



# HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the  
International Organization for  
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the  
International Electrotechnical  
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

[ISOT@ansi.org](mailto:ISOT@ansi.org)

[USNC@ansi.org](mailto:USNC@ansi.org)

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

**PLEASE REMEMBER:** Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by: Paul Newsom

<b>Head of Delegation:</b> (Please print)	<b>Paul Newsom</b>
<b>Telephone/Telefax:</b>	<b>1-502-452-7932</b>
<b>Email:</b>	<b>Paul.Newsom@geappliances.com</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>10/14/16</b>

<b>Meeting of: IEC SC59A / TC59</b> <b>(Designation/Title)</b>
<b>Date(s): 10/3/16 – 10/7/16</b>
<b>Location: Frankfurt, Germany</b>

<p><b>1. MEETING ATTENDANCE</b></p>
<p>Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:</p> <p><b>10 Delegates; 7 P-members</b> <b>8 guests</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available</p> <p>Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).</p> <p><b>No significant or unusual attendance issues</b></p>
<p><b>MEETING OBSERVATIONS</b></p>
<p><b>2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?</b></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted</b></p>
<p><b>3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.</b></p>
<p><b>In my estimation, the results of WG3 Measurements of Microbiological Properties <u>may</u> have an impact on interested US parties but, until clarity on the group's direction is established, it's difficult to determine the level of impact.</b></p>
<p><b>4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)</b></p>
<p><b>Yes, several. In WG3 Measurements of Microbiological Properties, the working group was informed that a sub-committee was created to work on the base mechanics of microbiological properties. Prior to this working group meeting, the US delegate was not informed of a sub-committee and had no obvious way to participate in that sub-group's discussions. Topics covered in WG3 may become sensitive to manufacturers depending on the direction of the group. Omission of manufacturer expertise from foundational work seems to subvert the purpose of a working group. Finally, during the SC59A meeting a new proposal for a commercial dishwasher wash performance standard was brought up. While there appears to be an opportunity for the US delegation to convene on this topic, if the delegations would have been informed of this additional topic prior to the meeting we would have likely been able to offer an update on our position / support.</b></p>
<p><b>5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?</b></p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)</b></p>

<b>6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?</b>
<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify:</b> </p>
<b>7. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)</b>
<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify:</b> </p>
<b>8. Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?</b>
<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify:</b> </p>
<b>9. Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?</b>
<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:</b> </p>
<b>10. Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?</b>
<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity  <b>If yes, please explain:</b> </p> <p> <b>A Proposed Work Item was offered via the German delegation to review a recent CENELEC document release related to a Commercial Dishwasher performance standard. This item will be taken back to the U.S. National Committee for comment, discussion, and interest.</b> </p>
<b>11. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?</b>
<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please explain:</b> </p> <p> <b>The China delegation asked for consideration to include Asian dish loads in future IEC Dishwasher performance standards (i.e. 60436). That content of that dishware load is yet to be determined and has been offered to AG6 Global Application of Test Methods for Dishwashing Appliances as a work item.</b> </p>
<b>12. Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?</b>

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please explain:
<b>13. Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.</b>
<p style="text-align: center;">Two potentially new working items were raised for consideration. The first is related to Commercial Dishwasher wash performance. The second is related to work tied to Microbiological Properties in Dishwashers.</p>

<b>14. Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>15. What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation’s goal of “global standards that reflect U.S. interests?” (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)</b>
<p style="text-align: center;">Nothing specific at this time.</p>
<b>16. Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>17. Do you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding the ISO/IEC Directives, including the IEC Supplement?</b>
<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<b>18. Other Comments</b>