

## Ally Kupferberg

---

**From:** Anne Caldas  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 17, 2021 5:14 PM  
**To:** Paul Green  
**Cc:** Jim Thompson; Anne Caldas  
**Subject:** Response to public comments - Proposed revisions to the ANSI Essential Requirements - Intel  
**Attachments:** ExSC\_025\_2021\_ER TG Report from 2019.pdf; ExSC\_017\_2019\_030921.pdf; ExSC\_017F\_2019\_Intel.pdf

Greetings –

We hope that you are well.

Thank you for submitting the attached public comments in response to proposed revisions to the ANSI Essential Requirements ([www.ansi.org/essentialrequirements](http://www.ansi.org/essentialrequirements)) announced in Standards Action in December 2019. Background on the original proposed revision is included in the attached report (ExSC\_025\_2021). The ExSC has considered all public comments and as a result, edited the original proposed revision, now attached here in its final edited form (ExSC\_017). This edited version was approved by the ANSI ExSC in February 2021 and will be submitted to the Executive Committee of the ANSI Board of Directors for final approval in March 2021 for incorporation into the 2022 edition of the *ANSI Essential Requirements*.

Please also note that consistent with recommendations in the attached ExSC TG Report, ANSI has updated our website to provide easy access to information about the American National Standards (ANS) process and how to participate in it. Please visit the new ANS pages, including these: <https://www.ansi.org/american-national-standards/ans-introduction/overview#introduction> , <https://www.ansi.org/american-national-standards/info-for-the-general-public/general-public> and <https://www.ansi.org/american-national-standards/info-for-standards-developers/standards-developers> .

Responses to your comments are presented here by section:

### **Original Lines 47- 49 FN 3**

- With respect to the deletions from the optional list of interest categories listed in footnote 3, the ExSC views a "professional society" or "trade association" as a general membership category rather than the interest category a voting member represents on a consensus body. For example, a trade association is presumed to represent the industry/stakeholders for which the trade association exists, so an industry trade association is appropriately classified as "industry".

### **Original Lines 73-88: 1.5 & 2.5 Notification of Standards Development**

- Please see the edited version that incorporates "timely and adequate". The "timeliness" and "adequacy" of the notice is judged either against the ANSI-Accredited Standards Developer's (ASD's) procedural requirements or the ANS-related context, for example, ANSI's PINS Notice is 30 days, but other procedural requirements vary in terms of the number of days. This allows ASDs flexibility, within the context of preserving due process and promoting participation.

### **Original Lines 81-105: Section 2.5.1 PINS**

- Correct, the PINS notice is modified to include interest categories "that will or are expected to comprise the consensus body". The ExSC sees value in providing more information upfront and in time for the public to suggest interest categories/stakeholders that are potentially relevant and should be engaged.

### **Original Lines 107-156: Appeals**

- 2.8.2 – Confirming that the intent of the Appeals-related revisions is to reference existing requirements to provide further clarification and understanding of ANSI's appeals options.

Again, thank you for your interest and your comments.

Sincerely,  
Anne

Anne Caldas  
Secretary, ANSI Executive Standards Council  
ANSI  
212-642-4914  
[acaldas@ansi.org](mailto:acaldas@ansi.org)  
[www.ansi.org](http://www.ansi.org)



[www.ansi.org/usss](http://www.ansi.org/usss)