

From: Karl Best <KBest@ahrinet.org>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 1:19 PM

To: PSA Department <PSA@ansi.org>

Cc: Karl Best <kbest@ahrinet.org>; Kristin Carlson <KCarlson@ahrinet.org>; Maria Woodbury <mwoodbury@ahrinet.org>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Proposed Revisions to ANSI Procedures - Public Comments due November 15, 2023

PSA:

With regard to the proposed changes to the Essential Requirements, AHRI has a concern regarding the proposed language for ER 4.2:

Evidence of procedural compliance in support of the approval of a proposed new American National Standard or a proposed revision or reaffirmation of an American National Standard to be approved by the BSR **shall be included in the BSR-9 submittal.**

What evidence will be expected, and what level of detail? Procedural compliance could comprise hundreds of documents, which we do not want to compile for every BSR-9 nor do we think the BSR wants to review. This language should be much more specific to require e.g. record of voting by the Consensus Body.

Thanks

-Karl