Hi Anne,

After staff review, we have a few questions/comments:

1. Lines 35-53 and Note 3: New text states that consensus body members be classified in accordance with their business. UL has historically classified trade associations as General Interest. Would this change require for example, NEMA to be reclassified as a Producer? What is the intent of removing professional societies and trade associations from Note 3? These stakeholders are also part of our General Interest category and are integral to our standards development.

2. Line 99: Will the PINS form be revised to include information requesting the interest categories on the consensus body?

3. Lines 75 and 81: Reasonable is a subjective term and since the PINS and Standards Action are required along with specific timeframes, are these statements necessary?

4. Lines 142-145: Would you consider the following clarification (if accurate)?:

   Claims of procedural non-compliance raised during the course of an active standards development process are to be addressed in accordance with the standards developer's appeals process and any subsequent ANSI appeal process. All appeals shall conclude before final submittal of evidence of consensus is made to ANSI in support of the approval of a standard as an American National Standard.

Thank you for your time!
Tricia