Line Number	Clause	Comment	Proposed Change
16	2.1	Use of parentheses for the clause "(which may or may not be that person's employer)" is unnecessary. The phrase should be set apart from the sentence by a comma.	"The affiliation of a consensus body member refers to the entity that the consensus body member represents, (which may or may not be that person's employer).
16-18	2.1	Is a sponsor defined as an organization that provides funds specifically to support the individual's participation in the standards activities of the committee? There is concern of having to require that consultants provide a constant up-to-date list of all of their clients. Request that a definition of sponsor be added to the Essential Requirements.	"If the consensus body member is serving in an individual capacity, then the name of the individual, that person's employer (if employed), sponsor¹ (if other than employer) and interest category shall be made available. Contact information is not required." Footnote: 1. A sponsor is defined as an organization that provides funds specifically to support the individual's participation in the standards activities of the committee.
16-18	2.1	There may be cases where an individual has multiple employers and/or sponsors. Suggest revising to accommodate for this situation.	"If the consensus body member is serving in an individual capacity, then the name of the individual, that person's employer(s) (if employed), sponsor(s) (if other than employer) and interest category shall be made available. Contact information is not required." If accepted, similar edits will need to be made throughout the Essential Requirements.
18	2.1	Suggest adding "upon request" with regard to the list of employer and sponsor so that the information does not need to be continuously provided but rather be available when needed.	"If the consensus body member is serving in an individual capacity, then the name of the individual, that person's employer (if employed), sponsor (if other than employer) and interest category shall be made available upon request."
35	2.3	The footnote for Consultants should be revised for clarity	"2For purposes of this section, a "consultant" is someone who agrees to provide professional service advice or representation in exchange for compensation, financial or otherwise."
38	2.3	Suggested change for consistency	"In cases where a consensus body member receives funding from the sponsoring ASD or other entity, that information shall be disclosed, to determine if it will impact the member's participant's interest classification."
52	2.3	Suggest deleting the sentence as it may be difficult to demonstrate an ASD's purpose. Further, this is an example of an understood ethical violation that should not have to be explicitly prohibited.	"However, interest categories shall not be created for the purpose of avoiding balance requirements."
75-77	1.5	Editorial suggestion for sentence clarification and consistency with 2.5.	"Reasonable timely Anotification of standards development activity shall be announced in suitable media suitable as appropriate to demonstrate and provide that a meaning fulh opportunity for participation, debate and deliberation by all directly and materially affected persons in a fair and equitable manner was provided."
81-84	2.5	Editorial suggestion for sentence clarification.	"Reasonable timely Anotification of standards activity, including formation of a consensus body or a consensus body meeting, shall be announced in suitable media suitable as appropriate to demonstrate and provide that a meaningful the

Line Number	Clause	Comment	Proposed Change
			the opportunity for participation by all directly and materially affected persons in a fair and equitable manner was provided."
99	2.5.1	Editorial suggestion for sentence clarification.	"(c) the interest categories that will, or {are expected to,} comprise the consensus body."
137	2.8.2	The insertion of the clause, "which must be implemented promptly and with decisions made expeditiously" makes the sentence overly complex and seems repetitive to 2.8.1; it introduces a new requirement into the middle of a distinctly separate statement. In fact, it obscures the requirement by nesting it within a non-requirement. Suggest making it two sentences and using similar language to that used in 2.8.1.	"ANSI will not normally hear an appeal of an action or inaction by a standards developer relative to the development of an American National Standard until the appeals procedures provided by the standards developer, which must be implemented promptly and with decisions made expeditiously, have been completed. As required in paragraph 2.8.1, appeals at the ASD level shall be addressed promptly and a decision made expeditiously and issued in writing."
138-140	2.8.2	Suggest deleting this proposed sentence because we believe that, while conclusion of the appeal at the developer level is not a precondition, it is preferable for the filing to occur after the conclusion of the ASD's appeal process so that ANSI has all of the pertinent information for their consideration. Inclusion of this statement could potentially increase the number of filings prior to appeal conclusion.	"However, conclusion of the appeals process at the standards developer, is not a precondition for filing an appeal with the Executive Standards Council (ExSC) of an organization's continuing accreditation status." If it is decided to keep this sentence, then we suggest the following editorial revision for sentence clarification: "However, conclusion of the appeals process at the standards developer, is not a precondition for filing an appeal with the Executive Standards Council (ExSC) of an organization's continuing accreditation status with the Executive Standards Council (ExSC)."
142	2.8.2	Multiple thoughts (requirements) are conveyed in one new sentence. The clarity would be improved if expressed in two sentences.	"Claims of procedural non-compliance raised during the course of an active standards development process are to be addressed in accordance with the standards developer's appeals process. The standards developer's appeals process which shall conclude before final submittal of evidence of consensus is made to ANSI in support of the approval of a standard as an American National Standard."