



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Robert Friedman
Telephone/Telefax:	+1 610 448 1768
Email:	Robert.Friedman@Siemens.com
Date:	December 4, 2014

Meeting of TC111 (Designation/Title)
Date(s) 11-13-2014 & 11-24-2014
Location Tokyo, Japan

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE

Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:

Chairman: Yoshi Ichikawa - present

Secretary: Andrea Legnani - present

Country	Head of Delegation
Belgium	Jean-Luc Detrez
Brazil	
Canada	Walter Jager
China	
France	Serge Theoleyre
Germany	Andreas Schneider
Italy	
Japan	Kiyoto Furata
Korea	
Netherlands	
Norway	
Singapore	
Sweden	Henrik Lagerstrom
United Kingdom	Richard Hughes
USA	Robert Friedman

Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available

Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).

None

MEETING OBSERVATIONS

2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?

- Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole
- Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.
- Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted

3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.

4.	Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)
No.	
5.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)	
6.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:	
7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify: The US TAG to TC 207 should be contacted regarding their opinion for the JWG for Environmentally Conscious Design (ECD).	
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:	
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:	
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity If yes, please explain:	
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please explain:	

12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p> <p>Nothing direct</p>
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.
	None
14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Article announcing the establishment of a MT for the IEC 62474 material declaration standard, which puts it in context that this is a normal process for improvement, and that the MT will consider backwards compatibility to better enable software tool data exchange.</p>
15.	What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation’s goal of “global standards that reflect U.S. interests?” (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)
16.	Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
17.	Other Comments
	<p>Major plenary issues for the US were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The US compromised and supported a proposal to establish a Maintenance Team (MT) for IEC 62474, since the IEC Central Office said a WG can only create a document, not review or update documents. The first task of the MT is to submit a Review Report (RR) with recommendatuons for what, if any, updates for IEC 62474. The US supported Canada and other NCs that stated it is important to announce MT 62474 as a normal process to prevent delay in its adoption. The US retains the convenorship, as WG1 experts were autmatically named as MT 62474 experts. When the MT 62474 convenor from the US steps down in 2015, the US should be allowed to nominate a new convenor or co-convenors. 2. The US succeeded to disband WG4 and to have Japan NC distribute a proposal for next tasks consistent with the strategic business plan. 3. IEC rules for WG and PT convenors was changed to limit to three 3-year terms, where TC111 must approve convenors for each term. TC111 will make a decision on this starting with the 2015 plenary. 4. The US succeeded to have the TC111 Plenary agree to have IEC CO send notice to the non-

participating National Committee on VT62474, that it either participate/respond or will be removed from VT62474. This was supported by the US.

5. The US succeeded in obtaining the TC111 Plenary agreement for AHG11 Marketing to continue implementing its plan for one more year as described at the plenary.
6. A US concern regarding the ability to limit changes to IEC 62430 in a Joint IEC/ISO environmentally conscious design standard remains, as comments from ISO 207 experts indicated a wish from several to significantly add to scope. The US needs to continue to engage on this issue. Joint US collaboration between IEC TC111 and ISO 207 would be very beneficial to ensure alignment of positions.
7. The assigned number for the joint ISO/IEC environmentally conscious design (ECD) standard is IEC 62959. More discussion is needed whether to request a change to this number based on what the document's audience understands (e.g., IEC 62430 vs ISO TR 14062).
8. A decision on whether PT 62824 will be disbanded was delayed until more is known about the progress of the JWG for ECD. This was acceptable result for the US.
9. The US succeeded to have the plenary agree to continue the work of some type of team to help the TC111 officers to update the strategic business plan. A questionnaire will be sent to NCs asking to create a task force for this purpose, using the screening process developed by AHG10. The US succeeded to keep the screening process included in the proposal, which the US strongly supports.

Full list of decisions:

Decision 12/01:

TC 111 decides to establish Maintenance Team 62474; the Convenor of MT 62474 is the existing WG 1 Convenor, Mr Robert Friedman; the members of MT 62474 are the existing members of WG1

MT 62474 has the task of:

- to collect market information
- to discuss about the improvement and backward compatibility, possible changes
- to create a Review Report relating to IEC 62474

WG 1 will be disbanded after the completion of the project TR 62474-1

Decision 12/02:

TC 111 confirms that WG 3 should continue in its present form

Decision 12/03:

TC 111 decides to disband WG 4

Decision 12/04:

TC 111 decides to ask the Japanese NC to prepare 2 separate questionnaire/DC to NCs, 2 months for vote, asking for:

- Liaison and liaison expert to be nominated relating to GHG (yes or no questionnaire)
- Proposal for a group to conduct tasks relating to GHG (DC document)

Decision 12/05:

TC 111 will keep for the moment the 62959 number for the JWG "ECD" project, waiting for further discussion/proposal within JWG "ECD" and future decision on number for final publication

Decision 12/06:

TC 111 agrees to nominate as IEC TC 111 liaison person with ISO TC 207 WG 10 Professor Kun-Mo Lee (Korea)

Decision 12/07:

TC 111 agrees the following joint WG "ECD" convenorship and co-convenorship tasks:
Convenor: Dr. Shu Yamada

Administrative responsibility for the project as an IEC-lead JWG with ISO

- record and manage the project as in the programme of work
- ensure that the comments and votes at all stages of the project are compiled and handled appropriately
- prepare drafts for the committee, enquiry and approval stages according to procedures

Co-Convenor: Mr. Daniel Trillos

Collaborate with Convenor for the efficient work of JWG

Responsibility for the ISO side to

- ensure that at ISO side the comments and votes at all stages of the project are compiled and handled appropriately

TC 111 notes that relating to the JWG “ECD” project in preparation TC 111 goes for having only one ECD related document shared by ISO and IEC

Decision 12/08:

TC 111 decides to circulate a questionnaire (yes or no questions) to the NCs, 2 months for answers, asking for:

- the creation of a Task Force (TF) helping the TC 111 Officers to maintain the Strategic Business Plan (SBP) with the task to propose update to the SBP, taking into account the screening process developed by AHG 10 (attached to the questionnaire)

The existence of the group will be confirmed or reviewed in case of necessity

If approved by the majority of the NCs answering, the TF will be established and the TF Convenor will be the existing AHG 10 convenor.

The NCs will be invited to provide members

Decision 12/09:

TC 111 decides to disband AHG 10

Decision 12/10:

TC 111 agrees that TC 111 officers in collaboration with WGs/PTs Convenors will update the program of work

Decision 12/11:

TC 111 approves the establishment of liaison with TC 105 and approves that TC 111

Liaison person is Mrs. Chie Uchiyama

Decision 12/12:

TC 111 agrees that TC 111 Officers will circulate an INF document regarding the current liaison status of TC 111

Decision 12/13:

TC 111 agrees a procedure to approve liaison request with the circulation of a questionnaire (6 weeks for answer) for a formal voting by balloting

Decision 12/14:

TC 111 confirms the acceptance of invitation of SWEDISH NC to host the next 2015 IEC

TC 111 meetings in Stockholm (Kista), SWEDEN, as following:

- TC 111 plenary meeting, 19-20 November 2015
- TC 111 WGs/PTs meetings, 15-18 November 2015

September 2012

