



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Pamela Gwynn
Telephone/Telefax:	919 549 1697
Email:	Pamela.K.Gwynn@ul.com
Date:	Oct 15, 2016

Meeting of SyC AAL (Designation/Title)
Date(s) September 30 – October 3, 2016
Location Frankfurt, Germany

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE
<p>Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:</p> <p>China, Germany, IEC Central Office, Japan, Republic of Korea, Netherlands, New Zealand, Canada, United Kingdom, United States of America</p> <p>Meeting attendance roster(to email) and meeting resolutions attached, if available</p> <p>Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).</p> <p>no significant or unusual issues</p>

MEETING OBSERVATIONS
2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted</p>
3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.
none
4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)
Non. The US was prepared.
5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)</p> <p>Pamela Gwynn was approached about the open vice-chair position after the meeting</p>
6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p>
7. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)

	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify: No new issues raised.</p>
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p>
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:</p>
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.
	<p>none</p>
14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication? None at this time
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
15.	What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation’s goal of “global standards that reflect U.S. interests?” (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)

As the Working Groups develop their topics and agendas opportunity will exist in various areas, such as Security, that the US will be able to leverage current National Standards. However, at this point, the SyC AAL committee is still exploring topics.

16. Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?

Yes

No

17. Other Comments

September 2012