



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Dennis Oddsen
Telephone/Telefax:	475-882-4605
Email:	doddsen@hubbell.com
Date:	1/20/2015

Meeting of (Designation/Title) SC 23K / Electrical Energy Efficiency Products
Date(s) 11/20/2014
Location Mexico City, Mexico

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE

Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:

There were approximately 25 delegates from 15 countries as follows; BE, CA, CH, DE, DK, CH, ES, FR, IT, MX, NO, SE, UK, US AND ZA

Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available

The meeting attendance roster is not available.

Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).

The previous chairman of 23 K Mr. Eric Breuille of France has been replaced by Mr. Philippe Vollet of France.

MEETING OBSERVATIONS

2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?

- Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole
- Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.
- Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted

3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.

Committee reports were presented without issues.

Notes of interest;

(1) There was mention of the importance of the work of 23 K as it relates to saving energy which has the potential to significantly impact future building design and types of products specified.

(2) SC 23 K scope was discussed in relation to the work underway in TC 23 WG-9. There were concerns that there is the potential for conflict between the two groups. Apparently upon a "clarification" 23K is focused on overall energy efficiencies and TC 23 WG 9 is focused on energy efficiency products and systems. The work between these two groups will be of interest to a wide variety of manufacturers of TC 23 products.

(3) There were two areas of work briefly discussed. The first was "Particular Requirements for Switches Which Control Power Sources" and "Particular Requirements for Load Shedding Equipment". The two projects are in the PNW (Proposed New Work) stage with the leader for both Mr. Stefano Tomasina. Manufacturers of TC 23 products should consider following the committee work and comment if appropriate.

4.	Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)
	None
5.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)
6.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:
7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify:
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity If yes, please explain:
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please explain:

