USTDA South Africa Connectivity Workshop

Panel 1 - Wireless Broadband Technologies

Johan Smit Executive: Regulatory Affairs Telkom SA SOC Ltd.

8 October 2019



Spectrum harmonization and regional influences



Spectrum harmonization and regional influences



Harmonisation of spectrum required on various levels



B. Frequency channelling plans

C. Spectrum assignment (Licensing)

- Technical, regulatory and operational rules
- Sharing and compatibility between services (fixed, mobile, sat, etc.)
- Identification to applications (e.g. IMT, HAPS, HDFSS, WAS/RLAN)
- Flexibility in spectrum use; but no global harmonisation
- FDD/TDD/SDL configurations (Rec. M.1036)
- PTP/PTMP systems for fixed links
- Various band limits and configurations (uplink/downlink)
- Regional differences in use of frequency bands
- Spectrum licences generally technology and service neutral
- South Africa within Region 1 and generally follows Europe regarding spectrum and standards
- Adoption of technologies from all regions; creates spectrum issues

WRC-19 key considerations – IMT-2020/5G (WRC-19, 1.13)



WRC-19 key considerations – WAS/RLAN (WRC-19, 1.16)

- RLANs, especially Wi-Fi devices, essential to provide affordable and ubiquitous broadband wireless access to the Internet; initially in the 2.4 GHz band and subsequently expanded into the 5 GHz range.
- Under WRC-19 agenda item 1.16, five frequency bands were studied; only two frequency bands will probably be considered at the conference:
 - Band A 5 150-5 250 MHz
 - **Band B** 5 250-5 350 MHz (NOC) sharing with radiodetermination and EESS (active)
 - **Band C** 5 350-5 470 MHz (NOC) EESS (active) and radar sharing
 - **Band D** 5725-5850 MHz sharing with radars and satellite services
 - Band E 5 850-5 925 MHz (NOC)

Band A: 5 150-5 250 MHz

- Six proposed methods including NOC
- This is an existing WAS/RLAN band relaxation of existing restrictions are considered but no agreement between regional groups
- Some support for outdoor/limits; some groups support no change (NOC)
- ATU did not reach agreement; SADC proposed relaxed limits

Band D: 5 725-5 850 MHz

- Three proposed methods including NOC
- Band used for WAS/RLAN/BFWA in some countries
- No mobile allocation; ~40 countries in footnote
- All Regional Groups except APT support NOC
- SADC supported WAS/RLAN in the band:
 - 200mW e.i.r.p. and restricted to indoor only
 - mitigation techniques (e.g. TPC/M.1652)
- In SA band used for BFWA as per FCC Part 15 limits

WRC-19 key considerations – HAPS (WRC-19, 1.14)

- Development of High Altitude Platform Stations (HAPS) are supported due to its potential to deliver access to rural areas in the future (agenda item 1.14).
- Stations operating in the stratosphere (>20km above ground) are high enough to provide services to a large area but with reduced latency compared to GSO satellites.
- HAPS, operating within the identified FS bands, must share with, for example PTP links.

Bands	Methods and options		
	Method A	Method B	Method C
6 440-6 520 MHz	\checkmark	B1	\checkmark
6 560-6 640 MHz	V	Not proposed	\checkmark
21.4-22 GHz (R2 only)	V	B2	N/A
24.25-25.25 GHz (R2 only)	V	B3	N/A
25.25-27.5 GHz (R2 only)	V	B2	N/A
27.9-28.2 GHz	V	B1	\checkmark
31-31.3 GHz	V	B1	\checkmark
38-39.5 GHz	\checkmark	B2	N/A
47.2-47.5 GHz / 47.9-48.2 GHz	\checkmark	B1	\checkmark

Comments

- Identification of band for HAPS required for deployment (RR No. 4.23).
- ATU and CEPT support 6 GHz, 28 GHz, 31 GHz, 38 GHz and 48 GHz.
- ASMG NOC to all bands / others TBC
- All identified bands, except 31 GHz, are used extensively for other services (FS and satellite) in SA and sharing will be required.
- No sharing studies conducted for the band 6560-6640 MHz.