

## Ally Kupferberg

---

**From:** Anne Caldas  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 17, 2021 3:50 PM  
**To:** Jeanne Cooney  
**Cc:** Jim Thompson; Anne Caldas  
**Subject:** Response to public comments - Proposed revisions to the ANSI Essential Requirements - IPC  
**Attachments:** ExSC\_025\_2021\_ER TG Report from 2019.pdf; ExSC\_017\_2019\_030921.pdf; ExSC\_017U\_2019\_IPC.pdf

Greetings –

We hope that you are well.

Thank you for submitting the attached public comments in response to proposed revisions to the ANSI Essential Requirements ([www.ansi.org/essentialrequirements](http://www.ansi.org/essentialrequirements)) announced in Standards Action in December 2019. Background on the original proposed revision is included in the attached report (ExSC\_025\_2021). The ExSC has considered all public comments and as a result, edited the original proposed revision, now attached here in its final edited form (ExSC\_017). This edited version was approved by the ANSI ExSC in February 2021 and will be submitted to the Executive Committee of the ANSI Board of Directors for final approval in March 2021 for incorporation into the 2022 edition of the *ANSI Essential Requirements*.

Please also note that consistent with recommendations in the attached ExSC TG Report, ANSI has updated our website to provide easy access to information about the American National Standards (ANS) process and how to participate in it. Please visit the new ANS pages, including these: <https://www.ansi.org/american-national-standards/ans-introduction/overview#introduction> , <https://www.ansi.org/american-national-standards/info-for-the-general-public/general-public> and <https://www.ansi.org/american-national-standards/info-for-standards-developers/standards-developers> .

Responses to your comments and suggestions are presented here by section:

### **Original Lines 7-18: Section 2.1 – Openness**

- Lines 15-18 - ASD should not be required to retain affiliation information about consultants. The ExSC responds that an interest classification relates to the interests the consensus body member represents. It is unclear how a consensus body member can be accurately classified if their employer/sponsor is not known.

### **Original Lines 25-71: 2.3 Balance**

- Lines 35-39 – not accepted. The proposed revision does not preclude an ASD's procedures from allowing a consensus body member to identify their interest category. Information about amounts of compensation is not required.

### **Original Lines 81-105: Section 2.5.1 PINS**

- Line 99 - new PINS requirement. See editorial update, removing parenthetical and adding "or".

Again, thank you for your interest and your comments.

Sincerely,  
Anne

Anne Caldas  
Secretary, ANSI Executive Standards Council  
ANSI

212-642-4914

[acaldas@ansi.org](mailto:acaldas@ansi.org)

[www.ansi.org](http://www.ansi.org)



[www.ansi.org/usss](http://www.ansi.org/usss)