

Debra Negron

From: Alan Cohen <acohen@accuray.com>
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 11:57 AM
To: USNC
Subject: Report of IEC 62C meeting in New Orleans
Attachments: 62C HoD Report Form- Nov2014.doc

WARNING - CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION:

The information contained in the e-mail may contain confidential and privileged information and is intended solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Access for any review, re-transmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in regard and reliance upon this e-mail by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized and prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Alan Cohen
Telephone/Telefax:	704.796.9016
Email:	<u>ACohen@accuray.com</u>
Date:	9 December 2014

Meeting of IEC/SC 62C (Designation/Title)
Date(s) 23 November 2014
Location New Orleans, LA

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE

Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:

Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available

Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).

MEETING OBSERVATIONS

2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?

- Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole**
- Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.**
- Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted**

3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.

The mission statement of 62C has been changed.

“The preparation of standards for the safety and performance of medical equipment and systems using ionising radiation for the treatment of disease; associated equipment and software used in planning, delivering and monitoring such treatments; instruments measuring ionising radiation used in the diagnosis and treatment of disease as well as radiation conditions for testing them; and nuclear medicine equipment used for imaging the distribution of radioactive substances within the human body for both diagnostic purposes and radionuclide therapies.”

The proposed modifications are marked in red.

4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)

No

5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?

Yes No
(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)

6.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please identify:	
7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please identify:	
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please identify:	
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:	
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
No related regional activity	
If yes, please explain:	
These issues are being addressed at the 62 level.	
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please explain:	
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please explain:	
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.

14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
15.	What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation's goal of "global standards that reflect U.S. interests?" (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)		
16.	Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
17.	Other Comments		

September 2012