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Who We Are:
More Than 100 Years of Industry Advocacy

GMA

Founded in 1908 and based in Washington, DC, GMA is an active, vocal advocate for its member companies and a trusted source of information about the industry and the products consumers rely on and enjoy every day.

The association and its member companies are committed to meeting the needs of consumers through product innovation, responsible business practices, and effective public policy solutions developed through a genuine partnership with policymakers and other stakeholders.
Objective: Support a regulatory and commercial environment that enables our members to succeed.

GMA Strategic Areas of Focus

- Product Safety
- Health & Well-Being
- Sustainability
- Pro-Growth Environment
- Value Chains

Core Principles

- Member-Driven
- Values-Based
- Solution-Focused
- Our People are Our Best Asset
## GMA – Global Focus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Focus</th>
<th>Platforms for Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product Safety</strong></td>
<td>APEC (FSCF, PTIN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GFSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Codex (ICGMA, FICC), ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and Wellness</strong></td>
<td>APEC (advertising)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAWG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHO, FAO, Codex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade Liberalization and Regulatory Coherence</strong></td>
<td>U.S. Trade Advisory Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APEC (GRP, Export Certificates, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WTO, Codex, ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Negotiations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. government, bilateral, and multilateral advocacy
Core Principles to Support Food/Ag Exports

- Science- and risk-based standards
- Harmonization with international standards
- Predictable, transparent, inclusive rulemaking process
- Importance of updating/modernizing regulations
- Cultivating a positive culture of responsibility
- Support for capacity building and organizational engagement
- Equal/national treatment of producers
- Competitive marketplace that rewards innovation and technological advancement
Science-based standards form the roots from which global food and agriculture commerce will grow.
Science-Based Food Standards

- Be informed by critical analysis and data gathered in a manner consistent with accepted scientific principles.
- Reflect scientific consensus or be rooted in high-quality, peer reviewed scientific research.
- Include a scientifically sound risk-assessment on which risk-management strategies are based.
- Be formulated and established in a transparent manner.
- Evolve if new data or scientific consensus dictate.
To set risk-based standards, one MUST conduct a scientifically sound risk assessment. Without risk assessment, there is no way to responsibly manage risk—nearly anything could cause harm at
Risk vs. Hazard-Based Approach

A chemical may present a major hazard, but the risk to human health is minimal if exposure is very low.

A chemical may present a very low hazard, but the risk to human health is significant if exposure is very high.
Advantages of Science- and Risk-Based Standards

- Protect consumers
- Reduce uncertainty/assumptions
- Reflect current scientific consensus
- Foster collaboration
- Enhance transparency
- Facilitate international trade

Advantages realized through collaboration
Science-Based Standards: U.S. System

- Regulators and the U.S. food industry share a common goal - ensure safe food; science-based standards are essential to our common goal.
- U.S. food industry has a long-standing commitment to providing safe, high quality food.
- Federal law requires U.S. agencies to use science-based risk assessments.
- Government and industry work together to conduct risk assessment and develop standards.
Science-Based Food Standards-The Role of the Private Sector

- Scientific data and expertise
- Understanding practical business implications and costs of legislation and regulation
- Cross-cutting awareness of potential impact on trade and value chains
Regulatory Coherence

Regulatory requirements that are inconsistent regionally or with trading partners hinder commerce, increase costs and may deter investment

- Understanding international standards (e.g., Codex) and WTO concepts
- Anticipating and managing cultural differences
- Developing confidence in regulatory bodies/regimes
- Balancing national interest
Codex: International Standards and Risk Assessment Bodies

- Joint intergovernmental body of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO)
- **Mission:** to create harmonized international food standards that protect the health of consumers and ensure fair trade practices
  - Assisted by independent international risk assessment bodies or ad-hoc consultations
- Codex standards are voluntary and science-based
- Codex generally operates by consensus
WHO Risk Analysis Framework (1997)

Risk Assessment
- Science-based
- JECFA, JMPR, JEMRA, JEMNU

Risk Communication
- Interactive exchange with stakeholders about process and data
- Public focused

Risk Management
- Policy-based
- Codex Committees
Predictable, Transparent and Inclusive Rulemaking Process

- Regulated industry (foreign and domestic) benefits from such a rulemaking process
  - Predictability invites investment, innovation and domestic growth
  - Transparency promotes fairness, improves the policymaking process and invites collaboration
  - Inclusiveness accomplishes the public/private partnership described in the Codex slides
Predictable, Transparent and Inclusive Rulemaking Process

- Regulatory/rulemaking systems established in this manner will feature common characteristics:
  - Clear, consistent process with well understood deliverables and deadlines
  - Public consultation and publication process consistent with WTO obligations
  - Meaningful consideration of public comment
Process to Update and Modernize Regulations

- Designing regulatory frameworks that respond to changing data, trends, scientific consensus and technology is essential
  - Enhances safety in domestic market
  - Increases global competitiveness of domestic producers
  - Harmonizes with developments in other markets
  - Reduces uncertainty
- Consider the power of Codex
- Provide opportunities for stakeholder feedback outside of the rulemaking process
- Consider regional and international developments
Cultivation of positive industry culture and sense of responsibility

- Food safety culture can be a major differentiating factor to enhance or decrease exports of food and agriculture products
  - Focus limited resources on education and training programs that train trainers
  - Prioritize enforcement resources on intentional violators
  - Incentivize improvement
  - Include cultural goals in rulemaking and regulatory policy
  - Embrace collaboration with regulated industry, including established companies with significant experience/expertise and capacity
- Culture change is HARD and SLOW; Keep working
Support for capacity building and organizational engagement

- Support and collaborate with industry associations, non-governmental organizations, educational institutions and philanthropic initiatives
  - Partnerships benefit exporter and importer
  - Consider contributions of private sector organizations
- Facilitate food safety educational programs to enhance food safety awareness throughout the food chain
- Make regulators accessible to learn and to teach-promote dialogue
- Incentivize improvement and educational efforts
Case Studies

- Codex and the Private Sector
- APA Rulemaking
- General Standard for Food Additives
- US Food Safety Modernization Act

www.gmaonline.org
Case Study 1: Codex and the Private Sector

**Goal:** Develop science-based standards and guidelines that promote a safe food supply and to facilitate trade

**Strategy:** Include national governments, private sector and civil society in transparent setting process

**Value:** Safe food supply and improved trade environment based on inclusive, consensus based process that is globally applicable
Observer Participation in Codex

- Accredited Observer organizations participate in Codex meetings, working groups and submit written comments.
- Organizations apply for accreditation and must contribute to Codex process.
- Observers provide data, technical expertise, practical knowledge, etc.
- Representation is broad, including civil society, industry, scientific and standard setting bodies.
- 219 Codex Observers, 147 NGOs.
GMA Participation in Codex

- GMA participates in Codex via the International Council of Grocery Manufacturer Associations (ICGMA)
- Members include GMA Counter Parts in Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Chile, Mexico, New Zealand, South Africa, United Kingdom
U.S. Codex Process-Inclusive Model

USDA maintains U.S Codex Office-oversees U.S. Codex strategy and formulates U.S. positions for Codex Meetings

- Public meetings
- Draft U.S. positions

U.S. Codex Office prioritizes input and expertise from private sector
Case Study 2: APA Rulemaking

- Administrative Procedures Act (APA) requires all U.S. regulatory agencies to consult with public when implementing most laws
- Consistent and transparent process for soliciting and responding to stakeholder input
- International stakeholders are encouraged to participate
Case Study 3: GSFA

- The General Standard for Food Additives (GSFA) establishes conditions under which permitted food additives may be used in foods (Codex Stan 192-1995)
  - Maximum use levels in specific food categories based on JECFA evaluations and CCFA recommendations
  - Specifies general principles for the use of additives and food safety
  - Explains justifications for use
- Extremely valuable tool for regulating the use of food additives
- GSFA is updated each year by the CCFA and the CAC:
  - New additives added
  - Usage levels adjusted
  - Older additives removed
Like the GSFA, national regulations should reflect trends in use as well as changes in scientific understanding of hazards

- Deferring to the current version of the GSFA is one option
- Provide a clear mechanism to petition for change
- Consider ways to harmonize when changes occur with major trading partners
- Embracing technology can help to reduce food costs, enhance availability and foster export
Signed in 2011. Most significant U.S. food safety reform in 70 years

FSMA:

- Places new responsibilities on companies – will significantly impact daily operations and supply chain management.
- Creates new controls for imported food
- Enhances FDA’s enforcement abilities
FSMA industry-regulator dialogue

- Face to Face Meetings with FDA and Subject Matter Experts from industry
- FDA Public Meetings
- Comments to Public Dockets
- Collaborative Meetings with GMA FSMA Coalition (including other trade associations), Foreign Delegations and Embassies
FSMA: The Value of Dialogue

• Built task force of more than 750 company experts and external coalition of more than 175 other stakeholders to effectively communicate with regulators.

• Participated in more than 100 meetings with FDA subject matter experts and other stakeholders

• Submitted more than 1000 pages of written comments, including technical, economic, and legal analysis

• Final rules are more flexible and risk based, and we estimate first year implementation will be $18 billion less burdensome
FSMA: The Value of Dialogue (contd.)

Policy Development (Rules & Regulations)

Capacity Building

Food Safety Modernization Act Implementation

Domestic Regulatory Compliance

Domestic In-Plant Member Assistance

Food Safety Preventive Controls Alliance (Education & Training)
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