



# HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the  
International Organization for  
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the  
International Electrotechnical  
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

[ISOT@ansi.org](mailto:ISOT@ansi.org)

[USNC@ansi.org](mailto:USNC@ansi.org)

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

**PLEASE REMEMBER:** Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

<b>Head of Delegation:</b> (Please print)	<b>Donald Heirman</b>
<b>Telephone/Telefax:</b>	<b>732-741-7723</b>
<b>Email:</b>	<b>d.heirman@ieee.org</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>8 October 2015</b>

<b>Meeting of TC106 (Human Exposure)</b> <b>(Designation/Title)</b>
<b>Date(s) 30 Sept 2015</b>
<b>Location Stresa, Italy</b>

<b>1. MEETING ATTENDANCE</b>
<p>Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:</p> <p>Not yet published</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available</p> <p>Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).</p> <p><b>This was first meeting of new TC106 chair—Mike Wood from Telstra (Australia). The new secretary is Matthias Meier</b></p>

<b>MEETING OBSERVATIONS</b>
<b>2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?</b>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted</p>
<b>3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.</b>
<p>The TAG concerns of having limits in the CD updating standard 62233 (Determining field strength and SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) in proximity to mobile base stations) were addressed via a teleconference during the MT3 meeting the day before the TC106 plenary. There continues to be the need to ensure that TC106 standards meet the scope of TC106 which does not allow it to set exposure limits or to only reference limits from one source such as ICNIRP (International Committee on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection).</p>
<b>4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)</b>
No
<b>5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?</b>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)</p>
<b>6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?</b>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p>

<p><b>7. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)</b></p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify:</b></p>
<p><b>8. Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?</b></p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify:</b></p>
<p><b>9. Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?</b></p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:</b></p>
<p><b>10. Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?</b></p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity  <b>If yes, please explain:</b></p>
<p><b>11. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?</b></p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please explain:</b></p>
<p><b>12. Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?</b></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input type="checkbox"/> No  <b>If yes, please explain:</b>  There are many human exposure measurement and calculation standards developed by TC106 that may impact the FCC's human exposure rules. Fortunately the FCC is providing comments on many of these standards to move to more harmony with its view of SAR.</p>
<p><b>13. Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.</b></p>
<p><b>No immediate action. There continues to be a need for TAG participation as the extent of the work is significant needing active membership on the maintenance teams.</b></p>
<p><b>14. Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?</b></p>

<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No We will need to wait for publication of the next editions of the standards to develop an update of the work suitable for publication</p>
<p><b>15. What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation’s goal of “global standards that reflect U.S. interests?” (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)</b></p>
<p>Nothing at this time.</p>
<p><b>16. Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?</b></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p><b>17. Other Comments</b></p>
<p>TC106 is also writing a Guide on drafting EMF (electromagnetic fields) assessment publications. The Guide will be administered by the IEC Advisory Committee on EMC (ACEC)</p>

September 2012