



HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the
International Organization for
Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the
International Electrotechnical
Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO

USNC

ISOT@ansi.org

USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- ❖ To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- ❖ To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Dennis Oddsen
Telephone/Telefax:	475-882-4605
Email:	doddsen@hubbell.com
Date:	1/24/2015

Meeting of (Designation/Title) SC 23E / Circuit-Breakers and Similar Equipment for Household Use
Date(s) 11/18/2014
Location Mexico City, Mexico

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE

Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:

There were 35 delegates from 17 countries as follows; BE, CA, CH, CN, CR, DE, DK, CH ES , FR, IT, KR, MX, NO, SE, UK, US AND ZA

Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available

Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).

The meeting was chaired by Mr. Claus Dieter Ziebell in replace of the Chairman Mr. Kruger who was ill and unable to attend.

MEETING OBSERVATIONS

2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?

Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole
 Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.
 Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted

3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.

All committee reports were presented without issues.

Notes of interest;

(1) The committee approved all conveners of working groups for another 3 years.

(2) The committee approved starting a new program of work for Circuit Breakers for DC applications. This would be of interest for manufacturers of related products.

(3) The committee approved starting a new program of work for RCDs for DC applications. This would be of interest for manufacturers of related products.

(4) There was a discussion and formation of a working group to address GSP for RCDs.

4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)

None

5.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>(If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)</p>
6.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p>
7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p>
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify:</p>
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:</p>
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No related regional activity</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please explain:</p>

