From: Michael Atlass
Sent: Monday, October 8, 2018 4:46 PM
To: 'Kolakowski, John (Nokia-TECH/Herndon)' <john.kolakowski@nokia.com>; psa@ansi.org
Cc: IPRPC <iprpc@ansi.org>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] comments on proposed revision to 3.1.2 of the ANSI patent policy within the ANSI Essential Requirements

Thanks for these comments, John.

All,

Qualcomm has had some experience with not being able to obtain information from standards organizations that have gone out of business and not left a trace behind. In such situations, potential implementers of an ANS could be unable to discover whether licensing commitments identified within the non-operational organization to their standards would apply to the potential licensee's intended implementation. Accordingly, Qualcomm agrees with the Nokia comment below that some justification is required for the change proposed to 3.1.2, and seeing none, but understanding the risk this loss of ANSI information storage entails, both for the need to go to multiple locations for an implementation across SDOs and to be completely unable to obtain information from non-operational SDOs also believe that the proposal should be entirely rejected.

Thanks for your consideration and best regards,

Michael.

Qualcomm
Michael Atlass
Sr. Director, Legal Counsel
California Registered In-House Counsel
(858) 845-3163
(858) 334-8463 (cell)
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including attachments, has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). It may contain information that is confidential and proprietary and subject to attorney-client privilege or may be attorney work product. The confidential, proprietary, or privileged status of the information is not waived by having been sent by e-mail transmission. If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to review, disclose, copy, distribute or use any information contained in this transmission, and any contrary action taken may subject you to legal claims, penalties or government sanction. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and purge all copies of this message. Thank you.

From: Kolakowski, John (Nokia-TECH/Herndon) <john.kolakowski@nokia.com>
Sent: Monday, October 8, 2018 1:50 PM
To: psa@ansi.org
Cc: IPRPC <iprpc@ansi.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] comments on proposed revision to 3.1.2 of the ANSI patent policy within the ANSI Essential Requirements

Regarding the proposed change in 3.1.2 as detailed in ExSC_112_2018 and published in the September 7, 2018 ANSI Standards Action, Nokia states that ANSI hasn't provided any justification for this

change. Implementing the change means that it is likely for some standards that assurances will need to be located at multiple locations, making it more difficult for interested persons to understand the relevant patent landscape for a standard. Furthermore, should an ASD cease to exist at some point in the future, implementers would have no place to look for identification of relevant SEPs for ANS produced by the defunct ASD. To avoid these problems, ANSI should continue to maintain a role as a clearinghouse for patent assurances in the interests of making things most efficient for interested parties, and the proposed language change should be rejected entirely.

If there is some legitimate reason to make this change that isn't yet articulated, ANSI could either use a transition period (ASDs must start following this procedure only for new ANS) or ANSI could transfer all currently held assurance copies back to the respective ASDs for each ANS so that there is a complete set available in one location. This, however, would not be a preferable course of action because it still would not solve the potential problem of implementers losing access to identification of SEPs described above if an ASD ceases to exist.

Regards,
John Kolakowski

John Kolakowski
Nokia Technologies
Senior IPR Licensing/Litigation Counsel
Head of IP Regulatory Affairs, North America