



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Kenneth Gettman
Telephone/Telefax:	703-841-3254
Email:	Ken_gettman@nema.org
Date:	18 December 2014

Meeting of SC121A Low voltage switchgear and controlgear (Designation/Title)
Date(s) 2 October 2014
Location Pretoria, South Africa

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE
<p>Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:</p> <p>Delegate list is not currently available</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available</p> <p>Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).</p> <p>No unusual attendance. It was noted during the meeting that while membership in SC121A is global, there are an abundance of members from Europe, and the vast majority of the members are from the North Hemisphere.</p>

MEETING OBSERVATIONS

2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted</p>

3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.
--

- | |
|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Work is conducted on revision of the general requirements standard 60947-1 that could impact the specific product standards in the series. 2. Insulation coordination specifications are being improved to address altitude correction and further explain CTI 3. Work has been initiated to address EMC for built-in electronics of devices under SC121A scope and to harmonize EMC for CB and CPS 4. Efforts on updating requirements for control circuit devices are addressing functional safety applications, materials requirements, screwless terminals and EMC considerations 5. The use of aluminum conductors was discussed and is being addressed in a number of the working groups/maintenance teams with respect to temperature, terminal retention, long term flow of materials, etc. 6. Development of energy efficiency and product life cycle considerations, including power management and ecodesign |
|---|

4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)
--

no

5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?

<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)</p>
<p>6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:</p>
<p>7. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:</p>
<p>8. Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:</p>
<p>9. Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:</p>
<p>10. Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity If yes, please explain: Work is progressing to expand the online product database for b-2-b commerce, to include additional product categories, geometrical properties, asset management, safety related aspects, accessories, engineering data, and interoperability. This work is related to a similar EU program, and may impact the efforts of IDEA (NEMA affiliate – Industry Data Exchange Association)</p>
<p>11. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please explain:</p>
<p>12. Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?</p>

	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.
	none
14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
15.	What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation's goal of "global standards that reflect U.S. interests?" (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)
	The US is very active in this SC. A number of the standards under its scope have been adopted with ongoing efforts to harmonize with current US requirements.
16.	Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
17.	Other Comments
	none

September 2012