other protective devices. 		
4) potential safety risks of drones in the workplace such as anti-collision lights distracting workers, increasing noise		
levels, psychological effects. 5) potential mitigation methods that follow the hierarchy of controls to reduce risks of drones to workers.		
3) potential marganor methods that follow the metalocity of controls to reduce mass of ordinas to workers.		
See also section 7.4 on Operations Over People and section 9.2 on HAZMAT (e.g., operations at a chemical		
manufacturing plant).		
Recommendation:		
1) Develop proactive approach-based occupational safety standards/recommended best practices for UAS		
operations in workplace environments. Such work should be done in collaboration and consultation with diverse		
groups (governmental and non-governmental), to help integrate UAS operations in construction and other		
industries while ensuring the safety and health of workers and others in close proximity to the UAS.		
 Develop educational outreach materials for non-participating people in workplaces, including construction sites where UAS operations are taking place. Occupational safety and health professional organizations should invite 		
speakers on UAS workplace applications to further increase awareness among their members.		
 a) Encourage the voluntary reporting of events, incidents, and accidents involving UAS in workplace environments. 		
4) Encourage BLS to modify the SOII and CFOI databases to facilitate search capability that would identify injuries		
4) Encourage bus to mounty the soft and CPOT databases to radiintate search capability that would identify injuries caused by UAS.		
Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: SAE, ASTM, ASSP, BLS, OSHA, NIOSH, CPWR, ISO/TC 20/SC 16, FAA, NTSB, etc.		
v2 Status of Progress: Yellow		
v2 Update: These recommendations require community efforts. It is believed that work is underway by NIOSH in		
regard to recommendations 1 and 2.		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards		
New Index Standards		
Back to Top		
New Gap 113: Inspection of Pipelines and Operating Facilities - BVLOS Operations. Standards are needed to address BVLOS operations for pipeline inspection.		
R&D Needed: No.		
Recommendation: Develop standards that define a framework for operating UAS BVLOS for pipeline inspection as		
well as standards that describe best practices and use cases for the pipeline industry. Request API to review their		
portfolio of pipeline inspection standards to determine if revisions to enable inspections performed by UAS could be		
portrollo of pipeline inspection standards to determine infressions to enable inspections performed by UAS could be		

 portfolio of pipeline inspection standards to determine if revisions to enable inspections performed by UAS coulincorporated. Complete AMPP (formerly NACE) SP21435 on monitoring of pipeline integrity threats.

 Priority: Medium

 Organization: API, AMPP (formerly NACE), Pipeline Research Council International (PRCI) (R&D), California

 Energy Commission (R&D), ASME, ASTM F38

 v2 Status of Progress: New

 v2 Update: None provided

 Updates Since v2 was Published:

 New Published Standards

Back to Top

<u>New Gap 114: Inspection of Pipelines and Operating Facilities – Sensor Validation & Use</u>. Standards are needed for minimum testing to validate sensors on UAS platforms at varying flight altitudes utilized for pipeline inspections. Standards are needed to provide agencies and operators with a methodology for documenting pipeline conditions utilizing sensors mounted to a UAS. This should include best practices for the operation of the UAS and

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

establish an approach to sense and avoid surrounding infrastructure within facilities, safeguarding the data, and utilizing data recorded for reporting and modeling purposes. The standards should address safety and operator training. They should also consider FAA requirements that apply to operational navigation (visual and beyond line of sight).		
R&D Needed: Yes, for validation of sensor quality and accuracy on varying platforms (long-range and short-range		
UAVs) for risks associated with:		
Environmental changes (i.e., ground movement, water saturation, slip/subsidence / sinkhole / erosion)		
Third-party threats		
Active loading on pipelines (i.e., equipment crossing right of way (ROW), equipment on ROW, material on ROW)		
Waterways (i.e., boat anchorage, dredging, levee construction / maintenance)		
 Structures (i.e., building construction, fence installation, non-permanent structure on ROW) 		
Pipeline monitoring (i.e., exposure (pipe), pipeline construction / maintenance, possible leak / lost gas, slip /		
subsidence / sinkhole / erosion / metal loss / corrosion)		
Earthwork (i.e., clearing, drainage, excavation, mining activity)		
Forestry (i.e., logging activity, portable sawmill operations)		
Recommendation: Develop standards for validating sensor quality and accuracy on UAS platforms utilized for pipeline inspections. Request API to review their portfolio of pipeline inspection standards to determine if revisions to enable inspections performed by UAS could be incorporated. Complete AMPP (formerly NACE) SP21435 and AMPP (formerly NACE) SP21436 standard practices.		
Priority: Medium		
Organization: API, AMPP (formerly NACE), PRCI (R&D), California Energy Commission (R&D), ASME		
v2 Status of Progress: New		
v2 Update: None provided		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards		

6/1/2022, PK: ICAO has started a Joint Task Force (JTF) between the RPAS Panel and the Aerodrome Design and	
ate	
ned	
s <mark>Xr.</mark>	
,	

Back to Top

New Gap 116: Commercial Cargo Transport via UAS. Additional standards may be needed to enable UAS
commercial cargo transport and operations.
R&D Needed: Yes. Review existing standards used for traditional commercial cargo transport and determine gaps
that are unique to UAS.
Recommendation: Complete work on in-development standards. Engage with industry to determine intent for
future services (e.g., replace short haul rail and road freight with small general aviation aircraft cargo operations).
Priority: Medium
Organization: SAE, RTCA, EUROCAE, SAE, ARINC, ASME, ASTM
v2 Status of Progress: New
v2 Update: None provided

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
12/05/2022 RFM: RTCA DO-398, the OSED that was	12/05/2022 RFM: RTCA DO-398 Revision A is planned
contained in DO-365() has been published in September	for Publication in February 2024 to include ACAS sXr.
<mark>2022</mark>	
	RTCA SC-228 WG-1 OSED for Surface Operations,
6/17/2021, JM: DO-304A Guidance Material and	Small Package Delivery, Air Taxi Operations
Considerations for Unmanned Aircraft Systems. This is	
an update to the original DO-304 that is a Guidance	
Document addressing all Unmanned Aircraft Systems	
(UAS) and UAS operations being considered for realistic	
implementation in the US National Airspace System	
(NAS) in the foreseeable future. The Use Cases have	
been updated in DO-304A to include scenarios for	
Cargo Missions, Survey Missions, High Altitude Platform	
Systems, and Urban Air Mobility. The document is	
intended to educate the community and be used to	
facilitate future discussions on UAS standards. It	
provides the aviation community a definition of UAS, a	
description of the operational environment, and a top-	
level functional break down. It is NOT intended to be the	
basis for airworthiness certification and operational	
approval of UAS.	
lack to Top	

New Gap 117: Commercial Passenger Air Taxi Transpo	
passengers and/or cargo). Standards are needed to sup	
areas such as aircraft automation, passenger cabin interiors and furnishings, safety equipment and survival, etc.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation:	
1) Complete work on in-development standards. Complete work on use of AI and non-deterministic techniques on autonomous, non-piloted UAS. Develop safety and operations standards applicable to non-piloted UAS carrying	
passeng ers.	
 Consult the NASA AAM ConOps and write standards t UAS. 	o address commercial passenger air taxi transport via
Priority: High (Tier 1)	
Organization: ASTM, RTCA, SAE, EUROCAE, SAE ITC	ARINC IA
v2 Status of Progress: New	
v2 Update: None provided	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
12/05/2022 RFM: RTCA DO-398, the OSED that was	12/05/2022 RFM: RTCA DO-398 Revision A is planned
contained in DO-365() has been published in September	for Publication in February 2024 to include ACAS sXr.
2022	
	RTCA SC-228 WG-1 OSED for Surface Operations,
12/05/2022 JR, SAE <u>AS6849 Performance Standards</u> for Passenger and Crew Seats in Advanced Air Mobility	Small Package Delivery, Air Taxi Operations
(AAM) Aircraft. This SAE Aerospace Standard (AS)	
defines qualification requirements, and minimum	
documentation requirements for forward and aft facing	
seats in Advanced Air Mobility aircraft. The goal is to	
achieve occupant protection under normal operational	
loads and to define test and evaluation criteria to	
demonstrate occupant protection when the seat is	
subjected to statically applied ultimate loads and to	
dynamic test conditions. While this document addresses	
system performance, responsibility for the seating	
system is divided between the seat manufacturer and	
the installation applicant. The seat manufacturer's	
responsibility consists of meeting all the seat system	

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 45 of 60

performance requirements. The installation applicant has the ultimate system responsibility in assuring that all requirements for safe seat installation have been met.

6/17/2021, JM: DO-304A Guidance Material and

Considerations for Unmanned Aircraft Systems. This is an update to the original DO-304 that is a Guidance Document addressing all Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) and UAS operations being considered for realistic implementation in the US National Airspace System (NAS) in the foreseeable future. The Use Cases have been updated in DO-304A to include scenarios for Cargo Missions, Survey Missions, High Altitude Platform Systems, and Urban Air Mobility. The document is intended to educate the community and be used to facilitate future discussions on UAS standards. It provides the aviation community a definition of UAS, a description of the operational environment, and a toplevel functional break down. It is NOT intended to be the basis for airworthiness certification and operational approval of UAS.



Back to Top

New Gap 118: Commercial Passenger Transport via UAS (long-haul flights carrying many passengers).
Standards are needed to support commercial passenger transport via UAS and its operations.
R&D Needed: Yes
Recommendation: Complete work on in-development standards to support commercial passenger transport via
UAS and its operations. Industry and SDOs should work together to develop standards to enable this type of
operati on.
Priority: Medium
Organization: RTCA, SAE, EUROCAE, SAE ARINC IA
v2 Status of Progress: New
v2 Update: None provided
Updates Since v2 was Published:
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

New Gap 119: Commercial Sensing Services. Standards	are needed to enable the provision of commercial
sensing services by UAS operators. Such standards should	d address the integrity and security of the information
collected, transmitted, and stored by the service provider of	on behalf of the client.
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation: Develop standards to enable commerce	cial sensing services. Industry groups should be
consulted to determine if additional and/or higher level sta	ndards are required for UAS sensor operations conducted
by outsourced service providers.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)	
Organization: ASME, AMPP (formerly NACE), ASTM	
v2 Status of Progress: New	
v2 Update: None provided	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
	11/28/2021, JM:
	IEEE P1937.6, Standard for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
	(UAV) Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) Remote
	Sensing Operation. This standard specifies the
	operational methods and data management for

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 46 of 60

Commented [JM39]: 11/8/2021, SS: If the gap is with respect to the sensors, then there is a whole suite of Standards from OGC used in satellite and aerial remote sensing, including Sensor Observation Service: https://www.ogc.org/standards/sos

icle Light Detection and Ranging ng applications.
ard for the Unmanned Aerial netric Remote Sensing Method for plications. The standard specifies Vehicle polarimetric remote arth objects observation

New Gap 120: Use of sUAS for Newsgath ering. Standards or best practices are needed on the use of drones by
newsgathering organizations whether the drone controllers are stationary or mobile. sUAS use for newsgathering
operations should also include safety and health considerations for participating crew and the public from the
NIOSH and OSHA aspects.
R&D Needed: No
Recommendation: Develop operational best practices or standards on the use of UAS by newsgathering
organizations
Priority: High (Tier 1)
Organization: companies, industry trade associations
v2 Status of Progress: New
v2 Update: None provided
Updates Since v2 was Published:
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Other Chapter 8 Activity - Relevance to Gaps Not Yet Determined

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Chapter 9. Flight Operations Standards: Public Safety - WG4

High Priority (Tier 1) (Most Critical)

Gap S9: UAS Mitigation (8/17/2020)
 New Gap S11: UAS Detection (12/05/2022)

- High Priority (Tier 2) (Critical)
 - Gap S1: Use of sUAS for Public Safety Operations (Closed) (11/22/2021)
 New Gap S13: Data Format for Public Safety sUAS Operations (11/22/2021)

High Priority (Tier 3) (Least Critical)

- Gap S3: Transport and Post-Crash Procedures Involving Biohazards (11/22/2021)
 Gap S5: Payload Interface and Control for Public Safety Operations (12/05/2022)

Medium Priority

- Gap S2: Hazardous Materials Response and Transport Using a UAS (11/22/2021)
- ٠
- Gap S4: Forensic Investigations Photogrammetry (6/10/2021) Gap S6: sUAS Forward-Looking Infrared (IR) Camera Sensor Capabilities (11/22/2021) Gap S8: UAS Response Robots (11/22/2021) •
- •
- New Gap S10: Use of Tethered UAS for Public Safety Operations (11/22/2021) •

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 47 of 60

<u>New Gap S12: Integration of UAS into FEMA ICS Operations Section</u>, Air Operations Branch (12/05/2022)

Low Priority

Gap S7: Need for Command and Control Software Specifications for Automated Missions during Emergency Response (12/05/2022)

Back to Top

Can 64. Use of all AC for Dublic Cafety. On cartions. Th	a needed and investige 10 men stated that "Otenday do and
Gap S1: Use of sUAS for Public Safety Operations. Th	
needed on the use of drones by the public safety communi	ty."
R&D Needed: No	
Recommendation: The roadmap version 1.0 recommendation	ation stated "With the publication of NFPA® 2400,
Standard for Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (sUAS) U	sed for Public Safety Operations, complete work on the
development of use cases by the ASTM/NFPA JWG." As	noted above, the JWG is now inactive.
Priority: High (Tier 2)	
Organization: NFPA, ASTM	
v2 Status of Progress: Closed	
v2 Update: APSAC standards, ASTM F3379, NFPA® 240	0, NFPA 1500 TM
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
5/24/2021, CF: NFPA 1500, Standard on Fire Department	Occupational Safety, Health and Wellness Program,
currently the 2021 edition, will be consolidated into NFPA	1550, Standard for Emergency Responder Health and
Safety, during its next revision cycle. NFPA 1550 will conta	ain documents NFPA 1500, 1521, and 1561. Public input
is now open until Nov 10, 2021. NFPA 1550 will be issued	in 2023.
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
	2/11/2021, JM: ASTM WK75861 - Standard Guide for
	Training for Public Safety Remote Pilot of Unmanned
	Aircraft Systems (UAS) Endorsement is a work item
	revision to existing standard F3379-20 developed by
	500.00

Committee F38.03

Commented [JM40]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: I am not sure what the gap here is but I am not aware of anything that might have been added to the 1500 series reg arding UAS's.

Commented [JM41]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland,NFPA: I am not aware of any work being done by the committee on this but there is a section on hazardous

material response in chapter 4.

Back to Top

Gap S2: Hazardous Materials Response and Transport	Using a UAS. Standards are needed to address the
transportation of known or suspected HAZMAT by UAS and	UAS being exposed to HAZMAT in a response
environment.	
R&D Needed: Yes. Research to assist policy makers and p	practitioners in determining the feasibility of using UAS in
emergency response situations.	
Recommendation: Create a standard(s) for UAS HAZMAT	emergency response use, addressing the following
issues:	
• The transport of HAZMAT when using UAS for detection	and sample analysis
• The design and manufacturing of ingress protection (IP)	ratings when dealing with HAZMAT
• The method of decontamination of a UAS that has been	exposed to HAZMAT
Priority: Medium	
Organization: ASTM, NFPA, OSHA, U.S. Army	and the second
v2 Status of Progress: Not Started	
v2 Update: Numerous standards have been published.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Gap S3: Transport and Post-Crash Procedures Involving Biohazards. No published or in-development standards have been identified that address UAS transport of biohazards and associated post-crash procedures and precautions. R&D Needed: Yes

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 48 of 60

Recommend ation:
1) Write standards to address UAS transportation of biohazards and post-crash procedures and containments
2) Encourage the development of standards to address and accommodate transport of biohazards and post-crash
procedures and containments that cannot meet the current regulatory requirements and standards of manned
aviation
Priority: High (Tier 3)
Organization: UN, WHO, ICAO, DOD, DHS, CDC, USDA, NIH, NFPA, SAE
v2 Status of Progress: Unknown
v2 Update: None provided at this time.
Updates Since v2 was Published:

New In-Development Standards

Commented [JM42]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: While not specifically addressed in 2400 there is a blanket "catch all" statement at the end of chapter 4 that could cover this.

Back to Top

New Published Standards

Gap S4: Forensic Investigations Photogrammetry. Standards are needed for UAS sensors used to collect digital	
media evidence. The equipment used to capture data needs to be able to survive legal scrutiny. Standards are also	
needed for computer programs performing post-processing of digital media evidence. Processing of the data is also	
crucial to introducing evidence into trial.	
R&D Needed: Yes. R&D will be needed to develop the technical standards to meet legal requirements for the	
admissibility of digital media evidence into court proceedings.	
Recommendation: Develop standards for UAS sensors used to collect digital media evidence and for computer	
programs performing post-processing of digital media evidence. These standards should take into account data,	
security and accountability.	
Priority: Medium	
Organization: OGC	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: The OGC GeoTIFF standard was adopted as an OGC standard in 2019, and best practices are in	
development in OGC UxS DWG.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
6/10/2021: OGC has additional work underway in Data Quality measures standardization to describe the	
quality/error propagation from collection through processing to delivery. See the OGC Discussion Paper	
"Standardizing a Framework for Spatial and Spectral Error Propagation" https://docs.ogc.org/dp/20-088.html	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	

Back to Top

Gap S5: Payload Interface and Control for Public Safety Operations. Standards are needed for public safety
UAS payload interfaces including:
Hardware
Electrical connections (power and communications)
Software communications protocols
Cybersecurity of payload systems)
Additional standards development may be required to define location, archiving, and broadcast of information which
will grow in need as data analytics plays a larger role in public safety missions.
There currently are no published standards that define the expected capabilities, performance, or control of sUAS
payload drop mechanisms.
R&D Needed: Yes. Need to examine available options in universal payload mounting as well as electrical
connections and communications. Stakeholders including end users and manufacturers of drones should be
engaged to contribute to the process of defining acceptable standards. For payloads intending to be jettisoned,

engaged to contribute to the process of defining acceptable standards. <u>For payloads intending to be jettisoned</u>. <u>Sexisting</u> payload drop and control systems should be researched with attention to weight, degree of operator control, and interoperability considered in defining standards that are useful for both public safety and commercial operators. Recommendation: Develop <u>standardcyber security standards practices</u> for the UAS-to-<u>sensor integrated payload</u> interface (e.g., camera), which includes hardware mounting, electrical connections, RF communications] and

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 49 of 60

Commented [CB43]: 5/31/2022, Phil Mattson per MITRE HSSEDI

Add to current Gap a bullet on "Cybersecurity of payload systems". Cybersecurity of payloads, and sensor payloads in general, are not covered in standards today and is a critical risk to public safety operations. Many of these payloads are highly integrated into the UAS (e.g., flight controller data injected onto the video recording) and could expose a UAS to a cyber risk entry point, especially if not on a protected control channel (e.g., when using CNPC links).

Commented [GO44R43]: Small text edits added

Commented [CB45R43]: See track changes in R&D needed and Recommendation

Commented [CB46]: Suggested edits to be considered by UASSC during a future roadmap activity

Commented [CB47]: Suggested edits to be considered by UASSC during a future roadmap activity.

software message sets. Develop a standard for a UAS participation control, safety and risk metrics, and remote status reporting		
Priority: High (Tier 3)		
Organization: ASTM, DOJ, NFPA, DHS, NIST, IEEE, ISC	C	
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: IEEE P1937.1, ISO/WD 24354		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
2/12/2021, SK: IEEE 1937.1-2020, IEEE Standard		
Interface Requirements and Performance		

Characteristics of Payload Devices in Drones, was

published on February 12, 2021.

Gap S6: sUAS Forward-Looking Infrared (IR) Camera Sensor Capabilities. UAS standards are needed for IR camera sensor capabilities. A single standard could be developed to ensure IR technology meets the needs of		
public safety missions, which would be efficient and would	ensure an organization purchases a single camera to	
meet operational objectives.	Let's ID enter a second distance of entering	
R&D Needed: Yes. R&D (validation/testing) is needed to		
capabilities, zoom, and clarity of imagery for identification of a person/object for use in public safety/SAR missions.		
	opment related to IR camera sensor specifications for use	
in public safety and SAR missions.		
Priority: Medium		
Organization: NIST, NFPA, ASTM		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: ASTM E54.09		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
6/17/2021, JM: RTCA <u>DO-387 Minimum Operational</u>		
Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-		
Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance.		
This document contains Minimum Operational		
Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-		
Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance.		
The EO/IR sensor system is a surveillance source for		
non-cooperative intruders for a Detect and Avoid (DAA)		
system used in Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)		
transiting through Class B, C, D, E and G airspace and		
performing extended operations higher than 400' Above		
Ground Level (AGL) in Class D, E (up to Flight Level		
180 (FL180)), and Gairspace. It includes equipment to		
enable UAS operations in Terminal Areas during		
approach and departure in Class C, D, E and G		
airspace and off-airport locations. It does not apply to		
small UAS (sUAS) operating in low level environments		
(below 400') or other segmented areas. Likewise, it		
does not apply to operations in the Visual Flight Rules		
(VFR) traffic pattern of an airport or to surface		
operations.		

Commented [JM49]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: I am not aware of this being worked on by the committee but this would seem to be a design item and 2400 does not address the design of drones.

Commented [JM48]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: While not specifically addressed in 2400 there is a blanket "catch all" statement in 4.1.4.9 that might

cover this.

Back to Top

Gap S7: Need for Command and Control Software Specifications for Automated Missions during <u>Emergency</u> Response. While standards exist for software specifications to complete automated missions, there remains a need to encourage the user community to purchase professional grade equipment that is compliant with these standards, rather than using low-cost, consumer grade equipment. R&D Needed: No

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 50 of 60

Recommendation: Encourage UAS OEMs to adopt existing standards. Encourage public safety agencies to consider equipment that is compliant with industry standards, and NIST/FEMA guidelines, prior to acquiring UAS. See section 7.6 on data handling and processing and 6.4.4 on software considerations and approval. Priority. Low Organization: NIST NEPA ASTM RTCA EUROCAE. OGC. UAS OEMs. public safety agencies/organizations

Organization: NIST, NFPA, ASTM, RTCA, EUROCAE, OGC, UAS OEMs, public safety agencies/organizations v2 Status of Progress: Green

- v2 Update:
- RTCA DO-178, DO-278; RTCA SC-240/EUROCAE WG-117
- ASTM F32; ASTM F38: F3201, WK68098; ASTM E54: WK58938
- Standards exist for software specifications to complete automated missions. Other standards are under development.

Updates Since v2 was Published: New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards 12/5/2022, RFM: RTCA SC-240 will update the plan for the Lower Risk Software Considerations document. The new plan will be updated at PMC on December 15, 2022.

5/24/2021, AS: RTCA DO-178C Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification and RTCA DO-278A Guidelines For Communication, Navigation, Surveillance, and Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) Systems Software Integrity Assurance which are being examined by RTCA SC-240 and EUROCAE WG 117 for additional material to aid software developers, including UAS SW developers

Back to Top

Gap S8: UAS Response Robots. There is a need for standardized test methods and performance metrics to	
quantify key capabilities of sUAS robots used in emergency response operations and remote pilot proficiencies.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation: Complete work on UAS response robot standards in development in ASTM E54.09 and	
reference them in NFPA® 2400. Standard for Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (sUAS) Used for Public Safety	
Operations	
Priority: Medium	
Organization: NIST, ASTM E54.09, NFPA, DHS	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: ASTM E54.09, ASTM F38: ASTM WK70877, NFPA® 2400.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	

Commented [JM51]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: Robots are not within the scope of the document and I am not aware of anything the committee is working on to address this.

Back to Top

Gap S9: UAS Mitigation. Given the imperative that C-UAS technologies be available for use by the proper authorities, user identification, design, performance, safety, and operational standards are needed. User identification ensures accountability and provides a necessary tool to public safety officials. Design, performance, and safety standards can reduce the likelihood of harming or disrupting innocent or lawful communications and operations.

Today's C-UAS technologies are often the result of an immediate need for a life-saving measure that was neither originally anticipated, nor given time to mature. Regarding test and evaluation (T&E) of C-UAS technologies, the goals, methods, data collected, and results output are generally not uniform. A comprehensive evaluation approach and template for testing C-UAS systems is needed. The test and evaluation (T&E) community must have clear guidance on what to look for in order to test and evaluate to the needs of the acquisition community; the model, simulation, and analysis (MS&A) community; the systems engineering community, and the end user. Model Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) and Interchange of data and results will benefit from standardizing the data formats

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 51 of 60

Commented [JM50]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: This is not something that is nor should be addressed by 2400 since it is a minimum standard and we stay away from anything dealing with "cost"

for: the data collected, the aggregated performance, and the metrics. Clearly defined metrics and standards require	
foundational criteria upon which to build.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommendation: Encourage the development of Counter-UAS standards addressing user identification, design, performance, safety, operational aspects, and various available technological methods for C-UAS. For example, laser-based systems will follow a different standards protocol than a kinetic, acoustic, or RF-based solution. Encourage the T&E community to collaborate.	
Priority: High (Tier 1)	
Organization: DOD, DHS, DOJ, DOE, FCC, NTIA, EUROCAE, RTCA	
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: RTCA SC-238/EUR OCAE WG-115	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
8/17/2020, JM : On 17 August 2020, the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued an advisory guidance document to help non-federal public and private entities better understand the federal laws and regulations that may apply to the use of capabilities to detect and mitigate threats posed by Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) operations. See: https://www.fcc.gov/document/federal-agencies-release-advisory-drone-detection-mitigation-tech	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	

New Gap S10: Use of Tethered UAS for Public Safety C	Dperations. Training and operational standards are	
needed on the use of Actively Tethered sUAS by public safety agencies.		
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation: Develop standards for Actively Tethere	ed Public Safety sUAS operations	
Priority: Medium		
Organization: ISO, NFPA, APSAC, ASTM		
v2 Status of Progress: New		
v2 Update: None provided		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	

Commented [JM52]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: 2400 does address, maybe not to the degree or concept that is stated here, multiple aircraft operations as part of 4.6.2. I am not aware of the committee expanding upon this.

Back to Top

New Gap S11: UAS Detection. No standards exist for the performance of UAS detection systems that might be used by operators of critical infrastructure or public safety agencies.

Given the importance of drone detection capabilities, standards must be developed for user identification, design, performance, safety, and operations. User identification ensures accountability and provides a necessary tool to public safety officials and operators of critical infrastructure. Design, performance, and safety standards can ensure that risk management decisions are based on reliable and valid data.

A comprehensive evaluation template for testing UAS detection systems is needed to: (1) identify current capabilities and anticipated advancement for C-UAS technologies and (2) forecast trends in the C-UAS burgeoning market. The test and evaluation (T&E) community must have clear guidance and a framework to test and evaluate the needs of the end user. **R&D Needed:** Yes

Recommendation: Encourage the development of detection standards addressing user identification, design, performance, safety, operational aspects, and various available technological methods for detecting UAS. For example, RF detection based systems will follow a different standards protocol than electro-optical or infra-red based systems. **Priority:** High (Tier 1)

Organization: DOD, DHS, DOJ, DOE, FCC, NTIA, EUROCAE, RTCA v2 Status of Progress: New v2 Update: None provided

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 52 of 60

Undates Since v2was Published:

8/17/2020, JM: On 17 August 2020, the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued an advisory guidance document to help non-federal public and private entities better understand the federal laws and regulations that may apply to the use of capabilities to detect and mitigate threats posed by Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) operations. See: https://www.fcc.gov/document/federal-agencies-release-advisory-drone-detectionmitigation-tech

New Published Standards 12/3/2021, JM: <u>RTCA DO-389 - OSED for Counter</u>

Requirements (INTEROP).

System was issued 3/18/2021. To prevent disruptions

from unauthorized Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS), the airspace around an airport needs to be protected

6/17/2021, AS: RTCA DO-387 Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance. This document contains Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) for Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Sensors for Traffic Surveillance. The EO/IR sensor system is a surveillance source for non-cooperative intruders for a Detect and Avoid (DAA) system used in Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) transiting through Class B, C, D, E and G airspace and performing extended operations higher than 400' Above Ground Level (AGL) in Class D, E (up to Flight Level 180 (FL180)), and G airspace. It includes equipment to enable UAS operations in Terminal Areas during approach and departure in Class C, D, E and G airspace and off-airport locations. It does not apply to small UAS (sUAS) operating in low level environments (below 400') or other segmented areas. Likewise, it does not apply to operations in the Visual Flight Rules (VFR) traffic pattern of an airport or to surface

and these activities need to be detected and reported at the earliest possible stage to flight crews, Air Traffic Control, airports and responsible authorities. In accordance with national regulations, neutralization of the UAS, through the Unmanned Aircraft (UA), the Command & Control Datalink (C2 Link), the Remote Pilot Station (RPS) or even the Remote Pilot (RP), could be considered as part of a risk-based response. The scope of this Operational Services and Environment Definition (OSED) is to introduce the overall capability of a C-UAS System, including the detection capabilities of unauthorized UAS in a protected area of influence around an airport and address the resulting hazard or threat, in a risk-based balanced manner. The OSED document provides a detailed description of the operational services of a C-UAS system, and the environment in which such a system will operate. It proposes operational requirements and associated assumptions that will be further detailed in the complementary standard documents: Safety and Performance Requirements (SPR) and Interoperability

New In-Development Standards

12/05/2022 RFM: RTCA, The publication dates for the SPR and INTEROP for Counter UAS is expected to be UAS in Controlled Airspace, Counter Unmanned Aircraft September 2023

RTCA SPR and INTEROP for Counter UAS Systems

Back to Top

operations.

New Gap S12: Integration of UAS into FEMA ICS Operations Section, Air Operations Branch. The FEMA NIMS does not fully address UAS operations. FEMA's ICS does not presently contain official guidance surrounding the use of UAS within the Operation Section, Air Operations Branch.

R&D Needed: Yes, limited

Recommendation: The NIMS should be revised to integrate the use of UA of all types as part of the ICS. Specific recommendations include:

- Air Operations Summary (ICS 220) should be updated to incorporate UAS as an aviation resource.
 FEMA, Resource Typing Definition for Response, should be expanded to include such positions as UAS Coordinator and UAS Base Manager, or similar positions necessary to manage UAS operations under the Air Operations Branch (e.g., sUAS airbase manager, sUAS air operations supervisor, etc.) including taskbooks and training.
- Update FEMA, National Training and Education Division, Course Number AWR-345, "Unmanned Aircraft Systems in Disaster Management."
- Priority: Medium Organization: FEMA NIMS, National Wildfire Coordinating Group (NWCG) v2 Status of Progress: New v2 Update: None provided Updates Since v2 was Published: Discussions with FEMA are orgoing without substantive progress.

New Published Standards New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

New Gap S13: Data Format for Public Safety sUAS Operations. Standards are needed for the formatting and		
storage of UAS data for the public safety community, especially to foster inter-agency cooperation and		
interoperability, and to help guide industry product development.		
R&D Needed: No		
Recommendation: Develop standards for accepted format of live video and still imagery and associated GIS data		
for use in sUAS public safety operations.		
Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: NFPA, ASTM, Airborne Public Safety Association (APSA), DRONERESPONDERS, AIRT, OGC		
v2 Status of Progress: New		
v2 Update: None provided		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards		

Commented [JM53]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland,NFPA: 2400 does discuss data, how it is to be collected, protected, and in what format but again maybe not to the degree sought by this gap. Keeping in mind the AHJ could always exceed what is in the standard if they wanted to.

Other Chapter 9 Activity - Relevance to Gaps Not Yet Determined

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Chapter 10. Personnel Training, Qualifications, and Certification Standards: General - WG2

High Priority (Tier 2) (Critical)

- Gap P2: Manuals (11/22/2021)
- Gap P3: Instructors and Functional Area Qualification (6/10/2021)
- Gap P5: UAS Maintenance Technicians (6/10/2021)
- Gap P9: Human Factors in UAS Operations (11/22/2021)

High Priority (Tier 3) (Least Critical)

- Gap P1: Terminology (6/10/2021)
- Gap P6: Compliance and Audit Programs (Closed) (6/10/2021)
- Gap P7: Displays and Controls (6/10/2021)

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 54 of 60

Medium Priority Gap P4: Training and Certification of UAS Flight Crew Members Other Than the Remote Pilot (12/05/2022)

Back to Top

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 55 of 60

Gap P1: Terminology. Standards for UAS terminology ar	e needed. Several are in development and will satisfy the	
market need for consumer and commercial UAS terminolog	g y.	
R&D Needed: No		
Recommendation: Complete work on terminology standards in development.		
Priority: High (Tier 3)		
Organization: ASTM, IEEE, ISO, RTCA, SAE ITC ARINC IA		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: Numerous standards have been published an	d are in-development.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
Other Committees with Relevant Work:		
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Software Distribution and Lo 	ading subcommittee	
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
6/10/2021, JM: ISO 21384-4:2020, Unmanned aircraft	10/12/2020, MW: ASTM WK73458, WK73459,	
<u>systems — Part 4: Vocabulary</u> was published in May	WK73789 – WK3794 and WK73797 – WK73802,	
2020	Standard Terminology for Unmanned Aircraft Systems	
11/17/2020, MW: <u>ASTM F3341/F3341M, Standard</u>	are work items revising existing standard	
Terminology for Unmanned Aircraft Systems has been	F3341/F3341M-20 developed by Committee F38.03	
revised to F3341/F3341M-20a developed by Committee		
F38.03, ASTM BOS Volume 15.09.		

Back to Top			
Gap P2: Manuals. Several published UAS standards	s have been identified	for various manuals.	Several more are in
development and will satisfy the market need for civi	I and public operators		
R&D Needed: No			
Recommendation: Complete existing work on manu	ual standards in devel	opment	
Priority: High (Tier 2)			
Organization: ASTM, JARUS, NPTSC, NFPA, SAE	ITC ARINC IA		
v2 Status of Progress: Green			
v2 Update: ASTM F2908-18, F3330-18, F3366-19,	ASTM WK62734, WK	62744, WK63407	
Updates Since v2 was Published:			
New Published Standards	New In-Devel	opment Standards	
		-	

Commented [JM54]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: So annex A.4.5.3(10) does suggest that manuals be provided from the manufacturer but I am not sure what manuals are being sought by this gap.

Back to Top

Gap P3: Instructors and Functional Area Qualification.	Several published UAS standards have been identified	
for various crewmember roles. Several are in development	and will satisfy the market need for remote pilot	
instructors and functional area qualification.		
R&D Needed: No		
Recommendation: Complete work on UAS standards currently in development		
Priority: High (Tier 2)		
Organization: SAE, ASTM, AUVSI, PPA, ISO, SAE ITC ARINC IA		
v2 Status of Progress: Green		
v2 Update: ASTM F3330-18, ASTM F3379-20, ASTM WK61763, WK62741; ISO/DIS 23665		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	
6/10/2021, JM: ISO 23665:2021, Unmanned aircraft 2/11/2021, JM: ASTM WK75861 - Standard Guide for		
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS Training for Public Safety Remote Pilot of Unmanned		
operations, was published in January 2021.	Aircraft Systems (UAS) Endorsement is a work item	
	revision to existing standard F3379-20 developed by	
	Committee F38.03	

Back to Top

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 56 of 60

Gap P4: Training and Certification of UAS Flight Crew	Members Other Than the Remote Pilot. There is a
standards gap with respect to the training and/or certificati	on of aircrew other than the RPIC specifically around the
following:	
 Functional duties of the crew member 	
 Crew resource management principles 	
Human factors	
General airmanship and situational awareness, and	
Emergency procedures	
R&D Needed: No	
Recommendation:	
1) Develop a framework to classify additional UAS crew i	nembers around common flight activities identifying in
particular those who directly or indirectly influence safe	
2) Develop a standard(s) around training, evaluation, and	
than the RPIC for UAS >55Lbs for activities affecting s	afety-of-flight.
3) Consider the possibility of recommending - through be	st practices or a standard – that all flight crew members
actively participating in flight activities on UAS > 55Lbs	
applicable UA.	
Priority: Medium	
Organization: SAE, ASTM, AUVSI, JARUS, ISO, SAE IT	C ARINC IA
v2 Status of Progress: Green	
v2 Update: ASTM F3330-18, ASTM F3379-20, ASTM W	(61763, WK62741; ISO/DIS 23665
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
Other Committees with Relevant Work:	
 11/29/2021, JR: New SAE G-35 Modeling, Simul 	ation & Training for Emerging Aviation Technologies and
	d for the use of modeling and simulation to train and
	t (on-board, off-board, autonomous). Utilizing modeling
	for eVTOL/VTOL/CTOL and novel aircraft. It will also
cover the use of modeling and simulation to certi	
 SAE ITC, ARINC IA Systems Architecture and Ir 	terfaces subcommittee
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards
6/10/2021, JM: ISO 23665:2021, Unmanned aircraft	12/04/2022, DF: SAE AIR 6850 - Taxonomy for
	1204 2022, DI SAL AIRCOUD - FAMILIOITY TO
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS operations, was published in January 2021.	
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35 s three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms.
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - Pilot Training and
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Quelification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Quelification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - Pilot Training and Qualification for VTOL-Capable Arcraft: The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - <u>Pilot Training and Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - <u>Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL- Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35 sthree subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft: The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL- Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL- capable chicraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL-
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - Pilot Training and <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary to operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification in necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification criteria for on-
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - Pilot Training and <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL- Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification criteria for on- board, off-board and autonomous operations of these
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL- Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification or- board, off-board and autonomous operations of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL- Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification of board, off-board and autonomous operations of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary to certification/icensing of pilot soperating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification and experiment of a AM, SVO, eVTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, capable Aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft to so turnently exist to address the pilot training and qualification for licensing dord and autonomous operations of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> : The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL- Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification on- board, off-board and autonomous operations of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification</u> for <u>VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> . The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification or board, off-board and autonomous operations of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> Queification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft: The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary to operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.). Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace system.
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35 sthree subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> . The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary to operating yTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.). Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification on board, off-board and autonomous operations of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace system.
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062</u> - Pilot Training and <u>Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft</u> . The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.). Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace system.
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft: The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft to a qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft to a AAM, SVO, eVTOL, Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.). Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace system.
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft: The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary to operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.).Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace system. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7091 - Technical Standards for VTOL-Capable aircraft training Devices to support events and autopabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace aystem.</u>
systems — Training for personnel involved in UAS	Emerging Aviation Technologies: This document lists all relevant terms for G-35. For each term an accurate definition is included. The Terms of Reference document is used for collecting all relevant terms and their definition, which are necessary during the creation of the standards documents of G-35. It enables the cooperation between G-35's three subgroups, since they are referring to the same definition of the terms. 12/04/2022, DF: SAE <u>AS7062 - Pilot Training and</u> Qualification for VTOL-Capable Aircraft: The scope of this standard will define the training and qualification necessary for certification/licensing of pilots operating VTOL-Capable Aircraft (AAM, eVTOL, SVO, etc.). This document will address the pilot training and qualification for licensing/certification necessary to operate VTOL-Capable Aircraft (also referred to as AAM, SVO, eVTOL, etc.). Regulatory guidance does not currently exist to address the pilot training and qualification of these new entrant aircraft, VTOL-Capable Aircraft. New technologies and highly automated systems in these aircraft will require pilots to possess specific skills and capabilities appropriate for commercial operations in the global airspace system.

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 57 of 60

aircraft platforms that utilize unconventional methods
and/or designs, use emerging technology require
standards for training devices for operational usage. The
device fidelity will be real-world/engineered data
validated and are intended to meet or exceed the
minimum requirements for training and will leverage
emerging technology training aids.
choren gring too indrogy training alds.
12/04/2022, DF: SAE AS7094 - Modeling and
Simulation to support certification of aircraft and
avionics: Develop standards for simulation/model-
based certification of new (AAM/SVO/eVTOL variant)
aircraft, simulation/model-based certification for new and
supplemental systems, qualification methods for
validating simulations. The use of digital twins in
aerospace and other communities has opened up the
ability to validate the performance of aircraft and
avionics earlier, and to a higher level of fidelity. This can
be leveraged to support their certification by increasing
the testing prior to flight, identifying specific areas that
need additional attention, and then optimizing the flight
testing.
2/11/2021, JM: ASTM WK75861 - Standard Guide for
Training for Public Safety Remote Pilot of Unmanned
Aircraft Systems (UAS) Endorsement is a work item
revision to existing standard F3379-20 developed by
Committee F38.03

Gap P5: UAS Maintenance Technicians. Standards are needed for UAS maintenance technicians. Ensure that
maintenance requirements are appropriate for the scale and risk of the UAS.
R&D Needed: No
Recommendation: Complete work on UAS maintenance technician standards currently in development
Priority: High (Tier 2)
Organization: ASTM, SAE, SAE ITC ARINC IA
v2 Status of Progress: Green
v2 Update: ASTM WK60659
Updates Since v2 was Published:
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

Gap P6: Compliance and Audit Programs. The version 1.0 gap stated "No published UAS standards have been
identified for UAS-specific compliance/audit programs. However, several are in development and will satisfy the
market need."
R&D Needed: No
Recommendation: The version 1.0 recommendation stated "Complete work on compliance and audit program
standards currently in development."
Priority: High (Tier 3)
Organization: ASTM, AUVSI, SAE ITC ARINC IA
v2 Status of Progress: Closed
v2 Update: ASTM F3364-19, ASTM F3365-19
Updates Since v2 was Published:
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps - December 2022

Page 58 of 60

Gap P7: Displays and Controls. ¹ Standards are needed that provide the UAS pilot with the range of sensory cues on NAS.		
The UAS pilot is deprived of a range of sensory cues that compared to the pilot of a manned aircraft, a UAS pilot mu under his/her control.		
Of particular interest are recent developments in the use c (SVS) to supplement sensor input. Such augmented reality cognitive demands on the UAS pilot.		
The quality of visual sensor information presented to the L communications link between the aircraft and its CS. Data resolution, spatial resolution, color capabilities and field of delay feedback in response to operator control inputs.	link bandwidth limits, for example, will limit the temporal	
R&D Needed: Yes		
Recommendation:		
 Develop Minimum Operational Performance Standards sensors that provide the UAS pilot with the range of se NAS. 	(MOPS) for the suite of displays, controls, and onboard nsory cues considered necessary for safe operation in the	
2) Conduct further research and development in several a	areas specifically to ²	
 Explore advanced display designs which might compenention and the second display designs which might compenention and the second display designs which might compenent and the sec		
b. Examine the potential use of multimodal displays in colloptimal design of such displays for offloading visual infimultimodal operator controls (e.g., speech commands) response channels, and should also be explored.		
 c. Determine the effects of lowered spatial and/or temporal aspects of UAS and payload sensor control (e.g., flight) 		
3) Examine the design of displays to circumvent such diff		
tradeoffs between the different display aspects (e.g., v		
higher image resolution). For example, research indica		
payload camera is impaired by low temporal update ra	ates and long transmission delays.	
Priority: High (Tier 3)		
Organization: RTCA, NASA, SAE, INCOSE, ASTM, EUR	OCAE, ICAO, SAE ITC ARINC IA	
v2 Status of Progress: Unknown		
v2 Update: ICAO, EUROCAE		
Updates Since v2 was Published:		
New Published Standards	New In-Development Standards	

Back to Top

² Ibid

¹ Adapted from McCarley, J. & Wickens, C. (2005): pp1-3

Gap P9: Human Factors in UAS Operations. ³ Standards are needed to address human factors-related	d issues in
UAS operations.	
R&D Needed: Yes	
Recommend ation:	
1) Complete in-development standards, and develop new standards for UAS human factors-related iss	ues,
including those relevant to the composition, selection, and training of UAS flight crews.	
2) Conduct further research to:4	
a. Determine the crew size and structure necessary for various categories of UAS missions in the NAS	
explore display designs and automated aids that might reduce crew demands and potentially allow a	a single pilot
to operate multiple UASs simultaneously.	
b. Develop techniques to better understand and facilitate crew communications, with particular focus or	n inter-crew
coordination during the hand off of UAS control from one team of operators to another.	
c. Identify specific ways in which sensory isolation affects UAS pilot performance in various tasks and	stages of
flight.	lionoos
d. Examine the concept of "shared fate," as related to UAS operations. There might be negative conseq from the pilot not having a shared fate with the aircraft, but whether an exocentric viewpoint diminisher	
feeling of shared fate or not is unknown.	55 UIE
e. Determine the circumstances (e.g., low time delay vs. high time delay, normal operations vs. conflict	avoidance
and/or system failure modes) under which each form of UAS control is optimal. Of particular importar	
research to determine the optimal method of UAS control during takeoff and landing, as military data	
that a disproportionate number of the accidents for which human error is a contributing factor occur	
phases of flight.	ading those
f. Examine the interaction of human operators and automated systems in UAS flight. For example, allo	cation of
flight control to an autopilot may improve the UAS pilot's performance on concurrent visual mission a	
fault detection tasks.	,
g. Determine which of the UAS pilot's tasks (e.g., flight control, traffic detection, system failure detection	ı, etc.)
should be automated and what levels of automation are optimal.	-
Priority: High (Tier 2)	
Organization: RTCA, NFPA), MITRE, NASA, ICAO, SAE ITC ARINC IA	
v2 Status of Progress: Unknown	
v2 Update: None provided at this time.	
Updates Since v2 was Published:	
New Published Standards New In-Development Standards	

Commented [JM55]: 11/22/21, Comment from Ken Holland, NFPA: Some of this is already covered in 2400 but what isn't covered doesn't prohibit the AHJ from doing any of these items.

Back to Top

Other Chapter 10 Activity - Relevance to Gaps Not Yet Determined

New Published Standards

New In-Development Standards

Back to Top

 3 Adapted from McCarley, J. & Wickens, C. (2005): pp3-4 $\,$

⁴ Ibid

Progress Report on ANSI UASSC Roadmap v2 Gaps – December 2022

Page 60 of 60