Evaluating Standards Needs for Nanoplastics

A Preliminary Survey Assessment

Sponsored by ASTM International Committee E56 (Nanotechnology) Developed by AC530-Nanoplastics Task Group



Analyzed and presented by Vince Hackley and Justin Gorham, NIST

Survey purpose

The purpose of this survey was simple and two-fold...

- 1. assess current needs for developing documentary standards and RMs for nanoplastics
- 2. identify opportunities for future standards development that will support progress in the field

Some definitions (specific to survey)

Nanoplastics

- polymeric particles with physical dimensions between roughly 1 nm and 1000 nm.
- intentionally produced or incidental products resulting from use, disposal/recycling or degradation of bulk plastics.

Documentary Standards

- include methods, practices, specifications, classifications, terminologies and guides.
- written documents produced by a consensus process at the national or international level.

Reference material (RM)

- artifact that contains plastic material in one or more forms and which is homogeneous, stable and fit for its intended use.
- RMs generally have assigned property values with uncertainties and might be traceable to the International System of Units (SI).
- RMs lacking assigned values are referred to as a research grade or representative test materials.

Survey details

- 142 individual respondents completed this survey
- Responses anonymous (no names, email, specific affiliations)
- Reached out to plastics committee ASTM D20, ASTM E56-F42-D35-E50-F15, ISO TC 229, US TAG to ISO TC 229, EC Joint Research Center, CUSP Consortium, NPL (UK), BIPM/CCQM, APEC
- Questions developed by *Nanoplastics Task Group* in E56
- Survey open for 8 weeks
- Roughly 10 min to complete
- Almost all multiple-choice questions; most with "select all that apply"

Who were the respondents?



Takeaways from preliminary analysis of survey?

- Properties of primary interest are size, shape, composition & concentration
- Significant interest in a broad range of properties and issues is consistent with nanoplastics as an emerging field
- Stakeholders already utilize standards and will likely adopt nanoplastics standards
- Inconsistent terminology is still significant if not primary issue (>30% response rate)
 - Terminology especially important to industry (liability/legal/trade issues?)
- Biggest challenges are detection/identification/quantification (DIQ), sample preparation/handling and complex media/mixtures
- Majority of respondents say relevant RMs are not available or they make their own test materials in-house (major gap)

What next?

- Complete parsing of survey results and provide more detailed analysis with priorities clearly defined
- Correlate needs with technical state of readiness for standards development
- $\,\circ\,$ Report out to E56 and make results public

Nanoplastics Task Group Members

Name	Organization
Farber, Julia	ASTM Committee D20
<u>Gilliland, Douglas</u>	European Commission Joint Research Center, Italy
Gorham, Justin	US NIST
Hackley, Vincent	US NIST
<u>Hagelskjær, Oskar</u>	CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
<u>Jämting, Åsa</u>	NMI-Australia
Kaiser, Debbie	US NIST
<u>Khan, Sadia</u>	US FDA
Klaessig, Frederick	Pennsylvania Bio Nano Systems LLC
Lerner, Jeremy	LightForm, Inc.
Majumdar, Sanghamitra	US FDA
Matheson, Joanna	US Consumer Product Safety Commission
McConnell, Frank	ASTM International
Nelson, Bryant	US NIST
Palui, Goutam	US FDA
Patri, Anil	US FDA
Petersen, Elijah	US NIST
Pleus, Richard	Intertox Inc.
Shaw, Katherine	US NIST
Stefaniak, Aleksandr	US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Thornton, Tony	Micromeritics Inc.
Van Der Maten, Taco	Malvern Panalytical Inc.
Varner, Katrina	US Environmental Protection Agency
Yu, Shaofang	BASF
Zarate-Bermudez, Max	US Centers for Disease Control
Zou, Shan	National Research Council - Canada