



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Gregory C Nieminski
Telephone/Telefax:	401 885-0281
Email:	silvergregn@verizon.net
Date:	November 23, 2016

Meeting of TC 69 ELECTRIC ROAD VEHICLES AND INDUSTRIAL TRUCKS (Designation/Title)
Date(s) October 10, 2016
Location Frankfurt, Germany

<p>1. MEETING ATTENDANCE</p> <p>Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:</p> <p>15</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available</p> <p>Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).</p> <p>US - Girish Ghatikar</p>
<p>MEETING OBSERVATIONS</p>
<p>2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted</p>
<p>3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.</p>
<p>Adoption of IEC 61439-7 (SC121B) and IEC 62752 (SC23E) as EV related Standards replacing & changing long established requirements in TC 69's 61851-1 EVSE Standard. May require redesign & retesting of existing products to meet changes in requirements.</p>
<p>4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)</p>
<p>No</p>
<p>5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)</p>
<p>6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p> <p>US asked to consider hosting next TC 69 Plenary meeting (spring 2018)</p>

14. Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?

Yes No

15. What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation’s goal of “global standards that reflect U.S. interests?” (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)

N/A

16. Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?

Yes No

17. Do you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding the ISO/IEC Directives, including the IEC Supplement?

Recent changes have shorted response times by experts and NCs on circulated documents for comment (and vote) (i.e. CD, CDV, FDIS). Number of extensive documents make it difficult to review & comment within expected timeframe.

18. Other Comments

Participants

<u>Last Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>National Committee</u>
Delaballe	Jacques	Chair
Van den Bossche	Peter	Secretary
Cocimarov	Matei	IEC Central Office
Ebner	Arno	Austria
Raunig	Christian	Austria
Guo	Ze	Canada
Mascarenhas	David	Canada
Ni	Feng	China
Willson	Thomas	CLC Observer
Andersen	Claus	Denmark
Vesa	Juha	Finland
Bertrand	Paul	France
Bleijs	Cyriacus Andrianus	France
Laï	Jacques	France
Pivot	Guy-William	France
Bärenfänger	Jörg	Germany
Blosfeld	Uwe	Germany
Bretz	Klaus-Peter	Germany

Diefenbach	Ingo	Germany
Haub	Dennis	Germany
Hormmeyer	Bernd	Germany
Kübel	Matthias	Germany
Künzig	Thorsten	Germany
Mahlein	Jochen	Germany
Neupert	Hannes	Germany
Schademan	Jessica Naomi	Germany
Sisolefsky	Bernd	Germany
Scainelli	Giacomo	Italy
Valentinetti	Tiziano	Italy
Hosoi	yukiharu	Japan
Imazu	Tomoya	Japan
Koba	Ichiro	Japan
Matsunaga	Yasuo	Japan
Miki	Takahiko	Japan
Takahashi	Masako	Japan
Takahashi	Hiroyuki	Japan
Lee	Jae-jo	Korea, Republic of
Yoon	UooYeol	Korea, Republic of

Driessen	Lonneke	Netherlands
Næsje	Kjetil	Norway
Herbert	Peter	Sweden
Mathar	Sebastian	Switzerland
Stolz	Eduard	Switzerland
Cox	William	United States of America
Ghatikar	Girish	United States of America
Klerer	Mark	United States of America
Nieminski	Gregory	United States of America
Rodine	Craig	United States of America
Thompson	Peter	United States of America