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- To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
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Completed by:

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Head of Delegation:} & \textbf{William Larzelere} \\
(Please print) &  \\
\hline
\textbf{Telephone/Telefax:} & 518-480-8226 \\
\hline
\textbf{Email:} & \texttt{Wel@ehvtest.com} \\
\hline
\textbf{Date:} & January 9, 2017 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Meeting of TC-42} \\
(Designation/Title) \\
\textbf{Date(s)} & October 9-14 \\
\textbf{Location} & Frankfurt Germany \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE
Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:

**Posted in Minutes**

_ Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available

Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).

None

### MEETING OBSERVATIONS

2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>x</em></td>
<td>Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.

Separate Report attached

4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)

No

5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)

6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, please identify:
7. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.))

_Yes  _ No
If yes, please identify:
IEEE PES PSIM HVTT

8. Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?

_Yes  _ No
If yes, please identify:
Joint IEEE work on HV Safety Guide

9. Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?

_Yes  _x_  No
If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:

10. Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?

_Yes  _x_  No
_No related regional activity
If yes, please explain:

11. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?

_Yes  _x_  No
If yes, please explain:

12. Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?

_Yes  _x_  No
If yes, please explain:

13. Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.

See Separate Report
14. Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>x</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation’s goal of “global standards that reflect U.S. interests?” (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)

16. Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>x</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Do you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding the ISO/IEC Directives, including the IEC Supplement?

___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________

18. Other Comments

January 2017