Global Supply Chain Security for Microelectronics

Procurement Management Session Report Out – October 28, 2022



Procurement Management Session



FACILITATOR
Lori Gordon
The Aerospace Corporation

Supported by the following organizations:

The Aerospace Corporation	Honeywell FM&T
Aerospace Industries Association (AIA)	IBM
Army Futures Command, C5ISR Center	Information Technology Industry Council (ITI)
	Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics
Booz Allen Hamilton	Laboratory
CALCE, University of Maryland	maxar technologies
DoD	Qorvo
Draper Laboratory	SAIC
General Atomics Aeronautical Systems,	
Inc.	Sandia National Laboratories
Henry General Strategies	TAP Engineering
HII	US Army (AvMC)
Hodgkins Consulting, LLC	USD R&E DDRE CT (ME)

Q4a Key Takeaways:

What candidate considerations were discussed and what were the key takeaways?

- 1. Counterfeits
- 2. Obsolescence Management and Materials
- 3. Contracting
- 4. Verification of Measures of Performance Associated with LoA Levels
- 5. Validation of Measures of Effectiveness
- 6. Supply Chain Resiliency



Q4b Key Takeaways:

What candidate categorization criteria were discussed and what were the key takeaways?

- 1. Auditability, limited to point of acquisition
- 2. Enforceability of requirements surrounding availability
- 3. Legal assurance/trust
- 4. Type and degree of data access and integrity
- 5. Transparency into results
- 6. Level of proactivity



Q4c Key Takeaways:

What areas demonstrated the greatest need in terms of relevant regulations, policy, standards, best practices?

- 1. Supply chain risk management
- 2. Flow down/subcontractor management
- 3. Enforcement
- 4. Transparency/Visibility
- 5. Application of new requirements retroactively to suppliers
- 6. Lack of clarity regarding requirements
- 7. What constitutes compliance with requirements?
- 8. Interdependencies with other breakout sessions (e.g. data)



Q4d Key Takeaways:

What recommendations does the group have for DoD on next steps?

- 1. Map the interdependencies
- 2. Ensure framework fits with DoD risk posture after revision
- 3. DoD actively engages with SDOs and adheres to implementation process steps:

DoD establishes recommendations following working group sessions

Criteria passed onto SDOs to update existing standards

Requirements flowed down through individual contracts, matched against criteria



Questions / Discussion?

