One Man's Trash, Society's Treasure: The Importance of Engineering Standards in Waste Management

By Andrew Cagliostro
University of Delaware, Department of Biomedical Engineering
2026

Abstract

The summer before entering college, I spent my days surrounded by thousands of tons of other people's waste. My Uncle Greg has worked in municipal waste management for most of his adult life, and that summer he brought me into his world to work with him. I went into the experience expecting disorder, but what I found instead was an intricate, invisible architecture of rules. Rules that most people never think about, never see, and couldn't name if asked about them. Those rules are called standards and without them, the ground beneath our feet would be poisoned, our water undrinkable, and the infrastructure of daily life would unravel.

Waste management is one of the most heavily standardized industries in the world, and one of the least appreciated. The average American generates approximately 4.9 pounds of municipal solid waste per day (U.S. EPA, 2018). That waste is collected, sorted, processed, and contained according to a layered system of federal regulations, ASTM International standards, and EPA guidelines that most residents never encounter. Those standards govern everything from the thickness of landfill liners to the classification of hazardous materials to the contamination thresholds that determine whether a batch of recyclables is worth processing or destined for the landfill anyway.

This paper focuses on the central question: what would happen in a world without these rules? The answer is not hypothetical. It has happened, and in some parts of the world it is still happening. By reconstructing that reality and walking backwards through the standards that exist today to reveal what their absence would mean, we can see that waste management standards are not bureaucratic red tape. They are the silent infrastructure of public health, and the people who work inside them every day are the ones who keep that infrastructure from collapsing.

Day One at the Dump

I remember the smell above all else. It hit me from down the road, before I had even gotten to the parking lot or out of the truck. It wasn't the simple rotting food smell I had expected, but something more complex and chemical underneath that really stings your nostrils. My Uncle Greg had warned me about this. He had been working in municipal waste for over two decades and had stopped noticing it long ago. I, however, definitely noticed it.

The facility was enormous, and I thought the colloquial name "dump" was quite fitting. Lined rows of compacted material stretched across the site in cells while heavy equipment moved in arbitrary patterns. I asked my uncle how anything like this could possibly be organized. He laughed and said, "Everything has a place. The second it stops having a place, you have a problem."

That sentiment stuck with me. What I was looking at was not disorder managed through effort. It was order built through standards, and the *effort* was simply the work of following them. Before I understood that, the facility looked like chaos, but after, the structure underneath began to reveal itself.

A World Without Standards: It Has Already Happened

To understand what waste management standards do, we can look at an example devoid of them. The Citarum River in West Java, Indonesia, has been described as the most polluted river in the world (Borgen Project, 2024). Industrial and domestic waste is discharged directly into the water with minimal regulation. The river, which supplies drinking water to tens of millions of people, runs visibly discolored for vast stretches of its length. Downstream communities report elevated rates of skin disease, respiratory illness, and gastrointestinal infection tied directly to contaminated water exposure (Environment Magazine, 2025).

This case is not an anomaly; it is the default. Before the United States established the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act in 1976 (U.S. EPA, 1976) and the EPA Subtitle D regulations for municipal solid waste landfills in 1991 (U.S. EPA, 2024), American landfills were largely just unlined pits. Leachate, toxic liquid produced when water moves through decomposing waste, drained freely into surrounding soil and groundwater. Communities built near those sites discovered, often years later, that their wells were contaminated with heavy metals, volatile organic compounds, and industrial chemicals. The most famous American example is the Love Canal disaster in Niagara Falls, New York (Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2024), where a neighborhood was built atop an unlined chemical waste site.

By a similar token, in 1987, a barge named the Mobro 4000 left New York carrying more than 3,000 tons of municipal waste and spent nearly six months at sea because no jurisdiction would accept it (Retro Report, 2014). Ports in six states and three countries turned it away and the incident really showed the incompatible waste acceptance systems of that time. It stands as a perfect glimpse into what happens when standards do not exist or align across borders. The waste eventually returned to New York, was incinerated, and the ash was buried. The ordeal cost over a million dollars and resolved nothing structurally. However, it did accelerate national conversation about standardized waste management procedures.

Cleaning It Up: The Standards That Saved Us

The modern American waste management system works because of a set of standards that most citizens have never heard of, and, as with most regulations in engineering, each one was written in response to a specific documented failure.

The most fundamental standard is the EPA Subtitle D composite liner requirement (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2024; Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 2021). Every municipal solid waste landfill operating in the U.S. today must be constructed with a thick layer of high-density polyethylene underlaid by at least two feet of compacted clay, with a leachate collection system installed above it. The standard exists because unlined landfills poisoned groundwater for decades before it was mandated. That summer, I saw those black, durable liners and how they were engineered to a specific permeability threshold documented in ASTM D7176 and related test standards. They don’t look dramatic, but they are the difference between a contained system and a slow-moving environmental catastrophe.

The second critical family of standards governs waste classification and segregation. Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, materials are classified into distinct streams: municipal solid waste, construction and demolition debris, universal waste, and hazardous waste, each governed by its own handling, transport, and disposal requirements. The reason this matters becomes clearer when you consider what happens when streams mix. Certain industrial solvents, when combined with organic waste under anaerobic conditions, can produce hydrogen sulfide and other toxic gases. Improper mixing of reactive chemicals in unregulated facilities has caused fires, explosions, and toxic exposures at sites in multiple countries. Segregation standards are not administrative preferences, but containment protocols.

My uncle’s facility handles a regulated waste stream that many people never think about: pharmaceutical and sharps waste from households and medical offices. The handling of this material is governed by a separate set of standards under EPA and state-level guidance, requiring specific containers, transport manifests, and disposal pathways. On more than one occasion that summer, I watched staff redirect improperly sorted material that had arrived mixed in with general municipal waste. The standard exists, but someone had not followed it. The facility’s job, in part, is to catch those failures before they compound.

The third major standard area is recycling stream quality. This is where the gap between the public's perception and the operational reality is widest. Most people believe that putting an item in a blue recycling bin means it will be recycled. Whether it actually is or not depends on contamination rates. Recycling processors operate under materials recovery facility standards that set thresholds for acceptable contamination (typically 2-5% by weight). Above that threshold, bales of otherwise recyclable material are rejected and landfilled. "Wish-cycling," the practice of placing non-recyclable items in the recycling bin out of optimism rather than knowledge, costs American municipalities hundreds of millions of dollars annually in rejected loads (California Management Review, 2023). Again, the standards exist to make the system viable, but public ignorance of those standards undermines them continuously.

My Uncle' s World

My uncle has worked in this field long enough to have seen the system develop these standards over time. I asked him once, what the biggest change had been over his career. He didn't hesitate in saying, "Accountability. Everything is documented, every load has a manifest, and every cell has a record. When something goes wrong, you can actually trace it."

That documentation infrastructure of manifests, weight tickets, disposal records, and chain-of-custody forms is itself a standards ecosystem. The standard practice for general techniques in waste management and the EPA's uniform hazardous waste manifest system together create the paper trail that allows regulators, facility operators, and the public to know where waste came from, where it went, and how it was handled. Waste workers carry that accountability with themselves every day, and it is part of why he takes his work seriously.

My uncle also carries the knowledge of what the job looked like before those systems existed. He has described to me facilities he worked at early in his career where record-keeping was informal, classification was inconsistent, and the distance between acceptable and unacceptable practice was a matter of personal judgment rather than documented standard. Those facilities are mostly gone or transformed now thanks to the implementation and following of these standards.

The Mess We Have Not Yet Cleaned Up

None of this means the system is complete, though. Two emerging challenges in particular are testing the limits of current standards in ways that should concern everyone.

The first is PFAS contamination. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, or "forever chemicals" because they do not break down under normal environmental conditions, are present in a wide range of consumer products from food packaging to firefighting foam. They accumulate in landfill leachate, and current liner and leachate treatment standards were not designed with PFAS in mind. The EPA is actively developing PFAS-specific regulations, but as of now there is no comprehensive national standard governing PFAS disposal in municipal solid waste facilities.

The chemicals are in the landfills while the standards to contain them are still being written (U.S. EPA, 2025).

The second challenge is lithium-ion battery disposal. The rapid growth of electric vehicles and consumer electronics has flooded the waste stream with lithium batteries, which are incompatible with standard municipal solid waste compaction processes. Lithium battery fires at recycling facilities have become increasingly common, with major incidents reported in facilities across the U.S., UK, and Australia in recent years (Resource Recycling, 2025; Waste360, 2024). Standards for household battery collection, transport, and disposal exist in fragmented form but are inconsistently enforced and do not yet match the scale of the problem. The industry is generating a new category of hazardous waste faster than the standards community can write rules for it.

Conclusion

On my first day at the facility, I saw a dump. By the end of the summer, I understood that what I had been looking at was something far more interesting and intentional. A system so well-designed that it appeared to have no design at all. The liner specifications, classification protocols, contamination thresholds, and manifest requirements standards were invisible precisely because they were working.

Most people roll their bins to the curb twice a week and don't think further than that. We trust that the waste goes somewhere safe and that we won't have to see it again. That trust is not naive; it was earned by decades of documented failures, legislative responses, and technical standards developed by engineers, regulators, and waste management professionals who understood what the absence of rules actually looks like.

My uncle is one of those practitioners. He goes to work inside a system that most people will never see and could not describe, and he takes it seriously because he knows what it is holding back. I spent one summer in that world, and that was enough to understand that the rules keeping our world clean are not simply bureaucratic. They are the floor beneath our feet, and the people who maintain them are unsung heroes.

Bibliography

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2018). National overview: Facts and figures on materials, wastes and recycling. https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/national-overview-facts-and-figures-materials

U.S. EPA. (1976). Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). https://www.epa.gov/rcra/resource-conservation-and-recovery-act-40th-anniversary

U.S. EPA. (2024). Municipal solid waste landfills. https://www.epa.gov/landfills/municipal-solid-waste-landfills

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. (2021, July). Alternate liner study. https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/sites/default/files/topic/Waste/AlternateLinerStudyJuly2021.pdf

U.S. EPA. (2025). Key EPA actions to address PFAS. https://www.epa.gov/pfas/key-epa-actions-address-pfas

Borgen Project. (2024, September 29). Sungai design: Ending river pollution in Indonesia. https://borgenproject.org/sungai-design/

Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2024). Love Canal. https://www.britannica.com/place/Love-Canal

Retro Report. (2014). A barge full of garbage helped to fuel a recycling movement. https://retroreport.org/video/a-barge-full-of-garbage-helped-to-fuel-a-recycling-movement/

Blanco, C., Spanbauer, C., & Stienecker, S. (2023, May 30). America's broken recycling system. California Management Review. https://cmr.berkeley.edu/2023/05/america-s-broken-recycling-system/

Resource Recycling. (2025, March 18). Recycling facility fires increase substantially in 2024. https://resource-recycling.com/recycling/2025/03/18/recycling-facility-fires-increase-substantially-in-2024/

Waste360. (2024, March 14). Lithium-ion batteries responsible for more than 1,000 fires across Australia in 2023. https://www.waste360.com/waste-recycling/lithium-ion-batteries-responsible-for-more-than-1-000-fires-across-australia-in-2023

ASTM International. (2016). ASTM D7176-06(2016): Standard specification for non-woven geotextiles used in geomembrane protection. https://www.astm.org/Standards/D7176.htm

Environment Magazine. (2025, October 14). River pollution: Citarum river. https://environmentmagazine.earth/2025/10/14/most-polluted-river-citarum-river/

U.S. EPA. (2025) Hazardous waste manifest system. https://www.epa.gov/hwgenerators/hazardous-waste-manifest-system